

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549**

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-37722

AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

46-4312787
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

901 S. MoPac Expressway
Barton Oaks Plaza One
Suite 250
Austin, TX
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

78746
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, including area code: (512) 942-2935

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.0001 Par Value Per Share	The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC (Nasdaq Global Market)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definite proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one)

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Emerging growth company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on June 30, 2017 (the last business day of the Registrant's second fiscal quarter), based upon the closing price of \$3.85 of the Registrant's common stock as reported on The Nasdaq Global Market, was approximately \$50.6 million.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at March 8, 2018
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value per share	16,716,336 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement ("Proxy Statement") relating to the 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders will be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the end of the Registrant's 2017 fiscal year and is incorporated by reference into Part III of this Report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. Business	4
Item 1A. Risk Factors	25
Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	60
Item 2. Properties	60
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	61
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	61
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	62
Item 6. Selected Financial Data	64
Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	67
Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	77
Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	78
Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	104
Item 9A. Controls and Procedures	104
Item 9B. Other Information	105
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	106
Item 11. Executive Compensation	106
Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	106
Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	106
Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services	106
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	107
Item 16. Form 10-K Summary	109
SIGNATURES	110

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, or Annual Report, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act. All statements contained in this Annual Report other than statements of historical fact, including statements regarding our current and future clinical and preclinical development activities, timing and expected results of preclinical and clinical trials, future results of operations and financial position, clinical and commercial collaboration with third-parties, our business strategy and plans and our objectives for future operations, are forward-looking statements. The words “believe,” “may,” “will,” “potentially,” “estimate,” “continue,” “aim,” “anticipate,” “intend,” “could,” “would,” “project,” “plan,” “expect,” and similar expressions that convey uncertainty of future events or outcomes are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those described in Item 1A, “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this Annual Report. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment, and new risks emerge from time to time. It is not possible for our management to predict all risks, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements we may make. In light of these risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, the forward-looking events and circumstances discussed in this Annual Report may not occur and actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements.

You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee that the future results, levels of activity, performance or events and circumstances reflected in the forward-looking statements will be achieved or occur. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements to conform these statements to actual results or to changes in our expectations, except as required by law. You should read this Annual Report with the understanding that our actual future results, levels of activity, performance and events and circumstances may be materially different from what we expect.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, as used in this Annual Report, the terms “Aeglea,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer to Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its subsidiaries taken as a whole, unless otherwise noted. “Aeglea” and all product candidate names are our common law trademarks. This Annual Report contains additional trade names, trademarks and service marks of other companies, which are the property of their respective owners. We do not intend our use or display of other companies’ trade names, trademarks or service marks to imply a relationship with, or endorsement or sponsorship of us by, these other companies.

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Overview

We are a clinical-stage biotechnology company that designs and develops innovative human enzyme therapeutics for patients with rare genetic diseases and cancer. We believe our novel approach of utilizing human enzymes offers advantages over bacterial enzyme-based approaches including a more favorable safety profile that may provide a greater likelihood of clinical success.

Our capabilities in enzyme engineering, preclinical disease modelling, and drug development in both rare genetic disease and cancer allow us to identify and advance innovative opportunities to address important unmet medical needs for the benefit of patients. Our programs and the decisions we make to progress assets into clinical studies are driven by the following considerations:

- Potential for enhancement of human enzymatic activity
- Strong preclinical data and rationale
- Limited or no competition
- Meaningful commercial opportunities
- Worldwide commercial rights

We are a patient-focused organization conscious of the fact that people with a rare genetic disease or cancer have limited treatment options, and we recognize that their lives and well-being are highly dependent upon our efforts to develop improved therapies. For this reason, we are passionate about designing and developing novel therapeutics to address significant unmet medical need for rare genetic disease and cancer.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to build a world-class biotechnology company dedicated to the discovery, development, and commercialization of human enzyme-based therapeutics that transform the lives of patients by addressing unmet medical needs in both rare genetic disease and cancer. To achieve that goal, we intend to:

- **Successfully advance our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase (AEB1102), through clinical development.**
- For Arginase 1 Deficiency, we believe we have the only therapeutic in clinical development that addresses the underlying drivers of disease progression. We are enrolling a Phase 1/2 clinical trial in the United States to assess the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and clinical effects of pegzilarginase. If the results from the trial are supportive, we anticipate initiating a pivotal trial in the United States and Europe in 2019.
- For our oncology indications, we are dosing patients in our Phase 1 cohort expansions for the treatment of advanced solid tumors in patients with uveal melanoma and cutaneous melanoma. Additionally, we initiated our Phase 1 cohort expansion in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and our combination trial of pegzilarginase with Merck's anti-PD-1 therapy, KEYTRUDA® (pembrolizumab).
- **Target enzyme-based therapeutic opportunities within rare genetic disease and cancer where regulation of abnormal metabolism provides the potential for important medical benefits in these diseases.**

Our focus is on rare genetic diseases and cancers where there is a plausible link between disease development, progression, and metabolite levels including amino acids. Advancing to clinical development is gated by strong biological rationale and preclinical data. We favor diseases where there are meaningful potential commercial markets with limited or no current competition and where potential therapy can transform patient outcomes.

- ***Develop and implement our precision medicine strategy to increase the probability of clinical success.***

An integral part of our product development programs is a precision medicine strategy designed to identify patient populations for subjects with the greatest potential to benefit from our metabolism focused approaches. In rare genetic disease this strategy is focused on identifying mis-diagnosed and undiagnosed patients. In the United States, we are working to optimize newborn screening methods to more accurately identify patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency. In oncology, we are exploring biomarkers to identify patients with tumors sensitive to amino acid deprivation. We believe that targeting these patients will both enhance our ability to detect evidence of clinical activity earlier in clinical development and improve the probability of treating their cancers effectively.

- ***Concurrently develop and commercialize multiple product candidates.***

We are committed to the discovery and development of multiple product candidates of engineered human enzymes, as we believe this results in a diversified portfolio, leverages organizational efficiencies, and utilizes economies of scale. This includes expanding and continually investing in our internal research capabilities to expand our portfolio.

- ***Seek global approval and commercialization of our product candidates.***

We retain worldwide intellectual property rights to all of our product candidates. We intend to pursue clinical and regulatory programs for approval in the United States and internationally. Ultimately, our plan is to establish a multi-country commercial organization in rare genetic disease and seek commercial partnerships in select regional markets. In cancer, we plan to build a focused commercial organization in the United States and strategically evaluate partnership opportunities globally.

Our Focus—Enzyme-based Therapeutic Opportunities in Metabolism

Our company was initially founded to develop therapeutics for diseases characterized by abnormal amino acid metabolism. We have broadened our scope to a wider metabolic focus, which we believe allows us to better leverage our enzyme engineering and other capabilities. Metabolism refers to fundamental chemical reactions that are critical to life-sustaining processes. Metabolism follows specific pathways that are comprised of various biochemical reactions generally catalyzed by proteins known as enzymes. Enzymes accelerate complex reactions and serve as key regulators of metabolic pathways by responding to changes in the cell's environment or signals from other cells.

An in-depth understanding of abnormal metabolic pathways is crucial to developing therapies that may address various disease states, including rare genetic diseases and cancer. Our core capability of exploiting these abnormal metabolic pathways has allowed us to develop engineered human enzyme therapies with the potential to reduce toxic levels of amino acids that may lead to novel, disease-modifying treatments for these rare genetic diseases. In addition, with our focus on the innovative field of cancer cell metabolism, we strive to leverage our engineered human enzyme product candidates to degrade the key nutrients needed for cancer cell survival and proliferation. The mechanism of action of our drugs also presents the potential for novel combination therapies when used together with existing or emerging standards of care.

Background on Rare Genetic Disease and Arginase 1 Deficiency

The incidence of a single metabolic abnormality typically occurs in fewer than one per 100,000 live births. While rare, most of these diseases have severe or life-threatening characteristics and many metabolic abnormalities are likely to be under-diagnosed. Current treatment options for these disorders are limited. While diet modification or nutrient supplementation can provide some benefit to patients, several metabolic abnormalities have been treated successfully with enzyme therapy.

We are targeting Arginase 1 Deficiency, a urea cycle disorder, with our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase. Arginase 1 Deficiency is a serious progressive disease with significant morbidity and early mortality. This disease is caused by deficiency of a key arginine metabolizing enzyme. This leads to two important harmful metabolic effects: (1) the accumulation of high levels of arginine and other arginine derived metabolites, and (2) a slowing of the urea cycle which leads to elevation of ammonia levels, especially at times of stress. The high plasma arginine level is believed to be the key driver of the spasticity, developmental delays, and seizures that develop in early childhood and progress over time. The slowing of the urea cycle also means that these patients are at risk of episodic and sometime persistent hyperammonemia, which causes irritability, nausea, and vomiting with potential to progress to brain swelling, encephalopathy, and death.

There is currently no approved therapeutic agent specifically indicated for Arginase 1 Deficiency or effective treatment options for these patients. Current therapies include a medical diet with protein and arginine restriction and ammonia scavengers. Medical literature suggests that disease progression can be slowed with strict adherence to dietary protein restriction, which often includes the use of specially formulated supplements. While such dietary modification has been shown to reduce plasma arginine levels, only a minority of patients can adhere to a diet rigorous enough to consistently reach medical guidelines. Therefore, this therapeutic approach is difficult to manage, unpalatable, and generally inadequate to treat the majority of patients. Ammonia-scavenging drugs such as RAVICTI (glycerol phenylbutyrate) and BUPHENYL (sodium phenylbutyrate) are also used to reduce elevated ammonia levels, but they do not appear to impact plasma arginine levels. Liver transplantation has been reported to achieve normalization of arginine levels; however, this intervention is available only to a small fraction of patients and carries significant procedural risk.

The lack of a treatment option that directly address the cause of Arginase 1 Deficiency supports the need for a therapy that manages the harmful metabolic effects caused by accumulation of high levels of arginine and other arginine-derived metabolites (also referred to as guanidino compounds), as well as the accumulation of ammonia caused by the disease related slowing of the urea cycle. The development of an arginine reducing therapeutic introduced early in a patient's life could potentially minimize the exposure to the neurotoxic effects of arginine, its metabolites, and ammonia, as well as potentially enabling improved protein intake. Reduction of plasma arginine levels to below the recommended guidelines for an extended period during the pegzilarginase dosing schedule has the potential to slow or halt the progression of the disease, thereby offering the potential for more normal growth and development in these patients.

Arginase 1 Deficiency is a rare disorder, and there are no published reports of disease prevalence. Newborn screening data for two reliably detected urea cycle disorders allowed disease experts to estimate the incidence of Arginase 1 Deficiency at 1:950,000 births. Assuming a less than normal life span, we believe that at least 600 individuals in global addressable markets have Arginase 1 Deficiency. Presently, only 34 U.S. states and jurisdictions screen for Arginase 1 Deficiency, and screening in Europe is not universal. Because the symptoms of Arginase 1 Deficiency may overlap with other disorders such as cerebral palsy or epilepsy, the prevalence of Arginase 1 Deficiency may be underestimated in regions that do not mandate newborn screening for this disease. To date we have identified more than 50 patients in the U.S. and Europe.

Background on Cancer

Cancer is the second-leading cause of death in the United States. The American Cancer Society estimates that in 2017 there were approximately 1.7 million new cases and approximately 601,000 deaths from cancer in the United States. Cancer originates from defects in the cell's genetic code, or DNA, that disrupt the mechanisms that normally prevent uncontrolled cell growth.

We believe that the altered metabolism of cancer cells—the atypical uptake and breakdown of nutrients— provides an opportunity to develop important new cancer treatments. Cancer cells rapidly change how they take up and utilize nutrients. However, while cancer cell metabolic abnormalities fuel tumor growth and alter tumor immune response, they also expose vulnerabilities that can be targeted to selectively destroy tumor cells. It is our belief that depriving cancer cells of key amino acids that are essential for cell survival and tumor growth will provide an effective treatment for some cancers, both as a single agent and in combination with existing or emerging standards of care.

Enzyme-based therapies that degrade amino acids have shown clinical benefit in the treatment of cancer. For example, Oncaspar (pegaspargase) and Erwinaze (asparaginase *Erwinia chrysanthemi*) were approved as part of a multi-agent chemotherapeutic regimen for the treatment of patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Degrading the amino acid asparagine with Oncaspar (pegaspargase), an *E. coli*-derived L-asparaginase enzyme, in combination with chemotherapy generates much improved remission rates as compared with chemotherapy alone. Similarly, some cancers with dependence on extracellular arginine have been reported in scientific and medical literature to respond to a microbial-derived arginine-degrading enzyme in clinical trials. However, the reported clinical impact appears limited as this microbial-derived arginine-degrading enzyme elicited an immune response that appears to neutralize the activity of the drug and therefore may result in limited clinical utility.

The use of microbial enzymes as human therapeutics is often limited by an immune response to a foreign protein. We expect our enzyme product candidates, which are engineered from human proteins, to have more favorable drug-like properties and be less likely to elicit an immune response compared with microbial enzymes. This is supported by our experience with pegzilarginase to date in our oncology programs compared to clinical trials reported in the medical literature with a bacterial-derived arginine depleting enzyme. We believe our approach should provide greater flexibility with respect to the target amino acids that can be addressed.

Using pegzilarginase to enzymatically deplete extracellular arginine needed by some cancer cells provides an approach that, when used alone or in combination with existing or emerging standards of care, has the potential to be an effective treatment paradigm for cancer patients. Published literature suggests that a variety of cancers could potentially respond to amino acid deprivation, which offers us several potential targets for cancer treatment opportunities.

Our Development Programs

Pegzilarginase Overview

Our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase, is an enhanced human arginase that enzymatically degrades the amino acid arginine. Pegzilarginase is a recombinant, human Arginase 1 enzyme with modifications that enhance the stability and arginine-degrading activity of the enzyme in human plasma, and we believe it has a lower likelihood of immunogenicity in patients than bacterial arginine-degrading enzymes. Our lead program, pegzilarginase is in early clinical development for two indications.

1. Arginase 1 Deficiency, which is a rare progressive autosomal recessive metabolic disease caused by a marked decrease in the activity of the native arginase 1 enzyme, which plays a key role in the degradation of arginine as part of the urea cycle.
2. Arginine dependent cancers, which demonstrate a vulnerability that leads to an increased dependency on extracellular arginine

Pegzilarginase in Rare Genetic Disease

Phase 1/2 Open-Label Study of Pegzilarginase in Patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency: We are conducting a Phase 1/2 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency to assess the safety and clinical activity of pegzilarginase. The Phase 1/2, multi-center, single-arm, open-label trial of pegzilarginase is expected to enroll approximately 10 adult and pediatric patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency in the United States, and potentially in Canada and Europe. The trial investigates both single ascending doses (Part 1) and repeated dosing (Part 2). The primary endpoint of the trial is safety and tolerability of intravenous administration of pegzilarginase in patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency. The trial also will evaluate the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic effects of repeated doses of pegzilarginase on plasma arginine levels. Additionally, patients who complete the repeat dose part of the Phase 1/2 trial are eligible to enroll in a long-term open label extension study.

In March 2017, the initial results of our Phase 1 clinical trial demonstrated proof of mechanism, in which pegzilarginase lowered blood arginine into the normal range in two adult patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency. Plasma arginine levels decreased in a dose-proportional manner after pegzilarginase infusions. Based on pharmacodynamic response and consistent with the protocol, one patient stopped dose escalation after the second dose (0.03 mg/kg) and the second patient stopped dose escalation after the third dose (0.06 mg/kg).

Following completion of dosing for the first two adult patients in our Phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, we submitted a protocol amendment to broaden the scope of our Phase 1 trial into a Phase 1/2 trial. The amended protocol includes dosing of adult and pediatric patients (age 2 and above), in which patients receive single ascending doses at 2-week intervals until stopping criteria are met (Part 1), after which they may receive 8 doses of pegzilarginase at weekly intervals (Part 2) with the intent to assess the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and clinical response of pegzilarginase.

In May 2017, the Company received an information request from the FDA that additional data was needed to support inclusion of pediatric patients in the Phase 1/2 trial. In September 2017, we dosed the first two adult patients in the repeat dose part of the Phase 1/2 clinical trial. Both patients were previously dosed with single escalated doses of pegzilarginase in an earlier study until stopping criteria were met. In October 2017, we reached agreement with the FDA on our protocol amendment to include pediatric dosing tiered by age. Our first pediatric patient was dosed thereafter in November 2017 in the Part 1 (single ascending dose phase) of this trial.

In March 2018, we announced repeat dose data from the two adults who had completed Part 2 of the study and single dose data from the first pediatric patient dosed in Part 1. Repeat dose data demonstrated that treatment with doses of 0.04 mg/kg of pegzilarginase resulted in marked and sustained reductions in plasma arginine levels with accompanying reductions in other guanidino compounds, which are metabolites of arginine. Single and repeated doses of pegzilarginase in the two adult patients were well-tolerated with no serious adverse events or infusion-associated reactions with both subjects completing all their scheduled infusions. The only related adverse events reported included mild pruritis and mild dry skin. Pegzilarginase was well tolerated with the exception of a single infusion-associated reaction in one pediatric

patient who had anti-drug antibodies (ADA) and blunting of the expected reduction in plasma arginine after the second dose. Three related serious adverse events of facial flushing, facial swelling, and throat tightness were reported in this pediatric patient after the second infusion. After dose interruption, treatment was completed at a slower infusion rate with premedication without further adverse events. Testing for immunoglobulin E (IgE) was negative. The patient transitioned to the repeat dose part of the trial and received three further infusions. Although dosing was well-tolerated with premedication and slower infusion rate with mild related adverse events of weakness, mood change, stomach ache, and pallor, the patient withdrew consent due to the burden of balancing school and the clinical trial. No marked or sustained increase in ADA titers were seen in the two adult Arginase 1 Deficiency patients or in the 48 cancer patients tested after dosing with pegzilarginase. Baseline ADA at low titer was detected in one of two adult Arginase 1 Deficiency patients and four of 48 cancer patients. There was no apparent effect of the presence of the ADA on arginine reduction or safety profile. The Company expects to report pediatric and adult repeat dose data in patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency in the third quarter of 2018.

Phase 1/2 Open-Label Extension Study to Evaluate the Long-Term Safety, Tolerability and Effects of Pegzilarginase in Patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency Who Received Treatment in a Previous Study: After completing the repeat dose portion of the Phase 1/2 study and at least four weeks of post-treatment observation, patients are allowed to continue treatment with pegzilarginase by enrolling in a long-term open-label extension study. This study is expected to provide important insights into the longer term clinical effects of reducing plasma arginine. In December 2017, we announced the initiation of this study with the recruitment of two adult patients who had previously completed the repeat dose phase (Part 2) of the previous study. No related adverse events have been reported in this study as of March 5, 2018.

Regulatory Designations: We have obtained orphan drug designation from the FDA and EMA, as well as Fast Track Designation from the FDA, for pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency. If the data from our Phase 1/2 trial is supportive, we may seek to accelerate our development plan for pegzilarginase by requesting to use established regulatory pathways, such as Breakthrough Therapy Designation. Regardless of whether we receive this designation, we anticipate initiating a pivotal trial of 20 to 40 patients and, if successful, we expect that this trial would support registration filing in the US and Europe.

Pegzilarginase in Cancer

Background Biology: We are developing pegzilarginase to target arginine-dependent cancers. Arginine is considered a semi-essential amino acid because, under conditions such as enhanced proliferation, tissue injury, or stress, cells are unable to make enough arginine and are therefore dependent on an extracellular source. The role of arginine and its metabolites in cancer has been studied extensively in preclinical models with demonstrated effects, including enhancement of tumor growth and cellular proliferation. Conversely, restriction of dietary arginine attenuates tumor growth in experimental tumor models.

Many types of cancers lack the ability to synthesize intracellular arginine due to lack of expression of argininosuccinate synthase1 (ASS1), argininosuccinate lyase (ASL), or ornithine transcarbamoylase (OTC), which are enzymes in the urea cycle. As a result, these cancers depend on extracellular arginine without which they may exhibit reduced protein synthesis and proliferation, and undergo autophagy and/or apoptosis, establishing a correlation between their inability to synthesize arginine and vulnerability to arginine deprivation. Based on data from our preclinical studies and the published scientific and medical literature, arginase 1 degrades arginine to ornithine and urea. Ornithine cannot be used to make arginine by cancer cells that lack expression of OTC, ASS or ASL. Pegzilarginase is intended to target cancer cells that depend on extracellular arginine by depriving the cells of the amino acid that is essential for cell survival and tumor growth. We believe pegzilarginase will provide an effective treatment for some cancers, both as a single agent and in combination with existing or emerging standards of care.

Diagnostic Potential: As documented in scientific and medical literature and from our own preclinical research, the lack of expression of any one or more of the enzymes OTC, ASS1 or ASL in tumor cells has been shown to be associated with cancer cell sensitivity to arginine depletion. Our preclinical research has focused on the reduction or loss of expression of ASS1 as the predominant cause of tumor arginine dependence. We found that low or no expression of ASS1 in pre-clinical patient derived xenograft models of melanoma or SCLC can result in sensitivity to arginine depletion by pegzilarginase.

Phase 1 Dose Escalation Trial of Pegzilarginase in Patients with Advanced Solid Tumors: In October 2015, we initiated the Phase 1 open label, multiple dose, dose escalation clinical trial in patients with advanced solid tumors. The primary objective of dose-escalation is to determine the maximum tolerated dose, and secondary objectives are to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetic profile of pegzilarginase. The inclusion criteria include patients with

locally advanced or metastatic solid tumors that failed to respond to or progressed under standard treatment, could not tolerate standard therapies, or for which no standard therapy exists.

In May 2017, we announced that the trial would enroll three separate cohort expansions of patients with SCLC, uveal melanoma, and cutaneous melanoma upon completion of dose escalation. These cancer types were selected because pre-clinical studies and the medical literature suggests that a significant fraction of patients are expected to have cancers that are dependent on extracellular arginine.

In December 2017, we reported topline results of the dose escalation trial in which 40 patients were enrolled. The maximum tolerated dose was established at 0.33 mg/kg weekly by intravenous infusion, based on observations of reversible rash and reversible tremor at 0.40 mg/kg/week. Two dose-limiting toxicities (DLT) were observed: failure to thrive and maculopapular rash. Other treatment-related serious or Grade 3/4 adverse events (AEs) that were not DLTs per protocol, including those that occurred after the DLT window, were hypophosphatemia, anemia (developed from a Grade 1 baseline), neutropenia (developed from a Grade 1 baseline), tremor, weakness, and transient hypertension. Treatment-related AEs in 10% or more of patients included nausea, stomatitis/mouth sores, fatigue, vomiting, rash, decreased appetite, and diarrhea, which were primarily Grades 1 or 2. Other serious adverse events, including death, occurred on study but were not considered related to pegzilarginase treatment. Most patients discontinued due to disease progression, and only one patient discontinued due to an adverse event that was considered related to pegzilarginase (tremor). Clinical proof of mechanism was demonstrated, with a rapid and sustained reduction of plasma arginine to levels substantially less than the normal range in cancer patients. Additionally, preliminary evidence suggesting clinical activity was observed in two patients with forms of melanoma who had stable disease longer than 12 weeks while receiving pegzilarginase.

In the first quarter of 2018, we initiated recruitment to cohort expansions of approximately 12 patients each and dosed our first patients with uveal and cutaneous melanoma. In SCLC, we have initiated the trial and expect to dose the first patient in the first quarter of 2018. The primary endpoint of each cohort expansion is to assess the safety of pegzilarginase in patients with each tumor type. Secondary endpoints include the assessment of pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and clinical response. We will also use the data to inform the viability of companion diagnostic development, which has the potential to enrich patient populations with the greatest likelihood of clinical success.

Phase 1/2 Combination Trial in SCLC: In October 2017, we entered into a clinical collaboration agreement with Merck to evaluate the combination of pegzilarginase with Merck's anti-PD1 therapy, pembrolizumab, for the treatment of patients with SCLC. We initiated the Phase 1 trial part of this trial in the first quarter of 2018 with primary objectives of safety and determination of the dose of pegzilarginase that can be combined with pembrolizumab to be used in Phase 2. The Phase 2 primary objective is objective response rate (ORR) and secondary objectives include safety, clinical benefit rate, time to response, duration of response, progression free survival (PFS), overall survival, pegzilarginase pharmacokinetics, and to explore the correlation of tumor expression of ASS1 and PD-L1 with clinical activity, as well as immunoprofiling of tumor samples, circulating cytokines, and immune cells. We dosed the first patient in the first quarter of 2018, expect to initiate Phase 2 in the third quarter of 2018, and expect to report topline safety and clinical activity for Phase 1 in the fourth quarter of 2018.

Phase 1 Dose Escalation Trial of Pegzilarginase in Patients with the Hematological Malignancies Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) and Myelodysplastic Syndrome (MDS). In December 2017 we reported in a topline data summary that there was no significant clinical activity observed in this trial, and we have no further planned activities in AML and MDS. The arginine depletion observed at the higher dose levels was similar to the Phase 1 solid tumor trial and provided complementary data to support the solid tumor program. At a cut-off date of February 2, 2018, 21 patients were treated with weekly pegzilarginase at doses from 0.12 to 0.48 mg/kg. One DLT of reversible encephalopathy was observed at 0.48 mg/kg. Treatment-related serious or Grade 3/4 AEs in at least 2 patients were nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and fatigue. Treatment-related AEs in 10% or more of patients included nausea, vomiting, fatigue, decreased appetite, diarrhea, and dizziness, most of which were Grades 1 or 2. Six patients discontinued due to disease progression and 9 patients discontinued due to adverse events, which were considered related to pegzilarginase in 3 patients (encephalopathy, fatigue/nausea/vomiting/diarrhea, and gait disturbance) and all at the 0.48 mg/kg dose level. Other serious adverse events, including death, occurred on study but were not considered related to pegzilarginase treatment.

Preclinical Pipeline

AEB3103

AEB3103 is an engineered human enzyme that targets the degradation of the amino acid cysteine/cystine. Initial efficacy testing in preclinical models demonstrated significant depletion of glutathione and significantly increased levels of

ROS in HMVP2 prostate cancer cells. In addition, AEB3103 is being studied in pre-clinical animal models to evaluate if the cysteine/cystine depletion therapy has the potential to provide therapeutic benefit in other clinical conditions.

AEB2109

AEB2109 is an engineered human enzyme that targets the degradation of the amino acid methionine. Earlier work from our enzyme engineering program has been presented in the scientific literature describing activity in an animal tumor model. We believe AEB2109 provides us with the opportunity to exploit a tumor vulnerability not yet successfully exploited for therapeutic benefit. We plan to continue our preclinical development efforts for AEB2109 and, if appropriate, proceed to IND-enabling studies with a development candidate from this program.

AEB4104 and additional pipeline opportunities

AEB4104 is an engineered human enzyme that targets the reduction of elevated levels of the amino acid homocysteine. Elevated blood levels of this amino acid arise in the rare genetic disease of classical homocystinuria. We believe classical homocystinuria represents a viable market opportunity with significant unmet medical need, which we plan to address by continuing our preclinical development of AEB4104 and, if appropriate, proceed to IND-enabling studies with a development candidate from this program.

Intellectual Property

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain and maintain patents and other forms of intellectual property rights, including in-licenses of intellectual property rights of others, for our product candidates, methods used to manufacture our product candidates and methods for treating patients using our product candidates, as well as our ability to preserve our trade secrets, to prevent third parties from infringing upon our proprietary rights and to operate without infringing upon the proprietary rights of others.

As of December 31, 2017, we are the owner of five U.S. patents, expiring between 2029 and 2031, absent any extensions, three of which are directed to the compositions methods of preparing, and methods of using pegzilarginase, and two of which are directed to compositions of AEB4104. As of December 31, 2016, we also owned two pending U.S. utility patent applications, one of which is related to pharmaceutical compositions of pegzilarginase, and the other directed to methods for identifying and selecting primate methionine gamma-lyase variants having L-methionine degrading activity (AEB4104). As of December 31, 2017, the pegzilarginase patent application relating to pharmaceutical compositions was allowed by the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("U.S. PTO"). As of December 31, 2017, we are also the owner of two pending U.S. provisional applications using AEB1102.

As of December 31, 2017, we also controlled two U.S. utility patents and two U.S. utility applications, exclusively licensed to us by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, or the University, including one related to compositions of AEB2109 and one related to compositions of AEB3103 and their use in cancer treatment. Any patents issuing from the foregoing owned or licensed U.S. patent applications are expected to expire in 2034, absent any adjustments or extensions.

As of December 31, 2017, we owned a total of five patents and seven applications in foreign jurisdictions variously including: Australia, Canada, China, Europe, Japan, Hong Kong and South Korea. Any issued patents, or those issuing from these foreign patent applications, are expected to expire between 2029 and 2031, absent any adjustments or extensions. These foreign patent applications and patents comprise claims that relate to the compositions of pegzilarginase and AEB4104 and methods of use of pegzilarginase for the treatment of cancer. As of December 31, 2017, we also controlled 14 pending international patent applications in Australia, Canada, China, the European Patent Office, Israel, Japan and Korea, which are also exclusively licensed to us by the University, with claims directed to compositions and methods of use of AEB2109 and compositions and methods of use of AEB3103. Any patents issuing from these applications are expected to expire in 2034, absent any adjustments or extensions. We also controlled as of December 31, 2017 two pending U.S. provisional patent applications, a pending U.S. utility patent application, and a pending International PCT application directed to AEB3103.

Patents may extend for varying periods according to the date of patent filing or grant and the legal term of patents in various countries where patent protection is obtained. The actual protection afforded by a patent, which can vary from country to country, depends on the type of patent, the scope of its coverage and the availability of legal remedies in the country.

We also use other forms of protection, such as trademark, copyright and trade secret protection, to protect our intellectual property, particularly where we do not believe patent protection is appropriate or obtainable. We aim to take advantage of all of the intellectual property rights that are available to us and believe that this comprehensive approach will provide us with proprietary positions for our product candidates, where available.

We also protect our proprietary information by requiring our employees, consultants, contractors and other advisors to execute nondisclosure and assignment of invention agreements upon commencement of their respective employment or engagement. In addition, we also require confidentiality or service agreements from third parties that receive our confidential information or materials.

Licensing

On December 24, 2013, two of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, AECCase, Inc., or AECCase, and AEMase, Inc., or AEMase, entered into license agreements with the University under which the University has granted to AECCase and AEMase exclusive, worldwide, sublicenseable licenses. The University granted to AECCase a license under a patent application relating to the right to use, develop, manufacture, and market technology related to our AEB3103 product candidate. The University granted to AEMase license under a patent relating to the right to use technology related to our AEB2109 product candidate. On January 31, 2017, we entered into an Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement, or the Restated License, with the University which consolidated the two license agreements dated December 24, 2013, revised certain obligations, and licensed additional patent applications and invention disclosures to Aeglea.

With respect to each product candidate covered by the Restated License, we could be required to pay the University up to \$6.4 million in milestone payments based on the achievement of certain development milestones, including clinical trials and regulatory approvals, the majority of which are due upon the achievement of later development milestones, including a \$5.0 million payment due on regulatory approval of a product and a \$500,000 payment payable on final regulatory approval of a product for a second indication. In addition, we are required to pay the University a low single digit royalty on worldwide-net sales of products covered under the Restated License, together with a revenue share on non-royalty consideration received from sublicensees. The rate of the revenue share ranges from 6.5% to 25%, depending on the date the sublicense agreement is signed. The term of the Restated License continues until the expiration of the last to expire of the patents licensed thereunder. The University may terminate the agreement under certain circumstances, including for a breach by us that is not cured within 30 or 60 days of notice (depending on the type of breach), or if we or any of our affiliates or sublicensees participate in any proceeding to challenge the licensed patent rights (unless, with respect to sublicensees, we terminate the applicable sublicense). As of December 31, 2017, we have paid \$71,000 under these license agreements.

Sponsored Research Agreement

In connection with the above license agreements, we and each of our wholly-owned subsidiaries also entered into a Sponsored Research Agreement, or SRA, with the University on December 24, 2013, which was subsequently amended on September 24, 2014, January 15, 2015, August 10, 2015, November 5, 2015, January 7, 2016, August 3, 2016 and October 12, 2017. Pursuant to the SRA, we agreed to sponsor research to be conducted at the laboratory of Professor George Georgiou at the University related to the systemic depletion of amino acids for cancer therapy, and enzyme replacement for the treatment of patients having inborn metabolic defects. The SRA will expire on August 31, 2018, and we have the option of extending the research program under mutually agreeable support terms. We can terminate the SRA with 60 days' notice to the University. The University can terminate the SRA for our material breach that remains uncured 60 days after notice from the University. With respect to intellectual property that results from the sponsored research, each party owns any such intellectual property that it solely creates and we jointly own with the University any such intellectual property that we jointly create. We have an option to negotiate a license to the University's interest in any such intellectual property and any such license agreement is expected to be on terms substantially similar to the existing license agreements described above. If we fail to enter into such a license agreement within six months of the date we exercise our option (or such longer period of time as we may mutually agree), the University would be free to grant licenses under the applicable intellectual property to third parties. The maximum permitted cost of the sponsored research to us is approximately \$2.5 million. This increases if we agree to extend the research program beyond August 31, 2018. As of December 31, 2017, we have paid \$2.3 million to the University under the SRA.

Grant Agreement

In June 2015, we entered into a Cancer Research Grant Contract, or the Grant Contract, with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas, or CPRIT, under which CPRIT awarded us a grant not to exceed \$19.8 million to be used to develop novel cancer treatments by exploiting the unique metabolism of cancer cells. As of December 31, 2017, we have recognized \$15.9 million in revenue under the Grant Contract and collected \$12.9 million in grant proceeds. The Grant Contract expires on May 31, 2018.

Pursuant to the Grant Contract, we grant to CPRIT a non-exclusive, irrevocable, royalty-free, perpetual, worldwide license to any technology and intellectual property resulting from the grant-funded activities and any other intellectual property that is owned by us and necessary for the exploitation of the technology and intellectual property resulting from the grant-funded activities, or the Project Results, for and on behalf of CPRIT and other governmental entities and agencies of the State of Texas and private or independent institutions of higher education located in Texas for education, research and other non-commercial purposes only. The terms of the Grant Contract require that we pay tiered royalties in the low- to mid-single digit percentages on revenues from sales and licenses of products or services that are based upon, utilize, are developed from or materially incorporate Project Results. Such royalties reduce to less than one percent after a mid-single-digit multiple of the grant funds have been repaid to CPRIT in royalties. Such royalties are payable for so long as we have marketing exclusivity or patents covering the applicable product or service (or twelve years from first commercial sale of such product or service in certain countries if there is no such exclusivity or patent protection).

If we abandon patent applications or patents covering Project Results in certain major market countries, CPRIT can, at its own cost, take over the prosecution and maintenance of such patents and is granted a non-exclusive, irrevocable, royalty-free, perpetual license with right to sublicense in such country to the applicable Project Results. We are required to use diligent and commercially reasonable efforts to commercialize at least one commercial product or service or otherwise bring to practical application the Project Results. If CPRIT notifies us of our failure with respect to the foregoing, and such failure is not owing to material safety concerns, then, at CPRIT's option, the applicable Project Results would be transferred to CPRIT and CPRIT would be granted a non-exclusive license to any other intellectual property that is owned by us and necessary for the exploitation of the Project Results, and CPRIT, at its own cost, can commercialize products or services that are based upon, utilize, are developed from or materially incorporate Project Results. CPRIT's option is subject to our ability to cure any failures identified by CPRIT within 60 days and a requirement to negotiate in good faith with us with respect to an alternative commercialization strategy for a period of 180 days.

Competition

While we believe that our preclinical development experience and scientific knowledge provide us with competitive advantages, we face potential competition from many different sources, including major pharmaceutical companies, specialty pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies, and ultimately biosimilar and generic drug companies. Any product candidates that we successfully develop and commercialize will compete with existing therapies and new therapies that may become available in the future.

The acquisition or licensing of pharmaceutical products is also very competitive, and a number of more established companies, which have acknowledged strategies to license or acquire products, may have competitive advantages as may other emerging companies taking similar or different approaches to product acquisitions. These established companies may have a competitive advantage over us due to their size, cash flows, and institutional experience.

We compete in the segments of the pharmaceutical, biotechnology and other related markets that address rare genetic disease and cancer.

Rare genetic disease. With respect to pegzilarginase for Arginase 1 Deficiency, there are currently no approved therapeutics that address the underlying cause of the disease and we are not aware of any other therapeutics that do so in clinical development. It is possible that competitors may produce, develop, and commercialize therapeutics, or utilize other approaches to treat Arginase 1 Deficiency. The current method for treating patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency includes dietary restriction, which appears to slow the disease progression in some cases, as well as treatments such as Horizon Pharma's RAVICTI (glycerol phenylbutyrate) and BUPHENYL (sodium phenylbutyrate) which lower blood-ammonia levels. Erytech Pharma announced a potential collaboration to explore preclinical development of an Arginase 1 Deficiency candidate.

Cancer. With respect to our oncology product candidates, we compete with other companies that pursue a cancer metabolism approach, as well as companies that employ more common methods of treating patients such as surgery, radiation and drug therapy. These drug therapies include chemotherapy, hormone therapy and targeted drugs, including biologic products such as engineered antibodies.

There are a variety of available drug therapies marketed for cancer. In many cases, these drugs are administered in combination to enhance efficacy. While our product candidates may compete with many existing drug and other therapies, to the extent they are ultimately used in combination with or as an adjunct to these therapies, our product candidates will not be competitive with them. Some of the currently approved drug therapies are branded and subject to patent protection, and others are available on a generic basis. Many of these approved drugs are well-established therapies and are widely accepted by physicians, patients and third-party payors. In general, although there has been considerable progress over the past few decades in the treatment of cancer and the currently marketed therapies provide benefits to many patients, these therapies all are limited to some extent in their efficacy and frequency of adverse events, and none are successful in treating all patients. As a result, the level of morbidity and mortality from cancer remains high.

In addition to currently marketed therapies, there are also a number of medicines in late-stage clinical development to treat cancer. While there are currently no approved drugs targeting tumor arginine dependence, we are aware of a number of compounds that are in clinical development and enrolling patients with solid and hematological malignancies, including Polaris Group's microbial ADI-PEG 20 and Biocancer Treatment International's pegylated native human arginase 1. Additionally, Calithera Biosciences is targeting a therapy that inhibits arginase 1 as an immune modulator. These medicines in development may provide efficacy, safety, convenience and other benefits that are not provided by currently marketed therapies. As a result, they may provide significant competition for our product candidate pegzilarginase.

Many of our competitors may have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, nonclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved medicines than we do. Mergers and acquisitions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries may result in even more resources being concentrated among a smaller number of our competitors. These competitors also compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel and establishing clinical trial sites and patient registration for clinical trials, as well as in acquiring technologies complementary to, or necessary for, our programs. Smaller or early stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies.

The key competitive factors affecting the success of all of our product candidates, if approved, are likely to be their efficacy, safety, convenience, price, the effectiveness of assays or tests that are essential to identifying an appropriate patient population, which we refer to as companion diagnostics, in guiding the use of related therapeutics, the level of biosimilar competition and the availability of reimbursement from government and other third-party payors.

Manufacturing

We currently contract with third parties for the manufacturing and testing of our product candidates for nonclinical studies and intend to do so for our future clinical studies as well. We intend to identify and qualify additional manufacturers to provide potential alternative sources for the active pharmaceutical ingredient and fill-and-finish services for pegzilarginase as the compound progresses through clinical development, prior to seeking marketing approval from FDA. We believe we have sufficient supplies of pegzilarginase for our ongoing and planned Phase 1 and Phase 1/2 clinical trials.

The KBI Agreement

In December 2013, we entered into a Master Services Agreement, or KBI Agreement, with KBI Biopharma, Inc., or KBI, in which KBI agreed to research, develop and manufacture the active pharmaceutical ingredient for pegzilarginase in exchange for cash and shares of our Series A convertible preferred stock. In June 2015, we amended the KBI Agreement to also permit us to exchange Series B convertible preferred stock for such research, development and manufacturing services. The KBI Agreement was further amended in June 2015 to convert the remaining unmet milestone awards from share-based payments to cash. The KBI Agreement has an initial three-year term and automatically renews for successive additional one-year terms until the services are completed. The KBI Agreement may be terminated by either party for a breach that is not remedied within thirty days after notice or in the event of a bankruptcy by either party. We may terminate the KBI Agreement upon sixty-days written notice. For termination other than a material breach by KBI, we must pay for all services conducted prior to the termination and to wind down the activities.

We do not own or operate manufacturing facilities for the production of clinical quantities of our product candidates. We currently have no plans to build our own clinical or commercial scale manufacturing capabilities. The use of contracted manufacturing is relatively cost-efficient and has eliminated the need for our direct investment in manufacturing facilities and additional staff early in development.

For our biomarker and companion diagnostic strategies, we will rely on third-party vendors for the development and execution of our tests. If we choose to develop a biomarker-based test, including a companion diagnostic, for any of our therapeutic enzymes, we may rely on one or more third parties to manufacture and sell a single test.

Government Regulation and Product Approval

Government authorities in the United States, at the federal, state and local level, and in other countries and jurisdictions, including the European Union, extensively regulate, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, quality control, approval, packaging, storage, recordkeeping, labeling, advertising, promotion, distribution, marketing, post-approval monitoring and reporting, and import and export of pharmaceutical products. The processes for obtaining regulatory approvals in the United States and in foreign countries and jurisdictions, along with subsequent compliance with applicable statutes and regulations and other regulatory authorities, require the expenditure of substantial time and financial resources.

FDA approval process

In the United States, pharmaceutical products are subject to extensive regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or the FDC Act, and other federal and state statutes and regulations, govern, among other things, the research, development, testing, manufacture, storage, recordkeeping, approval, labeling, promotion and marketing, distribution, post-approval monitoring and reporting, sampling, and import and export of pharmaceutical products. Biological products used for the prevention, treatment, or cure of a disease or condition of a human being are subject to regulation under the FDC Act, except the section of the FDC Act which governs the approval of new drug applications, or NDAs. Biological products are approved for marketing under provisions of the Public Health Service Act, or PHSA, via a Biologics License Application, or BLA. However, the application process and requirements for approval of BLAs are very similar to those for NDAs, and biologics are associated with similar approval risks and costs as drugs. Failure to comply with applicable U.S. requirements may subject a company to a variety of administrative or judicial sanctions, such as clinical hold, FDA refusal to approve pending NDAs or BLAs, warning or untitled letters, product recalls, total or partial suspension of production or distribution, injunctions, fines, civil penalties, and criminal prosecution.

Biological product development for a new product or certain changes to an approved product in the United States typically involves preclinical laboratory and animal tests, the submission to the FDA of an investigational new drug application, or IND, which must become effective before clinical testing may commence, and adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety and effectiveness of the drug for each indication for which FDA approval is sought. Satisfaction of FDA pre-market approval requirements typically takes many years and the actual time required may vary substantially based upon the type, complexity, and novelty of the product or disease.

Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluation of product chemistry, formulation, and toxicity, as well as animal trials to assess the characteristics and potential safety and efficacy of the product. The conduct of some preclinical tests must comply with federal regulations and requirements, including good laboratory practices. The results of preclinical testing are submitted to the FDA as part of an IND along with other information, including information about product chemistry, manufacturing and controls, and a proposed clinical trial protocol. Long term preclinical tests, such as animal tests of reproductive toxicity and carcinogenicity, may continue after the IND is submitted. A 30-day waiting period after the submission of each IND is required prior to the commencement of clinical testing in humans. If the FDA has neither commented on nor questioned the IND within this 30-day period, the clinical trial proposed in the IND may begin. Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational biologic to healthy volunteers or patients under the supervision of a qualified investigator. Clinical trials must be conducted: (i) in compliance with federal regulations; (ii) in compliance with good clinical practice, or GCP, an international standard meant to protect the rights and health of patients and to define the roles of clinical trial sponsors, administrators, and monitors; as well as (iii) under protocols detailing the objectives of the trial, the parameters to be used in monitoring safety, and the effectiveness criteria to be evaluated. Each protocol involving testing on U.S. patients and subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND.

The FDA may order the temporary, or permanent, discontinuation of a clinical trial at any time, or impose other sanctions, if it believes that the clinical trial either is not being conducted in accordance with FDA requirements or presents an unacceptable risk to the clinical trial patients. The trial protocol and informed consent information for patients in clinical trials must also be submitted to an institutional review board, or IRB, for approval. An IRB may also require the

clinical trial at the site to be halted, either temporarily or permanently, for failure to comply with the IRB's requirements, or may impose other conditions.

Clinical trials to support BLAs for marketing approval are typically conducted in three sequential phases, but the phases may overlap. In Phase 1, the initial introduction of the biologic into healthy human subjects or patients, the product is tested to assess safety, metabolism, pharmacokinetics, pharmacological actions, side effects associated with increasing doses, and, if possible, early evidence on effectiveness. Phase 2 usually involves trials in a limited patient population to determine the effectiveness of the drug or biologic for a particular indication, dosage tolerance, and optimal dosage, and to identify common adverse effects and safety risks. If a compound demonstrates evidence of effectiveness and an acceptable safety profile in Phase 2 evaluations, Phase 3 trials are undertaken to obtain the additional information about clinical efficacy and safety in a larger number of patients, typically at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites, to permit the FDA to evaluate the overall benefit-risk relationship of the drug or biologic and to provide adequate information for the labeling of the product. In most cases, the FDA requires two adequate and well-controlled Phase 3 clinical trials to demonstrate the efficacy of the biologic. A single Phase 3 trial with other confirmatory evidence may be sufficient in rare instances where the trial is a large multicenter trial demonstrating internal consistency and a statistically very persuasive finding of a clinically meaningful effect on mortality, irreversible morbidity or prevention of a disease with a potentially serious outcome and confirmation of the result in a second trial would be practically or ethically impossible.

In addition, the manufacturer of an investigational drug in a Phase 2 or Phase 3 clinical trial for a serious or life-threatening disease is required to make available, such as by posting on its website, its policy on evaluating and responding to requests for expanded access to such investigational drug.

After completion of the required clinical testing, a BLA is prepared and submitted to the FDA. FDA approval of the BLA is required before marketing of the product may begin in the United States. The BLA must include the results of all preclinical, clinical, and other testing and a compilation of data relating to the product's pharmacology, chemistry, manufacture, and controls. The cost of preparing and submitting a BLA is substantial. The submission of most BLAs is additionally subject to a substantial application user fee, and the applicant under an approved BLA is also subject to an annual program fee for each prescription product. Beginning in fiscal year 2018, this annual program fee replaces the annual product and establish fees. These fees are typically increased annually. The FDA has 60 days from its receipt of a BLA to determine whether the application will be accepted for filing based on the agency's threshold determination that it is sufficiently complete to permit substantive review. Once the submission is accepted for filing, the FDA begins an in-depth review. The FDA has agreed to certain performance goals in the review of BLAs. Most such applications for standard review biologic products are reviewed within ten months of the date the FDA files the BLA; most applications for priority review biologics are reviewed within six months of the date the FDA files the BLA. Priority review can be applied to a biologic that the FDA determines has the potential to treat a serious or life-threatening condition and, if approved, would be a significant improvement in safety or effectiveness compared to available therapies. The review process for both standard and priority review may be extended by the FDA for three additional months to consider certain late-submitted information, or information intended to clarify information already provided in the submission.

The FDA may also refer applications for novel biologic products, or biologic products that present difficult questions of safety or efficacy, to an advisory committee—typically a panel that includes clinicians and other experts—for review, evaluation, and a recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee, but it generally follows such recommendations. Before approving a BLA, the FDA will typically inspect one or more clinical sites to assure compliance with GCP. Additionally, the FDA will inspect the facility or the facilities at which the biologic product is manufactured. The FDA will not approve the product unless compliance with current good manufacturing practice, or cGMP, is satisfactory and the BLA contains data that provide substantial evidence that the biologic is safe, pure, potent and effective in the indication studied.

After the FDA evaluates the BLA and the manufacturing facilities, it issues either an approval letter or a complete response letter. A complete response letter generally outlines the deficiencies in the submission and may require substantial additional testing, or information, in order for the FDA to reconsider the application. If, or when, those deficiencies have been addressed to the FDA's satisfaction in a resubmission of the BLA, the FDA will issue an approval letter. The FDA has committed to reviewing such resubmissions in two or six months depending on the type of information included. An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the biologic with specific prescribing information for specific indications. As a condition of BLA approval, the FDA may require a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy, or REMS, to help ensure that the benefits of the biologic outweigh the potential risks. REMS can include medication guides, communication plans for healthcare professionals, and elements to assure safe use, or ETASU. ETASU can include, but are not limited to, special training or certification for prescribing or dispensing, dispensing only under certain circumstances, special monitoring, and the use of patient registries. The requirement for a REMS can materially affect the

potential market and profitability of the product. Moreover, product approval may require substantial post-approval testing and surveillance to monitor the product's safety or efficacy.

Once granted, product approvals may be withdrawn if compliance with regulatory standards is not maintained or problems are identified following initial marketing. Changes to some of the conditions established in an approved application, including changes in indications, labeling, or manufacturing processes or facilities, require submission and FDA approval of a new BLA or BLA supplement before the change can be implemented. A BLA supplement for a new indication typically requires clinical data similar to that in the original application, and the FDA uses the same procedures and actions in reviewing BLA supplements as it does in reviewing BLAs.

Fast track designation and accelerated approval

The FDA is required to facilitate the development, and expedite the review, of biologics that are intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening disease or condition for which there is no effective treatment and which demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for the condition. Under the fast track program, the sponsor of a new biologic candidate may request that the FDA designate the candidate for a specific indication as a fast track biologic concurrent with, or after, the filing of the IND for the candidate. The FDA must determine if the biologic candidate qualifies for fast track designation within 60 days of receipt of the sponsor's request. Under the fast track program and FDA's accelerated approval regulations, the FDA may approve a biologic for a serious or life-threatening illness that provides meaningful therapeutic benefit to patients over existing treatments based upon a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, or on a clinical endpoint that can be measured earlier than irreversible morbidity or mortality, that is reasonably likely to predict an effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit, taking into account the severity, rarity, or prevalence of the condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments.

In clinical trials, a surrogate endpoint is a measurement of laboratory or clinical signs of a disease or condition that substitutes for a direct measurement of how a patient feels, functions, or survives. Surrogate endpoints can often be measured more easily or more rapidly than clinical endpoints. A biologic candidate approved on this basis is subject to rigorous post-marketing compliance requirements, including the completion of Phase 4 or post-approval clinical trials to confirm the effect on the clinical endpoint. Failure to conduct required post-approval trials, or confirm a clinical benefit during post-marketing trials, will allow the FDA to withdraw the biologic from the market on an expedited basis. All promotional materials for biologic candidates approved under accelerated regulations are subject to prior review by the FDA.

In addition to other benefits such as the ability to use surrogate endpoints and engage in more frequent interactions with the FDA, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a fast track product's BLA before the application is complete. This rolling review is available if the applicant provides, and the FDA approves, a schedule for the submission of the remaining information and the applicant pays applicable user fees. However, the FDA's time period goal for reviewing an application does not begin until the last section of the BLA is submitted. Additionally, the fast track designation may be withdrawn by the FDA if the FDA believes that the designation is no longer supported by data emerging in the clinical trial process.

Breakthrough therapy designation

The FDA is also required to expedite the development and review of the application for approval of biological products that are intended to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition where preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the biologic may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints. Under the breakthrough therapy program, the sponsor of a new biologic candidate may request that the FDA designate the candidate for a specific indication as a breakthrough therapy concurrent with, or after, the filing of the IND for the biologic candidate. The FDA must determine if the biological product qualifies for breakthrough therapy designation within 60 days of receipt of the sponsor's request.

Orphan drug designation

Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan drug designation to biological products intended to treat a rare disease or condition—generally a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States, or if it affects more than 200,000 individuals in the United States, there is no reasonable expectation that the cost of developing and making a product available in the United States for such disease or condition will be recovered from sales of the product.

Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting a BLA. After the FDA grants orphan drug designation, the generic identity of the biological product and its potential orphan use are disclosed publicly by the FDA. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. The first BLA applicant to receive FDA approval for a particular active moiety to treat a particular disease with FDA orphan drug designation is entitled to a seven-year exclusive marketing period in the United States for that product for that indication. During the seven-year exclusivity period, the FDA may not approve any other applications to market a biological product containing the same principal molecular structural features for the same disease, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan drug exclusivity. A product is clinically superior if it is safer, more effective or makes a major contribution to patient care. Orphan drug exclusivity does not prevent the FDA from approving a different drug or biological product for the same disease or condition, or the same biological product for a different disease or condition. Among the other benefits of orphan drug designation are tax credits for certain research and a waiver of the BLA user fee.

Disclosure of clinical trial information

Sponsors of clinical trials of FDA-regulated products, including biological products, are required to register and disclose certain clinical trial information. Information related to the product, patient population, phase of investigation, trial sites and investigators, and other aspects of the clinical trial is then made public as part of the registration. Sponsors are also obligated to discuss the results of their clinical trials after completion. Disclosure of the results of these trials can be delayed in certain circumstances for up to two years after the date of completion of the trial. Competitors may use this publicly available information to gain knowledge regarding the progress of development programs.

Pediatric information

Under the Pediatric Research Equity Act, or PREA, NDAs or BLAs or supplements to NDAs or BLAs must contain data to assess the safety and effectiveness of the biological product for the claimed indications in all relevant pediatric subpopulations and to support dosing and administration for each pediatric subpopulation for which the biological product is safe and effective. The FDA may grant full or partial waivers, or deferrals, for submission of data. Unless otherwise required by regulation, PREA does not apply to any biological product for an indication for which orphan designation has been granted.

Additional controls for biologics

To help reduce the increased risk of the introduction of adventitious agents, the PHSA emphasizes the importance of manufacturing controls for products whose attributes cannot be precisely defined. The PHSA also provides authority to the FDA to immediately suspend licenses in situations where there exists a danger to public health, to prepare or procure products in the event of shortages and critical public health needs, and to authorize the creation and enforcement of regulations to prevent the introduction or spread of communicable diseases in the United States and between states.

After a BLA is approved, the product may also be subject to official lot release as a condition of approval. As part of the manufacturing process, the manufacturer is required to perform certain tests on each lot of the product before it is released for distribution. If the product is subject to official release by the FDA, the manufacturer submits samples of each lot of product to the FDA together with a release protocol showing a summary of the history of manufacture of the lot and the results of all of the manufacturer's tests performed on the lot. The FDA may also perform certain confirmatory tests on lots of some products, such as viral vaccines, before releasing the lots for distribution by the manufacturer. In addition, the FDA conducts laboratory research related to the regulatory standards on the safety, purity, potency, and effectiveness of biological products. As with drugs, after approval of biologics, manufacturers must address any safety issues that arise, are subject to recalls or a halt in manufacturing, and are subject to periodic inspection after approval.

Patent term restoration

After approval, owners of relevant drug or biologic patents may apply for up to a five year patent extension. The allowable patent term extension is calculated as half of the drug's testing phase—the time between IND application and NDA or BLA submission—and all of the review phase—the time between NDA or BLA submission and approval up to a maximum of five years. The time can be shortened if FDA determines that the applicant did not pursue approval with due diligence. The total patent term after the extension may not exceed 14 years.

For patents that might expire during the application phase, the patent owner may request an interim patent extension. An interim patent extension increases the patent term by one year and may be renewed up to four times. For each interim patent extension granted, the post-approval patent extension is reduced by one year. The director of the U.S. PTO must determine that approval of the drug covered by the patent for which a patent extension is being sought is likely. Interim patent extensions are not available for a drug or biologic for which an NDA or BLA has not been submitted.

Biosimilars

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, creates an abbreviated approval pathway for biological products shown to be highly similar to or interchangeable with an FDA-licensed reference biological product. Biosimilarity sufficient to reference a prior FDA-approved product requires that there be no differences in conditions of use, route of administration, dosage form, and strength, and no clinically meaningful differences between the biological product and the reference product in terms of safety, purity, and potency. Biosimilarity must be shown through analytical trials, animal trials, and a clinical trial or trials, unless the Secretary of Health and Human Services waives a required element. A biosimilar product may be deemed interchangeable with a prior approved product if it meets the higher hurdle of demonstrating that it can be expected to produce the same clinical results as the reference product and, for products administered multiple times, the biologic and the reference biologic may be switched after one has been previously administered without increasing safety risks or risks of diminished efficacy relative to exclusive use of the reference biologic. To date, only a handful of biosimilar products and no interchangeable products have been approved under the BPCIA. Complexities associated with the larger, and often more complex, structures of biological products, as well as the process by which such products are manufactured, pose significant hurdles to implementation, which is still being evaluated by the FDA.

A reference biologic is granted 12 years of exclusivity from the time of first licensure of the reference product, and no application for a biosimilar can be submitted for four years from the date of licensure of the reference product. The first biologic product submitted under the abbreviated approval pathway that is determined to be interchangeable with the reference product has exclusivity against a finding of interchangeability for other biologics for the same condition of use for the lesser of (i) one year after first commercial marketing of the first interchangeable biosimilar, (ii) 18 months after the first interchangeable biosimilar is approved if there is no patent challenge, (iii) eighteen months after resolution of a lawsuit over the patents of the reference biologic in favor of the first interchangeable biosimilar applicant, or (iv) 42 months after the first interchangeable biosimilar's application has been approved if a patent lawsuit is ongoing within the 42-month period.

Post-approval requirements

Once a BLA is approved, a product will be subject to certain post-approval requirements. For instance, the FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of biologics, including standards and regulations for direct-to-consumer advertising, off-label promotion, industry-sponsored scientific and educational activities and promotional activities involving the internet. Biologics may be marketed only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved labeling.

Adverse event reporting and submission of periodic reports is required following FDA approval of a BLA. The FDA also may require post-marketing testing, known as Phase 4 testing, REMS, and surveillance to monitor the effects of an approved product, or the FDA may place conditions on an approval that could restrict the distribution or use of the product. In addition, quality control, biological product manufacture, packaging, and labeling procedures must continue to conform to cGMPs after approval. Biologic manufacturers and certain of their subcontractors are required to register their establishments with the FDA and certain state agencies. Registration with the FDA subjects entities to periodic unannounced inspections by the FDA, during which the agency inspects manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with cGMPs. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money, and effort in the areas of production and quality-control to maintain compliance with cGMPs. Regulatory authorities may withdraw product approvals or request product recalls if a company fails to comply with regulatory standards, if it encounters problems following initial marketing, or if previously unrecognized problems are subsequently discovered.

FDA regulation of companion diagnostics

If use of an *in vitro* diagnostic is essential to safe and effective use of a drug or biologic product, then the FDA generally will require approval or clearance of the diagnostic, known as a companion diagnostic, at the same time that the FDA approves the therapeutic product. The FDA has generally required *in vitro* companion diagnostics intended to select the patients who will respond to cancer treatment to obtain a pre-market approval, or PMA, for that diagnostic simultaneously with approval of the therapeutic. The review of these *in vitro* companion diagnostics in conjunction with the review of a cancer therapeutic involves coordination of review by the FDA's Center for Drug Evaluation and Research and by the FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health.

The PMA process, including the gathering of clinical and preclinical data and the submission to and review by the FDA, can take several years or longer. It involves a rigorous premarket review during which the applicant must prepare and provide the FDA with reasonable assurance of the device's safety and effectiveness and information about the device and its components regarding, among other things, device design, manufacturing and labeling. PMA applications are subject to a substantial application fee, which is typically increased annually. In addition, PMAs for certain devices must generally include the results from extensive preclinical and adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety and effectiveness of the device for each indication for which FDA approval is sought. In particular, for a diagnostic, the applicant must demonstrate that the diagnostic produces reproducible results when the same sample is tested multiple times by multiple users at multiple laboratories. As part of the PMA review, the FDA will typically inspect the manufacturer's facilities for compliance with the Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which imposes elaborate testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance requirements.

PMA approval is not guaranteed, and the FDA may ultimately respond to a PMA submission with a not approvable determination based on deficiencies in the application and require additional clinical trial or other data that may be expensive and time-consuming to generate and that can substantially delay approval. If the FDA's evaluation of the PMA application is favorable, the FDA typically issues an approvable letter requiring the applicant's agreement to specific conditions, such as changes in labeling, or specific additional information, such as submission of final labeling, in order to secure final approval of the PMA. If the FDA concludes that the applicable criteria have been met, the FDA will issue a PMA for the approved indications, which can be more limited than those originally sought by the applicant. The PMA can include post-approval conditions that the FDA believes necessary to ensure the safety and effectiveness of the device, including, among other things, restrictions on labeling, promotion, sale and distribution.

After a device is placed on the market, it remains subject to significant regulatory requirements. Medical devices may be marketed only for the uses and indications for which they are cleared or approved. Device manufacturers must also establish registration and device listings with the FDA. A medical device manufacturer's manufacturing processes and those of its suppliers are required to comply with the applicable portions of the QSR, which cover the methods and documentation of the design, testing, production, processes, controls, quality assurance, labeling, packaging and shipping of medical devices. Domestic facility records and manufacturing processes are subject to periodic unscheduled inspections by the FDA. The FDA also may inspect foreign facilities that export products to the United States.

Other U.S. healthcare laws and compliance requirements

In the United States, our activities are potentially subject to regulation by various federal, state and local authorities in addition to the FDA, including but not limited to, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or CMS, other divisions of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (e.g., the Office of Inspector General), the U.S. Department of Justice, or DOJ, and individual U.S. Attorney offices within the DOJ, and state and local governments. For example, sales, marketing and scientific/educational grant programs must comply with the anti-fraud and abuse provisions of the Social Security Act, the false claims laws, the privacy provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, or HIPAA, and similar state laws, each as amended.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, any person or entity, from knowingly and willfully offering, paying, soliciting or receiving any remuneration, directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind, to induce or in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for the purchase, lease or order of any item or service reimbursable under Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare programs. The term remuneration has been interpreted broadly to include anything of value. The Anti-Kickback Statute has been interpreted to apply to arrangements between pharmaceutical manufacturers on one hand and prescribers, purchasers, and formulary managers on the other. There are a number of statutory exceptions and regulatory safe harbors protecting some common activities from prosecution. The exceptions and safe harbors are drawn narrowly and practices that involve remuneration that may be alleged to be intended to induce prescribing, purchasing or recommending may be subject to scrutiny if they do not qualify for an exception or safe harbor. Failure to meet all of the requirements of a particular applicable statutory exception or regulatory safe harbor does not make the conduct per se illegal under the Anti-Kickback Statute. Instead, the legality of the arrangement will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis based on a cumulative review of all of its facts and circumstances. Our practices may not in all cases meet all of the criteria for protection under a statutory exception or regulatory safe harbor.

Additionally, the intent standard under the Anti-Kickback Statute was amended by the Affordable Care Act, or ACA, to a stricter standard such that a person or entity no longer needs to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation. In addition, the ACA codified case law that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the federal False Claims Act (discussed below).

The civil monetary penalties statute imposes penalties against any person or entity who, among other things, is determined to have presented or caused to be presented a claim to a federal health program that the person knows or should know is for an item or service that was not provided as claimed or is false or fraudulent.

The federal False Claims Act prohibits, among other things, any person or entity from knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, a false claim for payment to, or approval by, the federal government or knowingly making, using, or causing to be made or used a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim to the federal government. As a result of a modification made by the Fraud Enforcement and Recovery Act of 2009, a claim includes "any request or demand" for money or property presented to the U.S. government. Recently, several pharmaceutical and other healthcare companies have been prosecuted under these laws for allegedly providing free product to customers with the expectation that the customers would bill federal programs for the product. Other companies have been prosecuted for causing false claims to be submitted because of the companies' marketing of the product for unapproved, and thus generally non-reimbursable, uses.

HIPAA created new federal criminal statutes that prohibit knowingly and willfully executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud or to obtain, by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises, any money or property owned by, or under the control or custody of, any healthcare benefit program, including private third-party payors and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up by trick, scheme or device, a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services.

Also, many states have similar fraud and abuse statutes or regulations that apply to items and services reimbursed under Medicaid and other state programs, or, in several states, apply regardless of the payor. We may be subject to data privacy and security regulations by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, or HITECH, and its implementing regulations, imposes requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information. Among other things, HITECH makes HIPAA's privacy and security standards directly applicable to business associates, independent contractors or agents of covered entities that receive or obtain protected health information in connection with providing a service on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also created four new tiers of civil monetary penalties, amended HIPAA to make civil and criminal penalties directly applicable to business associates, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorneys' fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, state laws govern the privacy and security of health information in specified circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and may not have the same effect, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Additionally, the federal Physician Payments Sunshine Act within the ACA, and its implementing regulations, require that certain manufacturers of drugs, devices, biological and medical supplies for which payment is available under Medicare, Medicaid or the Children's Health Insurance Program (with certain exceptions) to report information related to certain payments or other transfers of value made or distributed to physicians and teaching hospitals, or to entities or individuals at the request of, or designated on behalf of, the physicians and teaching hospitals and to report annually certain ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members.

In order to distribute products commercially, we must comply with state laws that require the registration of manufacturers and wholesale distributors of drug and biological products in a state, including, in certain states, manufacturers and distributors who ship products into the state even if such manufacturers or distributors have no place of business within the state. Some states also impose requirements on manufacturers and distributors to establish the pedigree of product in the chain of distribution, including some states that require manufacturers and others to adopt new technology capable of tracking and tracing product as it moves through the distribution chain. Several states have enacted legislation requiring pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies to establish marketing compliance programs, file periodic reports with the state, make periodic public disclosures on sales, marketing, pricing, clinical trials and other activities, and/or register their sales representatives, as well as to prohibit pharmacies and other healthcare entities from providing certain physician prescribing data to pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies for use in sales and marketing, and to prohibit certain other sales and marketing practices. All of our activities are potentially subject to federal and state consumer protection and unfair competition laws.

If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the federal and state healthcare laws described above or any other governmental regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including without limitation, civil, criminal and/or administrative penalties, damages, fines, disgorgement, exclusion from participation in government programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, injunctions, private "qui tam" actions brought by individual whistleblowers in the name of the government, or refusal to allow us to enter into government contracts, contractual damages, reputational harm, administrative burdens, diminished profits and future earnings, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations, any of which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and our results of operations.

Coverage, pricing and reimbursement

Significant uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of any product candidates for which we obtain regulatory approval. In the United States and markets in other countries, sales of any products for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale will depend, in part, on the extent to which third-party payors provide coverage, and establish adequate reimbursement levels for such products. In the United States, third-party payors include federal and state healthcare programs, private managed care providers, health insurers and other organizations. The process for determining whether a third-party payor will provide coverage for a product may be separate from the process for setting the price of a product or for establishing the reimbursement rate that such a payor will pay for the product. Third-party payors may limit coverage to specific products on an approved list, also known as a formulary, which might not include all of the FDA-approved products for a particular indication. Third-party payors are increasingly challenging the price, examining the medical necessity and reviewing the cost-effectiveness of medical products, therapies and services, in addition to questioning their safety and efficacy. We may need to conduct expensive pharmaco-economic studies in order to demonstrate the medical necessity and cost-effectiveness of our products, in addition to the costs required to obtain the FDA approvals. Our product candidates may not be considered medically necessary or cost-effective. A payor's decision to provide coverage for a product does not imply that an adequate reimbursement rate will be approved. Further, one payor's determination to provide coverage for a product does not assure that other payors will also provide coverage for the product. Adequate third-party reimbursement may not be available to enable us to maintain price levels sufficient to realize an appropriate return on our investment in product development.

Different pricing and reimbursement schemes exist in other countries. In the EU, governments influence the price of pharmaceutical products through their pricing and reimbursement rules and control of national health care systems that fund a large part of the cost of those products to consumers. Some jurisdictions operate positive and negative list systems under which products may only be marketed once a reimbursement price has been agreed. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval, some of these countries may require the completion of clinical trials that compare the cost-effectiveness of a particular product candidate to currently available therapies. Other member states allow companies to fix their own prices for medicines, but monitor and control company profits. The downward pressure on health care costs has become very intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products. In addition, in some countries, cross-border imports from low-priced markets exert a commercial pressure on pricing within a country.

The marketability of any product candidates for which we receive regulatory approval for commercial sale may suffer if the government and third-party payors fail to provide adequate coverage and reimbursement. In addition, emphasis on

managed care in the United States has increased and we expect will continue to increase the pressure on healthcare pricing. Coverage policies and third-party reimbursement rates may change at any time. Even if favorable coverage and reimbursement status is attained for one or more products for which we receive regulatory approval, less favorable coverage policies and reimbursement rates may be implemented in the future.

Healthcare reform

The ACA has the potential to substantially change healthcare financing and delivery by both governmental and private insurers, and significantly impact the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industry. The ACA will impact existing government healthcare programs and will result in the development of new programs.

Among the ACA provisions of importance to the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries, in addition to those otherwise described above, are the following:

- an annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports certain specified branded prescription drugs and biologic agents apportioned among these entities according to their market share in some government healthcare programs, that began in 2011;
- an increase in the statutory minimum rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program, retroactive to January 1, 2010, to 23.1% and 13% of the average manufacturer price for most branded and generic drugs, respectively and capped the total rebate amount for innovator drugs at 100% of the Average Manufacturer Price, or AMP;
- a Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer 50% point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for the manufacturers' outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D;
- extension of manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability to covered drugs dispensed to individuals who are enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations;
- expansion of eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs by, among other things, allowing states to offer Medicaid coverage to additional individuals beginning in 2014 and by adding new mandatory eligibility categories for individuals with income at or below 133% of the federal poverty level, thereby potentially increasing manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability;
- expansion of the entities eligible for discounts under the Public Health Service pharmaceutical pricing program; and
- a new Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research.

We anticipate that the ACA will result in additional downward pressure on coverage and the price that we receive for any approved product, and could seriously harm our business. Any reduction in reimbursement from Medicare and other government programs may result in a similar reduction in payments from private payors. The implementation of cost containment measures or other healthcare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue, attain profitability, or commercialize our products.

On January 20, 2017, federal agencies with authorities and responsibilities under the ACA were directed to waive, defer, grant exemptions from, or delay the implementation of any provision of the ACA that would impose a fiscal burden on states or a cost, fee, tax, penalty or regulatory burden on individuals, healthcare providers, health insurers, or manufacturers of pharmaceuticals or medical devices. More recently, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act was signed into law in December 2017, which eliminated certain requirements of the ACA, including the individual mandate, and plans to repeal all or portions of the ACA have also been suggested. We cannot predict whether these challenges will continue or whether other proposals will be made or adopted, or what impact these efforts may have on us.

The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act

The Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, or FCPA, prohibits any U.S. individual or business from paying, offering, or authorizing payment or offering of anything of value, directly or indirectly, to any foreign official, political party or candidate for the purpose of influencing any act or decision of the foreign entity in order to assist the individual or business in obtaining or retaining business. The FCPA also obligates companies whose securities are listed in the United States to comply with accounting provisions requiring the company to maintain books and records that accurately and fairly reflect all transactions of the corporation, including international subsidiaries, and to devise and maintain an adequate system of internal accounting controls for international operations.

Additional regulation

In addition to the foregoing, state and federal laws regarding environmental protection and hazardous substances, including the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Resource Conservancy and Recovery Act and the Toxic Substances Control Act, affect our business. These and other laws govern our use, handling and disposal of various biological, chemical and radioactive substances used in, and wastes generated by, our operations. If our operations result in contamination of the environment or expose individuals to hazardous substances, we could be liable for damages and governmental fines. We believe that we are in material compliance with applicable environmental laws and that continued compliance therewith will not have a material adverse effect on our business. We cannot predict, however, how changes in these laws may affect our future operations.

Europe / rest of world government regulation

In addition to regulations in the United States, we will be subject to a variety of regulations in other jurisdictions governing, among other things, clinical trials and any commercial sales and distribution of our products. Whether or not we obtain FDA approval of a product, we must obtain the requisite approvals from regulatory authorities in foreign countries prior to the commencement of clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. Certain countries outside of the United States have a similar process that requires the submission of a clinical trial application much like the IND prior to the commencement of human clinical trials. In the EU, for example, a clinical trial application must be submitted to each country's national health authority and an independent ethics committee, much like the FDA and IRB, respectively. Once the clinical trial application is approved in accordance with a country's requirements, clinical trial development may proceed. Because biologically sourced raw materials are subject to unique contamination risks, their use may be restricted in some countries.

The requirements and process governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all cases, the clinical trials are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

To obtain regulatory approval of an investigational drug or biological product under EU regulatory systems, we must submit a marketing authorization application. The application used to file the BLA in the United States is similar to that required in the EU, with the exception of, among other things, country-specific document requirements.

For other countries outside of the EU, such as countries in Eastern Europe, Latin America or Asia, the requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all cases, again, the clinical trials are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

If we or our potential collaborators fail to comply with applicable foreign regulatory requirements, we may be subject to, among other things, fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution.

Corporate Information

We were formed as a limited liability company under the laws of the State of Delaware in December 2013 and converted to a Delaware corporation in March 2015. Our principal executive offices are located at 901 S. MoPac Expressway, Barton Oaks Plaza One, Suite 250, Austin, Texas 78746, and our telephone number is (512) 942-2935. Our website address is www.aegleabio.com. The information contained on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of this Annual Report, and you should not consider information on our website to be part of this Annual Report.

Employees

As of December 31, 2017, we had a total of 43 full-time employees. None of our employees is represented by a labor union or covered by a collective bargaining agreement. We have not experienced any work stoppages, and we consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Financial Information

We manage our operations and allocate resources as a single reporting segment. Financial information regarding our operations, assets and liabilities, including our net loss for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 and our total assets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, is included in our Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report.

Available Information

We file Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our filings with the SEC are available free of charge on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov and on our website under the "Investors" tab as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. You may also read and copy, at SEC prescribed rates, any document we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room located at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C. 20549. You can call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 to obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below, together with all of the other information in this annual report on Form 10-K, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," before investing in our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of, or that we currently believe are not material, may also become important factors that affect us. If any of the following risks occur, our business, operating results and prospects could be materially harmed. In that event, the price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose part or all of your investment.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

Our limited operating history may make it difficult for you to evaluate the success of our business to date and to assess our future viability.

We are a clinical-stage biotechnology company. We began operations as a limited liability company in December 2013 and converted to a Delaware corporation in March 2015. Our operations to date have been limited to organizing and staffing our company, business planning, raising capital, acquiring and developing our technology, identifying potential product candidates, undertaking nonclinical studies, and preparing for, commencing and conducting clinical trials of our most advanced product candidate, pegzilarginase (AEB1102).

We have not yet demonstrated our ability to successfully complete any clinical trials, including large-scale, pivotal clinical trials, obtain marketing approvals, manufacture a commercial scale product or arrange for a third party to do so on our behalf, or conduct sales and marketing activities necessary for successful product commercialization. Products, on average, take ten to 15 years to be developed from the time they are discovered to the time they are approved and available for treating patients. Although we have recruited a team that has experience with clinical trials, as a company we have little experience in conducting clinical trials. In part because of this lack of experience, we cannot be certain that planned or ongoing clinical trials will begin or be completed on time, if at all. Consequently, any predictions you make about our future success or viability based on our short operating history to date may not be as accurate as they could be if we had a longer operating history or an established track record in commercializing products or conducting clinical trials.

In addition, as a new business, we may encounter unforeseen expenses, difficulties, complications, delays and other known and unknown factors. We will need to transition from a company with a research focus to a company capable of supporting commercial activities. We may not be successful in such a transition.

We have no source of product revenue and we have incurred significant losses since inception. We expect to incur losses for the foreseeable future and may never achieve or maintain profitability.

We have a limited operating history. We have no approved products and have only begun clinical development of pegzilarginase. Our ability to generate revenue and become profitable depends upon our ability to successfully complete the development of any of our product candidates, including pegzilarginase, for any of our target indications and to obtain necessary regulatory approvals. To date, we have recognized revenue solely from a government grant and have not generated any product revenue. Even if we receive regulatory approval for any of our product candidates, we do not know when these product candidates will generate revenue for us, if at all.

In addition, since inception, we have incurred significant operating losses. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, we reported a net loss of \$27.2 million, \$21.7 million and \$11.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$72.5 million. We have financed our operations primarily through private placements of our preferred stock, the initial public offering, or IPO, of our common stock, which closed on April 12, 2016, a follow-on public offering of our common stock in June 2017 and collection of a research grant. We have devoted substantially all of our efforts to research and development. Currently, we are only conducting clinical development for pegzilarginase for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency and advanced solid tumors, including a combination clinical trial of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab. We have not initiated clinical development of our other product candidates and expect that it will be many years, if ever, before we have a product candidate ready for commercialization. We expect to continue to incur significant expenses and increasing operating losses for the foreseeable future, and the net losses we incur may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter. We anticipate that our expenses will increase substantially if and as we:

- continue our research, nonclinical and clinical development of our product candidates;
- seek to identify additional product candidates;

- conduct additional nonclinical studies and initiate clinical trials for our product candidates;
- seek marketing approvals for any of our product candidates that successfully complete clinical trials, including pivotal trials;
- ultimately establish a sales, marketing and distribution infrastructure to commercialize any product candidates for which we may obtain marketing approval;
- maintain, expand and protect our intellectual property portfolio;
- hire additional executive, clinical, quality control and scientific personnel;
- add operational, financial and management information systems and personnel, including personnel to support our product development; and
- acquire or in-license other product candidates and technologies.

We are unable to predict the timing or amount of increased expenses, or when, or if, we will be able to achieve or maintain profitability because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with product development. In addition, our expenses could increase significantly beyond expectations if we are required by the FDA, EMA, MHRA or other relevant regulatory authorities to modify protocols of our clinical trials or perform studies in addition to those that we currently anticipate. Even if pegzilarginase, or any of our other product candidates, is approved for commercial sale, we anticipate incurring significant costs associated with the commercial launch of any product candidate.

To become and remain profitable, we must develop and eventually commercialize a product candidate or product candidates with significant market potential. This will require us to be successful in a range of challenging activities, including completing nonclinical testing, initiating and completing clinical trials of one or more of our product candidates, obtaining marketing approval for these product candidates, manufacturing, marketing and selling those product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval and satisfying any post-marketing requirements. We may never succeed in these activities and, even if we do, we may never generate revenues that are significant or large enough to achieve profitability. We are currently only conducting clinical development for pegzilarginase for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency and advanced solid tumors, including a combination clinical trial of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab and are only in the nonclinical development stages for our remaining product candidates. If we do achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase profitability on a quarterly or annual basis. Our failure to become and remain profitable would decrease the value of the company and could impair our ability to raise capital, maintain or expand our research and development efforts, expand our business or continue our operations. A decline in the value of our company would also cause you to lose part or even all of your investment.

We may not be successful in advancing the clinical development of our product candidates, including pegzilarginase.

In order to execute on our strategy of advancing the clinical development of our product candidates, we are currently conducting multiple clinical trials for pegzilarginase, consisting of one Phase 1/2 clinical trial for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency, one Phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with advanced solid tumors with multiple cohort expansions, and one Phase 1/2 clinical trial to evaluate the combination of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab for the treatment of patients with small cell lung cancer. We have recently initiated the planned expansion portion of our Phase 1 trial of pegzilarginase for the treatment of advanced solid tumors to study small cell lung cancer, uveal melanoma, and cutaneous melanoma, all of which have been shown in published literature and preclinical studies to demonstrate a dependence on arginine. If our product candidate fails to work as we expect, or if we need to conduct additional studies to better understand the relationship between our product candidate and clinical activity, our ability to assess the therapeutic effect, seek regulatory approval or otherwise begin or further clinical development, could be compromised. For instance, we discontinued clinical development of pegzilarginase for the treatment of the hematological malignancies acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) in December 2017 due to lack of significant clinical activity or evidence of clinical benefit. Also, while there is an established link between seizures and elevated levels of certain arginine metabolites, we may not be able to determine the relationship between clinical activity and arginine and its metabolites, if any, for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency. Any such events may result in longer development times, larger trials and a greater likelihood of terminating the trial or not obtaining regulatory approval.

In addition, as we pursue oncology-related applications of our product candidates, because the natural history of different tumor types is variable, we will need to study our product candidates, including pegzilarginase, in clinical trials specific for a given tumor type and this will result in increased time and cost. Even if our product candidate demonstrates efficacy in a particular tumor type, we cannot guarantee that any product candidate, including pegzilarginase, will behave

similarly in all tumor types, and we will be required to obtain separate regulatory approvals for each tumor type we intend a product candidate to treat. If any of our ongoing or planned clinical trials are unsuccessful, our business will suffer.

We or third parties may not be successful in developing companion diagnostic assays for our product candidates.

In developing a product candidate, we expect that if we use a biomarker-based test to identify and only enroll patients in clinical trials with tumors that express the biomarker, the FDA will require the development and regulatory approval of a companion diagnostic assay as a condition to approval of the product candidate. We do not have experience or capabilities in developing or commercializing these companion diagnostics and plan to rely in large part on third parties to perform these functions. Companion diagnostic assays are subject to regulation by the FDA as medical devices and require separate regulatory approval prior to the use of such diagnostic assays with a therapeutic product candidate. If we, or any third parties that we engage to assist us, are unable to successfully develop companion diagnostic assays for use with our product candidates, or experience delays in development, we may be unable to identify patients with the specific profile targeted by our product candidates for enrollment in our clinical trials. Accordingly, further investment may be required to further develop or obtain the required regulatory approval for the relevant companion diagnostic assay, which would delay or substantially impact our ability to conduct further clinical trials or obtain regulatory approval. In addition, if a companion diagnostic is necessary for any of our product candidates, the delay or failure to obtain regulatory approval of the companion diagnostic would delay or prevent the approval of the therapeutic product candidate. EMA, MHRA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may also require the development and regulatory approval of a companion diagnostic assay as a condition to approval of the product candidate.

We will need substantial additional funding. If we are unable to raise capital when needed, we would be compelled to delay, reduce or eliminate our product development programs or commercialization efforts.

We expect our expenses to increase in parallel with our ongoing activities, particularly as we continue our discovery and nonclinical development to identify new clinical candidates and initiate and continue clinical trials of, and seek marketing approval for, our product candidates. In addition, if we obtain marketing approval for any of our product candidates, we expect to incur significant commercialization expenses related to product sales, marketing, manufacturing and distribution. Furthermore, we expect to continue to incur additional costs associated with operating as a public company. Accordingly, we will need to obtain substantial additional funding in connection with our continuing operations. If we are unable to raise capital when needed or on acceptable terms, we would be forced to delay, reduce or eliminate our discovery and nonclinical development programs, our ongoing clinical development, or any future clinical development or commercialization efforts.

Based upon our planned use of our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities as of December 31, 2017, we estimate such funds will be sufficient for us to fund our ongoing Phase 1/2 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, our ongoing Phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with advanced solid tumors, including our three single agent cohort expansions in small cell lung cancer, uveal melanoma, and cutaneous melanoma, as well as our ongoing Phase 1/2 combination clinical trial of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab for the treatment of patients with small cell lung cancer. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the costs associated with the scope, progress and results of compound discovery, nonclinical development, laboratory testing and clinical trials for our product candidates;
- the costs related to the extent to which we enter into partnerships or other arrangements with third parties in order to further develop our product candidates;
- the costs and fees associated with the discovery, acquisition or in-license of product candidates or technologies;
- our ability to establish collaborations on favorable terms, if at all;
- the costs of future commercialization activities, if any, including product sales, marketing, manufacturing and distribution, for any of our product candidates for which we receive marketing approval;
- revenue, if any, received from commercial sales of our product candidates, should any of our product candidates receive marketing approval; and
- the costs of preparing, filing and prosecuting patent applications, maintaining and enforcing our intellectual property rights and defending intellectual property-related claims.

Our product candidates, if approved, may not achieve commercial success. Our commercial revenues, if any, will be derived from sales of product candidates that we do not expect to be commercially available for many years, if at all. Accordingly, we will continue to rely on additional financing to achieve our business objectives, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms, or at all.

Raising additional capital may cause dilution to our stockholders, restrict our operations or require us to relinquish rights to our technologies or product candidates.

Until such time, if ever, as we can generate substantial product revenues, we expect to finance our cash needs through a combination of equity or equity-linked offerings, debt financings, grants from research organizations and license and collaboration agreements. We do not have any committed external source of funds other than our grant agreement with the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas. To the extent that we raise additional capital through the sale of equity or convertible debt securities, your ownership interest will be diluted, and the terms of these securities may rank senior to our common stock and include liquidation or other preferences, covenants or other terms that adversely affect your rights as a common stockholder. Further, any future sales of our common stock by us or resale of our common stock by our existing stockholders could cause the market price of our common stock to decline. Debt financing and preferred equity financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends.

If we raise additional funds through collaborations, strategic alliances or marketing, distribution or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may have to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies, future revenue streams, research programs or product candidates or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us and/or that may reduce the value of our common stock.

We depend heavily on the success of our most advanced product candidate, pegzilarginase. All of our product candidates, other than pegzilarginase, are still in nonclinical development or nonclinical testing, and for pegzilarginase, the early stages of clinical development. Existing and future clinical trials of our product candidates, including pegzilarginase, may not be successful. If we are unable to commercialize our product candidates or experience significant delays in doing so, our business will be materially harmed.

We have invested a significant portion of our efforts and financial resources in the nonclinical and clinical development and testing of our most advanced product candidate, pegzilarginase, for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency and advanced solid tumors, including a combination clinical trial of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab. Our ability to generate product revenues, which we do not expect will occur for many years, if ever, will depend heavily on the successful development and eventual commercialization of pegzilarginase. The success of pegzilarginase and our other product candidates will depend on many factors, including the following:

- successful enrollment of patients in, and the completion of, our ongoing and planned clinical trials;
- receiving required regulatory approvals for the development and commercialization of our product candidates as monotherapy or in combination with other products;
- establishing commercial manufacturing capabilities or making arrangements with third-party manufacturers;
- obtaining and maintaining patent and trade secret protection and non-patent exclusivity for our product candidates and their components;
- enforcing and defending intellectual property rights and claims;
- achieving desirable therapeutic properties for our product candidates' intended indications;
- launching commercial sales of our product candidates, if and when approved, whether alone or in collaboration with third parties;
- acceptance of our product candidates, if and when approved, by patients, the medical community and third-party payors;
- effectively competing with other therapies; and
- maintaining an acceptable safety profile of our product candidates through clinical trials and following regulatory approval.

If we do not achieve one or more of these factors in a timely manner or at all, we could experience significant delays or an inability to successfully commercialize our product candidates, which would materially harm our business.

Clinical drug development involves a lengthy and expensive process with an uncertain outcome. We may experience delays in completing, or ultimately be unable to complete, the development and commercialization of any of our product candidates.

We have initiated clinical trials of our lead product candidate pegzilarginase, and the risk of failure for all of our product candidates is high. Before obtaining marketing approval from regulatory authorities for the sale of any product candidate, we must complete nonclinical development and then conduct extensive clinical trials to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidates in humans for the respective target indications. Clinical testing is expensive, difficult to design and implement and can take many years to complete, and its outcome is inherently uncertain. Failure can occur at any time during the clinical trial process. Further, the results of nonclinical studies and early clinical trials of our product candidates may not be predictive of the results of later-stage clinical trials that will likely differ in design and size from early-stage clinical trials, and interim results of a clinical trial do not necessarily predict final results. For example, while we have observed a reduction in blood arginine and arginine metabolite levels due to pegzilarginase in patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, and a reduction in blood arginine levels due to pegzilarginase in patients with advanced solid tumors and the hematological malignancies AML and MDS, this data may not necessarily be predictive of the final results of all patients intended to be enrolled in these ongoing clinical trials or in future trials, and may also not be predictive of pegzilarginase's ability to reduce arginine or arginine metabolite levels for these patients over a longer term. Furthermore, our ongoing Phase 1/2 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency and our Phase 1 clinical trials for the treatment of advanced solid tumors will evaluate the safety of our product candidates, and we will not be evaluating the efficacy of our product candidates in these early trials. Moreover, nonclinical and clinical data are often susceptible to varying interpretations and analyses, and many companies that have believed their product candidates performed satisfactorily in nonclinical studies and clinical trials have nonetheless failed to obtain marketing approval of their products. It is impossible to predict when or if any of our product candidates will prove effective or safe in humans or will receive regulatory approval.

We may experience delays in our ongoing and planned clinical trials and we do not know whether planned clinical trials will begin or enroll subjects on time, whether enrolled subjects will complete trials on time or at all, whether they will need to be redesigned or whether they will be able to be completed on schedule, if at all. There can be no assurance that the FDA, EMA, MHRA or any similar foreign regulatory agency will allow us to begin clinical trials or that they will not put any of the trials for any of our product candidates that enter or have entered clinical development on clinical hold in the future. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, clinical trials that could delay or prevent our ability to receive marketing approval or commercialize our product candidates. Clinical trials may be delayed, suspended or prematurely terminated because costs are greater than we anticipate or for a variety of reasons, such as:

- delay or failure in reaching agreement with the FDA, EMA, MHRA or a comparable foreign regulatory authority on a trial design that we are able to execute;
- delay or failure in obtaining authorization to commence a trial or inability to comply with conditions imposed by a regulatory authority regarding the scope or design of a clinical trial;
- delays in reaching, or failure to reach, agreement on acceptable clinical trial contracts or clinical trial protocols with planned trial sites;
- modifications to our ongoing and planned clinical trial protocols due to regulatory requirements or decisions made by regulatory authorities;
- reports of safety issues, side effects or dose-limiting toxicities, or any additional or more severe safety issues in addition to those observed to date;
- inability, delay, or failure in identifying and maintaining a sufficient number of trial sites, many of which may already be engaged in other clinical programs;
- delay or failure in recruiting and enrolling suitable subjects to participate in one or more clinical trials;
- delay or failure in having subjects complete a trial or return for post-treatment follow-up. For instance, in March 2018, a pediatric patient previously dosed in Part 1 of our Phase 1/2 clinical trial of pegzilarginase for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency withdrew from the trial due to the burden of balancing school with clinical trial obligations;
- clinical sites and investigators deviating from the trial protocol, failing to conduct the trial in accordance with regulatory requirements, or dropping out of a trial;
- a clinical hold for any of our ongoing or planned clinical trials, including for pegzilarginase, where a clinical hold in a trial in one indication could result in a clinical hold for clinical trials in other indications;

- clinical trials of our product candidates may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct more clinical trials than we anticipate or abandon product development programs; for example, the FDA may determine that dose findings are inadequate based on results from our Phase 1/2 trial or any future Phase 3 trial in pegzilarginase for the treatment of hyperargininemia secondary to Arginase 1 Deficiency and require additional dose finding studies to inform instructions for use that provide a safe dosing algorithm for pediatric patients.
- the number of patients required for clinical trials of our product candidates may be larger than we anticipate, enrollment in these clinical trials may be slower than we anticipate or insufficient or participants may drop out of these clinical trials at a higher rate than we anticipate;
- we may experience delays or difficulties in the enrollment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency or patients with tumors, including the identification of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency or development or identification of a test, if needed, to screen for those cancer patients;
- our third-party contractors may fail to comply with regulatory requirements or meet their contractual obligations to us in a timely manner, or at all;
- we may have difficulty partnering with experienced CROs that can screen for patients with tumors dependent on arginine that pegzilarginase is designed to target and with CROs that can run our clinical trials effectively;
- regulators may require that we or our investigators suspend or terminate clinical research for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements or a finding that the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;
- the supply or quality of our product candidates or other materials necessary to conduct clinical trials of our product candidates may be insufficient or inadequate; or
- there may be changes in governmental regulations or administrative actions.

If we are required to modify our ongoing clinical trial protocols, conduct additional clinical trials or other testing of our product candidates beyond those that we currently contemplate, if we are unable to successfully initiate or complete clinical trials of our product candidates or other testing, if the results of these trials or tests do not demonstrate sufficient clinical benefit or if our product candidates do not have an acceptable safety profile, we may:

- be delayed in obtaining marketing approval for our product candidates;
- not obtain marketing approval at all;
- cease development of our product candidates;
- obtain approval for indications or patient populations that are not as broad as intended or desired;
- obtain approval with labeling that includes significant use or distribution restrictions or safety warnings that would reduce the potential market for our product candidates or inhibit our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates;
- be subject to additional post-marketing restrictions and/or testing requirements; or
- have the product removed from the market after obtaining marketing approval.

We do not know whether any of our planned or current nonclinical studies, or ongoing or planned clinical trials, will need to be restructured or will be completed on schedule, or at all. For example, we have previously delayed enrollment of pediatric patients in our Phase 1/2 trial of pegzilarginase for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency due to a difference in opinion with the FDA on data required to support inclusion of pediatric patients. Although we have since reached an agreement with the FDA, the FDA may require additional information or studies to be conducted, or impose conditions that could further delay or restrict our other planned clinical activities in the future. For example, we are currently administering a large battery of neurocognitive evaluations in pediatric patients in this Phase 1/2 clinical trial, but the FDA may not agree with the overall burden or relevance of including these measures in a Phase 3 trial. In addition, we intend to study surrogate endpoints, such as reduction in blood arginine levels, as the primary endpoints in our Phase 3 clinical trial; however, we may need to show some evidence of stabilization or improvement of clinical signs and symptoms of Arginase 1 Deficiency, such as on neurocognitive outcomes and quality-of-life measurements, to support the primary endpoint. If we are unable to demonstrate consistent trends on such clinical endpoints, FDA may determine that there is inadequate justification to support that the surrogate endpoint is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, which would prohibit approval under the accelerated approval pathway. Significant nonclinical or clinical trial delays also could shorten any periods during which we may have the exclusive right to commercialize our product candidates or allow our

competitors to bring products to market before we do and impair our ability to successfully commercialize our product candidates and may materially harm our business and results of operations.

We may not be able to submit INDs, or foreign equivalents outside of the United States, to commence clinical trials for product candidates on the timeframes we expect, and even if we are able to, the FDA, EMA, MHRA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may not permit us to proceed with planned clinical trials.

We are currently conducting nonclinical development of our product candidates other than our clinical trials for pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency and advanced solid tumors, including a combination clinical trial of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab. Progression of any candidate into clinical trials is inherently risky and dependent on the results obtained in nonclinical programs, and other potential results such as the results of other clinical programs and results of third-party programs. If results are not available when expected or problems are identified during therapy development, we may experience significant delays in clinical development. This may also impact our ability to achieve certain financial milestones and the expected timeframes to market any of our product candidates. Failure to submit or have effective INDs, CTAs or other comparable foreign equivalents and commence clinical programs will significantly limit our opportunity to generate revenue.

Our engineered human enzyme product candidates for our oncology indications represent a novel approach to cancer treatment, which could result in heightened regulatory scrutiny, delays in clinical development, or delays in our ability to achieve regulatory approval or commercialization of our product candidates.

Engineered human enzyme products are a new category of therapeutics. Because this is a relatively new and expanding area of novel therapeutic interventions, there can be no assurance as to the length of the trial period, the manufacturing and quality control standards required to be met by regulators, the number of patients the FDA, EMA, MHRA or another applicable regulatory authority will require to be enrolled in the trials in order to establish the safety, efficacy, purity and potency of engineered human enzyme products, or that the data generated in these trials will be acceptable to the FDA or another applicable regulatory authority to support marketing approval.

We have only initiated early-stage clinical trials for pegzilarginase for the treatment of certain conditions. We have not dosed any of our other product candidates in humans. Our existing and future planned clinical trials may reveal significant adverse events, toxicities or other side effects not seen in our nonclinical studies and may result in a safety profile that could inhibit regulatory approval or market acceptance of any of our product candidates.

In order to obtain marketing approval for any of our product candidates, we must demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the product candidate for the relevant clinical indication or indications through nonclinical studies and clinical trials as well as additional supporting data. If our product candidates are associated with undesirable side effects in nonclinical studies or clinical trials, in monotherapy or combination therapy, or have characteristics that are unexpected, we may need to interrupt, delay or abandon their development or limit development to more narrow uses or subpopulations in which the undesirable side effects or other characteristics are less prevalent, less severe or more acceptable from a risk-benefit perspective.

We are currently conducting clinical trials for pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, advanced solid tumors, including a combination clinical trial of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab. Given the nature of the patient population enrolled in these trials, we have observed and expect to continue to observe serious adverse events that could be related or unrelated to pegzilarginase. In a Phase 1 trial of pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with advanced solid tumors and a previously concluded trial of pegzilarginase for the treatment of the patients with hematological malignancies AML and MDS, we have observed serious adverse events in some patients, including death. To date, those serious adverse events that were considered possibly or probably related to the administration of pegzilarginase include nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, dehydration, dizziness, encephalopathy manifest as acute agitation, failure to thrive, fatigue, hypertension, asthenia, and intracranial hemorrhage. In our Phase 1/2 trial of pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, we have observed three related serious adverse events in one patient to date, including facial flushing, facial swelling, and throat tightness. Subjects in our ongoing and planned clinical trials with pegzilarginase may suffer minor, significant, serious, or even life-threatening adverse events, including those that are drug-related. Subjects in our ongoing and planned clinical trials may also suffer side effects not yet observed in any of our prior and ongoing clinical or nonclinical studies, including, but not limited to, toxicities to the nervous system, liver, heart, kidney, blood or immune system. We have not dosed any of our other product candidates in humans.

Testing in animals, such as our primate studies for pegzilarginase, may not uncover all side effects in humans or any observed side effects in animals may be more severe in humans. For example, it is possible that patients' immune

systems may recognize our engineered human enzymes as foreign and trigger an immune response. This risk is heightened in some patients who lack the target enzyme, as is the case with patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency that we are treating in our Phase 1/2 trial and our future trials for this rare genetic disease. In addition, our product candidates such as pegzilarginase break down target amino acids such as arginine, thereby releasing metabolites such as ornithine into the bloodstream. Some patients may be sensitive to these metabolites, increasing the risk of an adverse reaction due to treatment, which risk may not be able to be mitigated through dosing. Finally, although our engineered human enzyme product candidates such as pegzilarginase are engineered from the human genome, pegzilarginase is produced in *E. coli*. This manufacturing process could lead pegzilarginase to be more likely to trigger an immune response than we expect.

To the extent significant adverse events or other side effects are observed in any of our clinical trials, we may have difficulty recruiting patients to the clinical trial, patients may drop out of our trial, or we may be required to abandon the trial or our development efforts of that product candidate altogether. Some potential therapeutics developed in the biotechnology industry that initially showed therapeutic promise in early-stage studies have later been found to cause side effects that prevented their further development. Even if the side effects do not preclude the drug from obtaining or maintaining marketing approval, undesirable side effects may inhibit market acceptance of the approved product due to its tolerability versus other therapies. Any of these developments could materially harm our business, financial condition and prospects.

Further, toxicities associated with our product candidates may also develop after regulatory approval and lead to the withdrawal of the product from the market. We cannot predict whether our product candidates will cause organ or other injury in humans that would preclude or lead to the revocation of regulatory approval based on nonclinical studies or early stage clinical testing.

If we experience delays or difficulties in the enrollment of patients in our ongoing or planned clinical trials, our receipt of necessary regulatory approvals could be delayed or prevented.

We may not be able to initiate or continue our ongoing or planned clinical trials if we are unable to locate and enroll a sufficient number of eligible patients to participate in these trials as required by the FDA, EMA, MHRA or other foreign regulatory bodies. More specifically, many of our product candidates, including pegzilarginase, initially target indications that may be characterized as orphan markets, which can prolong the clinical trial timeline for the regulatory process if sufficient patients cannot be enrolled in a timely manner. Arginase 1 Deficiency is a rare disorder, and there are no published reports of disease prevalence. Newborn screening data for two reliably detected urea cycle disorders allowed disease experts to estimate the incidence of Arginase 1 Deficiency at 1:950,000 births. Assuming a less than normal life span, we believe that at least 600 individuals in global addressable markets have Arginase 1 Deficiency. Presently, only 34 U.S. states and jurisdictions screen for Arginase 1 Deficiency, and screening in Europe is not universal. Due to screening requirements and enrollment restrictions in our amended clinical trial protocol, or any additional restrictions that may be imposed by regulatory agencies, not all pediatric patients may be eligible for inclusion in our Phase 1/2 trial in the United States. To date we have identified more than 50 patients in the United States and Europe.

Delays in patient enrollment could result in increased costs, delays in advancing our product development, delays in testing the effectiveness of our technology or termination of the clinical trials altogether.

Patient enrollment is affected by factors including:

- the severity of the disease under investigation;
- the design of the clinical trial protocol;
- the novelty of the product candidate and acceptance by physicians;
- the patient eligibility criteria for the study in question;
- the size of the total patient population;
- the design of the clinical trials;
- the perceived risks and benefits of the product candidate under study;
- the availability and efficacy of competing therapies and clinical trials;
- our payments for conducting clinical trials;
- the patient referral practices of physicians;

- the ability to monitor patients adequately during and after treatment with the product candidate; and
- the proximity and availability of clinical trial sites for prospective patients.

In addition, some patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency suffer from heightened levels of ammonia, or hyperammonemia. Horizon Pharma plc has gained approval for its products RAVICTI (glycerol phenylbutyrate) and BUPHENYL (sodium phenylbutyrate) to treat patients with urea cycle disorders suffering from hyperammonemia. Some patients who may be eligible for our ongoing or planned clinical trials may instead pursue treatment for this effect of their condition by taking RAVICTI (glycerol phenylbutyrate) or through dietary protein restriction. Our inability to enroll a sufficient number of patients for any of our clinical trials could result in significant delays and could require us to abandon one or more clinical trials altogether. Enrollment delays in our clinical trials may result in increased development costs for our product candidates and in delays to commercially launching our product candidates, if approved, which would cause the value of our company to decline and limit our ability to obtain additional financing.

The safety or efficacy profile of pegzilarginase may differ in combination therapy with other existing or future drugs, and therefore may preclude its further development or approval, which would materially harm our business.

From time to time, our commercialization strategy may include the combination of our product candidates with third-parties' products or product candidates. For example, we are currently conducting a combination trial with Merck to evaluate the combination of pegzilarginase with Merck's anti-PDF-1 therapy, KEYTRUDA (pembrolizumab), for the treatment of patients with small cell lung cancer. These combination studies involve additional risks due to their reliance on circumstances outside our control, such as those relating to the availability and marketability of the third-party product involved in the study. Although Merck has agreed to provide pembrolizumab in connection with our ongoing combination trial, we may be unable to secure and maintain a sufficient supply of such third-party products when needed on commercially reasonable terms. Any such shortages could cause us to delay or terminate our combination trials.

It is also difficult to predict the way in which pegzilarginase will interact with third-party products used in combination clinical trials. As a result, such combination trials may demonstrate reduced efficacy, increase or exacerbate side effects that have been seen with pegzilarginase alone, or result in new side effects that have not previously been identified with pegzilarginase alone. In addition, data obtained from any combination trials may be subject to a variety of interpretations. For instance, positive data may not guarantee the ability to move forward due to changes in the landscape for the treatment of targeted indications, and failure to achieve our primary endpoints may not necessarily preclude a viable commercial path. Any undesirable side effects, lack of efficacy seen in combination trials, differing interpretation of clinical data or other unforeseen circumstances may affect our ability to continue with and obtain regulatory approval for the combination therapy, as well as our ability to continue with and obtain regulatory approval for pegzilarginase monotherapy.

Further, evaluating pegzilarginase in combination with other products in clinical development may require us to establish collaborations, licensing arrangements or alliances with third parties. There is no assurance that we will be able to enter into such arrangements on favorable terms, or at all.

Even though we have obtained orphan drug designation for pegzilarginase in the United States and Europe for the treatment of hyperargininemia, we may not obtain or maintain orphan drug exclusivity for pegzilarginase and we may not obtain orphan drug designation or exclusivity for any of our other product candidates or indications.

Regulatory authorities in some jurisdictions, including the United States and Europe, may designate drugs or biologics for relatively small patient populations as orphan drugs. Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may designate a product as an orphan drug if it is a drug or biologic intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally defined as a patient population of fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Similarly, the European Commission may designate a product as an orphan drug under certain circumstances.

Generally, if a product with an orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first marketing approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to a period of marketing exclusivity, which precludes the FDA or the EMA from approving another marketing application for the same drug for the same disease for that time period. The applicable period is seven years in the United States and ten years in the European Union. The European exclusivity period can be reduced to six years if a drug no longer meets the criteria for orphan drug designation or if the drug is sufficiently profitable so that market exclusivity is no longer justified. Orphan drug exclusivity may be lost if the FDA or EMA determines that the request for designation was materially defective or if the manufacturer is unable to assure sufficient quantity of the drug to meet the needs of patients with the rare disease or condition.

In March 2015, we obtained orphan drug designation in the United States for pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency. In July 2016, we also received orphan drug designation in Europe for pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency. A company that first obtains FDA or EMA approval for a designated orphan drug for the designated rare disease or condition receives orphan drug marketing exclusivity for that drug for the designated disease for a period of seven years in the United States or ten years in the European Union, respectively. This orphan drug exclusivity prevents the FDA or EMA from approving another application, including a Biologics License Application, or BLA, in the United States or a MAA in the European Union, to market a drug containing the same principal molecular structural features for the same orphan indication, except in very limited circumstances, including when the FDA or the EMA concludes that the later drug is safer, more effective or makes a major contribution to patient care. In addition, a designated orphan drug may not receive orphan drug exclusivity if it is approved for a use that is broader than the indication for which it received orphan designation.

Even though we have received orphan drug designation for pegzilarginase for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency, we may not be the first to obtain marketing approval for the orphan-designated indication due to the uncertainties associated with developing pharmaceutical product candidates. Further, even if we obtain orphan drug exclusivity for a product, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product from competition because different drugs with different active moieties can be approved for the same condition or a drug with the same principal molecular structural features can be approved for a different indication. Orphan drug designation neither shortens the development time or regulatory review time of a drug nor gives the drug any advantage in the regulatory review or approval process. In addition, even if we intend to seek orphan drug designation for other product candidates or indications, we may never receive such designations or obtain orphan drug exclusivity.

Failure to obtain marketing approval in international jurisdictions would prevent our product candidates from being marketed abroad.

In order to market and sell our products in the European Union and many other jurisdictions, we or our third-party collaborators must obtain separate marketing approvals and comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing and different criteria for approval. The time required to obtain approval may differ substantially from that required to obtain FDA approval. The regulatory approval process outside the United States generally includes all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval. In addition, in many countries outside the United States, it is required that the product be approved for reimbursement before the product can be approved for sale in that country. We, or our third-party collaborators, may not obtain approvals from regulatory authorities outside the United States on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions, and approval by one regulatory authority outside the United States does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions or by the FDA. However, failure to obtain approval in some countries or jurisdictions may compromise our ability to obtain approval elsewhere. We may not be able to file for marketing approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in any market.

If the market opportunities for our product candidates are smaller than we believe they are, our future product revenues may be adversely affected and our business may suffer.

Our understanding of both the number of people who suffer from conditions such as Arginase 1 Deficiency or who have advanced solid tumors dependent on arginine, as well as the potential subset of those who have the potential to benefit from treatment with our product candidates such as pegzilarginase, are based on estimates. These estimates may prove to be incorrect and new studies may reduce the estimated incidence or prevalence of these diseases. The number of patients in the United States, Europe or elsewhere may turn out to be lower than expected, may not be otherwise amenable to treatment with our product candidates or patients may become increasingly difficult to identify and access, all of which would adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Further, there are several factors that could contribute to making the actual number of patients who receive our potential product candidates less than the potentially addressable market. These include the lack of widespread availability of, and limited reimbursement for, new therapies in many underdeveloped markets.

Even if any of our product candidates receives marketing approval, it may fail to achieve the degree of market acceptance by physicians, patients, third-party payors and others in the medical community necessary for commercial success.

Even if any of our product candidates receives marketing approval, it may nonetheless fail to gain sufficient market acceptance by physicians, patients, third-party payors and others in the medical community necessary for commercial

success. For example, current cancer treatments like chemotherapy and radiation therapy are well established in the medical community, and physicians may continue to rely on these treatments instead of adopting the use of pegzilarginase for the treatment of patients with arginine dependent cancers. In addition, many new drugs have been recently approved and many more are in the pipeline to treat patients with cancer. Additionally, current treatments for Arginase 1 Deficiency include dietary protein restriction and, in some instances, nitrogen-scavenging drugs such as RAVICTI (glycerol phenylbutyrate). If our product candidates do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance, we may never generate significant product revenues and we may not become profitable. The degree of market acceptance of our product candidates, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on a number of factors, including:

- their efficacy, safety and other potential advantages compared to alternative treatments;
- our ability to offer them for sale at competitive prices;
- their convenience and ease of administration compared to alternative treatments;
- the willingness of the target patient population to try new therapies and of physicians to prescribe these therapies;
- the strength of marketing and distribution support;
- the availability of third-party coverage and adequate reimbursement for our product candidates;
- the prevalence and severity of their side effects;
- any restrictions on the use of our product candidates together with other medications;
- interactions of our product candidates with other products patients are taking; and
- inability of patients with certain medical histories to take our product candidates.

We expect to expand our development and regulatory capabilities and potentially implement sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, and, as a result, we may encounter difficulties in managing our growth, which could disrupt our operations.

We expect to experience significant growth in the number of our employees and the scope of our operations, particularly in the areas of product candidate development, regulatory affairs and, if any of our product candidates receives marketing approval, sales, marketing and distribution.

We currently do not have a marketing or sales team for the marketing, sales and distribution of any of our product candidates that are potentially able to obtain regulatory approval. In order to commercialize any product candidates, we must build on a territory-by-territory basis marketing, sales, distribution, managerial and other non-technical capabilities or make arrangements with third parties to perform these services, and we may not be successful in doing so. If our product candidates receive regulatory approval, we intend to establish an internal sales or marketing team with technical expertise and supporting distribution capabilities to commercialize our product candidates, which will be expensive and time consuming and will require significant attention of our executive officers to manage. Any failure or delay in the development of our internal sales, marketing and distribution capabilities would adversely impact the commercialization of any of our product candidates that we obtain approval to market. With respect to the commercialization of all or certain of our product candidates, we may choose to collaborate, either globally or on a territory-by-territory basis, with third parties that have direct sales forces and established distribution systems, either to augment our own sales force and distribution systems or in lieu of our own sales force and distribution systems. If we are unable to enter into such arrangements when needed on acceptable terms, or at all, we may not be able to successfully commercialize any of our product candidates that receive regulatory approval or any such commercialization may experience delays or limitations. If we are not successful in commercializing our product candidates, either on our own or through collaborations with one or more third parties, our future product revenue will suffer and we may incur significant additional losses.

To manage our anticipated future growth, we must continue to implement and improve our managerial, operational and financial systems, expand our facilities and continue to recruit and train additional qualified personnel. Due to our limited financial resources and the limited experience of our management team in managing a public company with such anticipated growth, we may not be able to effectively manage the expansion of our operations or recruit and train additional qualified personnel. The expansion of our operations may lead to significant costs and may divert our management and business development resources. Any inability to manage growth could delay the execution of our business plans or disrupt our operations.

We face significant competition from other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies and our operating results will suffer if we fail to compete effectively.

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are intensely competitive. We have competitors both in the United States and internationally, including major multinational pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies, universities and other research institutions. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, technical and other resources, such as larger research and development staff and experienced marketing and manufacturing organizations and well-established sales forces. Competition may increase further as a result of advances in the commercial applicability of technologies and greater availability of capital for investment in these industries. Our competitors may succeed in developing, acquiring or licensing, on an exclusive basis, product candidates that are more effective or less costly than any product candidate that we are currently developing or that we may develop.

We face intense competition from companies developing products to address urea cycle disorders. For example, Horizon Pharma plc has gained approval for its drug RAVICTI (glycerol phenylbutyrate), which is used to treat patients with urea cycle disorders suffering from hyperammonemia, which may include patients suffering from Arginase 1 Deficiency. Patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency may also benefit from taking RAVICTI (glycerol phenylbutyrate). Erytech Pharma announced a potential collaboration to explore preclinical development of an Arginase 1 Deficiency candidate. We also face intense competition from companies developing products and therapies to treat cancer. For example, Polaris Group is conducting numerous clinical trials of ADI-PEG 20, an enzyme derived from mycoplasma, which degrades arginine in the blood.

Our ability to compete successfully will depend largely on our ability to leverage our experience in product candidate discovery and development to:

- discover and develop product candidates that are superior to other products in the market;
- attract qualified management, scientific, product development and commercial personnel;
- obtain and maintain patent and/or other proprietary protection for our product candidates and technologies;
- obtain required regulatory approvals; and
- successfully collaborate with research institutions or pharmaceutical companies in the discovery, development and commercialization of new product candidates.

The availability and price of our competitors' products could limit the demand, and the price we are able to charge, for any of our product candidates, if approved. We will not achieve our business plan if acceptance is inhibited by price competition or the reluctance of physicians to switch from existing drug products or other therapies to our product candidates, or if physicians switch to other new drug products or choose to reserve our product candidates for use in limited circumstances.

Established biotechnology companies may invest heavily to accelerate discovery and development of products that could make our product candidates less competitive. In addition, any new product that competes with an approved product must demonstrate compelling advantages in efficacy, convenience, tolerability and safety in order to overcome price competition and to be commercially successful. Accordingly, our competitors may succeed in obtaining patent protection, receiving FDA or non-U.S. regulatory approval or discovering, developing and commercializing product candidates before we do, which would have a material adverse impact on our business. Many of our competitors have greater resources than we do and have established sales and marketing capabilities, whether internally or through third parties. We will not be able to successfully commercialize our product candidates without establishing sales and marketing capabilities internally or through strategic partners.

The insurance coverage and reimbursement status of newly-approved products is uncertain. Failure to obtain or maintain adequate coverage and reimbursement for new or current product candidates could limit our ability to market those product candidates and decrease our ability to generate revenue.

The availability and extent of reimbursement by governmental and private payors is essential for most patients to be able to afford expensive treatments. Sales of any of our product candidates that receive marketing approval will depend substantially, both in the United States and internationally, on the extent to which the costs of our product candidates will be paid by health maintenance, managed care, pharmacy benefit and similar healthcare management organizations, or reimbursed by government health administration authorities, private health coverage insurers and other third-party payors. If reimbursement is not available, or is available only to limited levels, we may not be able to successfully commercialize our product candidates. Even if coverage is provided, the approved reimbursement amount may not be high enough to allow us to establish or maintain pricing sufficient to realize a sufficient return on our investment.

There is significant uncertainty related to the insurance coverage and reimbursement of newly approved products. In the United States, the principal decisions about reimbursement for new products are typically made by the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, an agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services since CMS decides whether and to what extent a new product will be covered and reimbursed under Medicare. Private payors tend to follow CMS to a substantial degree. It is difficult to predict what CMS will decide with respect to reimbursement for novel products such as ours since there is no body of established practices and precedents for these new products. Reimbursement agencies in Europe may be more conservative than CMS. For example, a number of cancer drugs have been approved for reimbursement in the United States and have not been approved for reimbursement in certain European countries.

Outside the United States, international operations are generally subject to extensive governmental price controls and other market regulations, and we believe the increasing emphasis on cost-containment initiatives in Europe, Canada and other countries has and will continue to put pressure on the pricing and usage of therapeutics such as our product candidates. In many countries, particularly the countries of the European Union, the prices of medical products are subject to varying price control mechanisms as part of national health systems. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time after the receipt of marketing approval for a product. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost-effectiveness of our product candidate to other available therapies. In general, the prices of products under such systems are substantially lower than in the United States. Other countries allow companies to fix their own prices for products, but monitor and control company profits. Additional foreign price controls or other changes in pricing regulation could restrict the amount that we are able to charge for our product candidates. Accordingly, in markets outside the United States, the reimbursement for our products may be reduced compared with the United States and may be insufficient to generate commercially reasonable revenues and profits.

Moreover, increasing efforts by governmental and third-party payors, in the United States and internationally, to cap or reduce healthcare costs may cause such organizations to limit both coverage and level of reimbursement for new products approved and, as a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for our product candidates. The U.S. government has similarly expressed concerns over the pricing of pharmaceutical products and there can be no assurance as to how this scrutiny will impact future pricing of pharmaceutical products generally. We expect to experience pricing pressures in connection with the sale of any of our product candidates due to the trend toward managed healthcare, the increasing influence of health maintenance organizations and additional legislative changes. The downward pressure on healthcare costs in general, particularly prescription drugs and surgical procedures and other treatments, has become very intense. As a result, increasingly high barriers are being erected to the entry of new products into the healthcare market.

In addition to CMS and private payors, professional organizations such as the National Comprehensive Cancer Network and the American Society of Clinical Oncology can influence decisions about reimbursement for new products by determining standards for care. In addition, many private payors contract with commercial vendors who sell software that provide guidelines that attempt to limit utilization of, and therefore reimbursement for, certain products deemed to provide limited benefit to existing alternatives. Such organizations may set guidelines that limit reimbursement or utilization of our product candidates.

Furthermore, some of our target indications, including for Arginase 1 Deficiency for pegzilarginase, are orphan indications where patient populations are small. In order for therapeutics that are designed to treat smaller patient populations to be commercially viable, the reimbursement for such therapeutics must be higher, on a relative basis, to account for the lack of volume. Accordingly, we will need to implement a coverage and reimbursement strategy for any approved product candidate that accounts for the smaller potential market size. If we are unable to establish or sustain coverage and adequate reimbursement for any future product candidates from third-party payors, the adoption of those products and sales revenue will be adversely affected, which, in turn, could adversely affect the ability to market or sell those product candidates, if approved, and ultimately our financial results.

Our future success depends on our ability to retain key executives and to attract, retain and motivate qualified personnel.

We are a clinical-stage biotechnology company with a limited operating history, and, as of December 31, 2017, had only 43 employees, including four executive officers. We are highly dependent on the research and development, clinical and business development expertise of our executive officers, as well as the other principal members of our management, scientific and clinical team. Any of our management team members may terminate their employment with us at any time. We do not maintain "key person" insurance for any of our executives or other employees.

Recruiting and retaining qualified scientific, clinical, manufacturing and sales and marketing personnel will also be critical to our success. The loss of the services of our executive officers or other key employees could impede the achievement of our research, development and commercialization objectives and seriously harm our ability to successfully implement our business strategy. Furthermore, replacing executive officers and key employees may be difficult and may take an extended period of time because of the limited number of individuals in our industry with the breadth of skills and experience required to successfully develop, facilitate regulatory approval of and commercialize product candidates. Competition to hire from this limited pool is intense, and we may be unable to hire, train, retain or motivate these key personnel on acceptable terms given the competition among numerous pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies for similar personnel. For instance, Dr. Anthony Quinn, a member of our board of directors, currently serves as our interim Chief Executive Officer, and we are currently in the process of searching for a permanent Chief Executive Officer. There is no assurance that a qualified individual will be found timely or engaged on acceptable terms. We also experience competition for the hiring of scientific and clinical personnel from universities and research institutions.

In addition, we rely on consultants and advisors, including scientific and clinical advisors such as our scientific advisory board, to assist us in formulating our discovery and nonclinical and clinical development and commercialization strategy. Our consultants and advisors, including members of our scientific advisory board, may be employed by employers other than us and may have commitments under consulting or advisory contracts with other entities that may limit their availability to us. If we are unable to continue to attract and retain high quality personnel, our ability to pursue our growth strategy will be limited.

Our product candidates for which we intend to seek approval as biologic products may face competition sooner than anticipated.

With the enactment of the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009, or BPCIA, an abbreviated pathway for the approval of biosimilar and interchangeable biological products was created. The abbreviated regulatory pathway establishes legal authority for the FDA to review and approve biosimilar biologics, including the possible designation of a biosimilar as interchangeable based on its similarity to an existing reference product. Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product cannot be approved by the FDA until 12 years after the original branded product is approved under a BLA. On March 6, 2015, the FDA approved the first biosimilar product under the BPCIA. However, the law is complex and is still being interpreted and implemented by the FDA. As a result, its ultimate impact, implementation, and meaning are subject to uncertainty. While it is uncertain when the processes intended to implement BPCIA may be fully adopted by the FDA, any such processes could have a material adverse effect on the future commercial prospects for our biological products.

We believe that if any of our product candidates are approved as a biological product under a BLA, it should qualify for the 12-year period of exclusivity. However, there is a risk that the FDA will not consider any of our product candidates to be reference products for competing products, potentially creating the opportunity for biosimilar competition sooner than anticipated. Additionally, this period of regulatory exclusivity does not apply to companies pursuing regulatory approval via their own traditional BLA, rather than via the abbreviated pathway. Moreover, the extent to which a biosimilar, once approved, will be substituted for any one of our reference products that may be approved in a way that is similar to traditional generic substitution for non-biological products is not yet clear, and will depend on a number of marketplace and regulatory factors that are still developing.

Our information technology systems, or those used by our CROs, contractors or consultants, may fail or suffer security breaches, which could harm our business and operations.

Cyberattacks are increasing in their frequency, sophistication and intensity, and have become increasingly difficult to detect. Despite the implementation of security measures, our information technology systems and those of our strategic partners and third-parties on whom we rely are vulnerable to cyberattacks, damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. Furthermore, we have little or no control over the security measures and computer systems of third parties including any CROs we may work with in the future. While we and, to our knowledge, our third-party strategic partners have not experienced any such system failure, accident or security breach to date, if such an event were to occur, it could result in material negative consequences for us including interruptions in our operations, the operations of our strategic partners, or our manufacturers or suppliers, misappropriation of confidential business information and trade secrets, disclosure of corporate strategic plans, and result in material disruptions of our product candidate development programs. For example, the loss of clinical trial data from completed or ongoing or planned clinical trials could result in delays in our regulatory approval efforts, and we may incur substantial costs to attempt to recover or reproduce the data. If any disruption or security breach resulted in a loss of or damage to our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we could incur liability or the further development of our product candidates could be delayed.

We depend on our information technology and infrastructure.

We rely on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of information technology systems to manage our operations, to process, transmit, and store electronic and financial information, and to comply with regulatory, legal and tax requirements. We also depend on our information technology infrastructure for communications among our personnel, contractors, consultants and suppliers. System failures or outages could materially compromise our ability to perform these functions in a timely manner, which could harm our ability to conduct business or delay our financial reporting. In addition, we depend on third parties to operate and support our information technology systems. Failure by these providers to adequately deliver the contracted services could have an adverse effect on our business, which in turn may materially adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Risks Related to Our Reliance on Third Parties

We currently rely and will rely on third parties to conduct our ongoing and future planned clinical trials, and those third parties may not perform satisfactorily, including failing to meet deadlines for the completion of such trials.

We currently rely and will continue to rely on third parties to provide manufacturing and clinical development capabilities. For example, we rely on a contract manufacturing organization, KBI BioPharma, Inc., or KBI, to manufacture and supply nonclinical and clinical trial quantities of the biological substance of our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase and pipeline product candidates. We also expect to rely on KBI to manufacture and supply commercial quantities of pegzilarginase. In addition, we rely on Merck to provide pembrolizumab for the conduct of our combination trials.

We rely on third-party CROs to conduct our ongoing and future planned clinical trials of pegzilarginase. We do not plan to independently conduct clinical trials of our other product candidates. These agreements might terminate for a variety of reasons, including a failure to perform by the third parties. If we need to enter into alternative arrangements, that would delay our product development activities.

Our reliance on these third parties for research and development activities will reduce our control over these activities but will not relieve us of our responsibilities. For example, we will remain responsible for ensuring that each of our ongoing and future planned clinical trials is conducted in accordance with the general investigational plan and protocols for the trial. Moreover, the FDA requires us to comply with regulatory standards, commonly referred to as good clinical practices for conducting, recording and reporting the results of clinical trials to assure that data and reported results are credible and accurate and that the rights, integrity and confidentiality of trial participants are protected. Other countries' regulatory agencies also have requirements for clinical trials with which we must comply. We also will be required to register ongoing clinical trials and post the results of completed clinical trials on a government-sponsored database, *ClinicalTrials.gov*, within specified timeframes. Failure to do so can result in fines, adverse publicity and civil and criminal sanctions.

Furthermore, these third parties may also have relationships with other entities, some of which may be our competitors. If these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties, meet expected deadlines or conduct our ongoing and future planned clinical trials in accordance with regulatory requirements or our stated protocols, we will not be able to complete our clinical trials, obtain, or may be delayed in obtaining, marketing approvals for our product candidates and will not be able to, or may be delayed in our efforts to, successfully commercialize our product candidates.

We also expect to rely on other third parties to store and distribute drug supplies for our clinical trials. Any performance failure on the part of our distributors could delay clinical development or marketing approval of our product candidates or commercialization of our product candidates, producing additional losses and depriving us of potential product revenue.

We contract with third parties for the manufacture of our product candidates for nonclinical studies and our ongoing and future planned clinical testing and expect to continue to do so for commercialization. This reliance on third parties increases the risk that we will not have sufficient quantities of our product candidates at an acceptable cost and quality, which could delay, prevent or impair our development or commercialization efforts.

We do not own or operate facilities for the manufacture of our product candidates, and we do not have any manufacturing personnel. We currently have no plans to build our own clinical or commercial scale manufacturing capabilities. We rely, and expect to continue to rely, on third parties, including KBI, for the manufacture of our product candidates for nonclinical studies and for our existing and future planned clinical trials. We also expect to rely on third parties, including KBI, for commercial manufacture if any of our product candidates receive marketing approval. This

reliance on third parties increases the risk that we will not have sufficient quantities of our product candidates or such quantities at an acceptable cost or quality, which could delay, prevent or impair our development or commercialization efforts.

Any performance failure on the part of our existing or future manufacturers could delay clinical development or marketing approval. We do not currently have arrangements in place for redundant supply or a source for bulk drug substance. Currently, KBI is supplying, and is expected to continue to supply, the drug substance requirements for our ongoing and planned clinical trials with pegzilarginase. If KBI cannot supply us with sufficient amounts, pursuant to product requirements as agreed, we may be required to identify alternative manufacturers, which would lead us to incur added costs and delays in identifying and qualifying any replacement.

The formulation used in early studies is not a final formulation for commercialization. If we are unable to demonstrate that our commercial scale product is comparable to the product used in clinical trials, we may not receive regulatory approval for that product without additional clinical trials. We have contracted with KBI for certain studies related to potential commercial scale manufacturing of pegzilarginase at a separate KBI facility, but there is no guarantee that such studies, the transfer of technology to or any potential manufacturing at such facility, will be completed successfully, on time, or at all. We also cannot guarantee that we will be able to make any required modifications within currently anticipated timeframes or that such modifications, if and when made, will obtain regulatory approval or that the new processes or modified processes will be successfully implemented by or transferred to any third-party contract suppliers within currently anticipated timeframes. These may require additional studies, and may delay our clinical trials and/or commercialization.

We expect to rely on third-party manufacturers, including KBI, or third-party strategic partners for the manufacture of commercial supply of any product candidates for which our strategic partners or we obtain marketing approval. We may be unable to establish any additional agreements with third-party manufacturers, including KBI, or to do so on acceptable terms. Even if we are able to establish agreements with third-party manufacturers on acceptable terms, such third-party manufacturers may have limited experience manufacturing pharmaceutical drugs for commercialization, and reliance on third-party manufacturers for the commercial supply of our products may expose us to various risks, including:

- possible noncompliance by the third party with regulatory requirements and quality assurance;
- the possible breach of the manufacturing agreement by the third party;
- the possible misappropriation of our proprietary information, including our trade secrets and know-how; and
- the possible termination or nonrenewal of the agreement by the third party at a time that is costly or inconvenient for us.

Third-party manufacturers may not be able to comply with current good manufacturing practices, or cGMP, or similar regulatory requirements outside the United States. Although we do not have day-to-day control over third-party manufacturers' compliance with these regulations and standards, we are responsible for ensuring compliance with such regulations and standards. Our failure, or the failure of our third-party manufacturers, to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including clinical holds, fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of approvals, license revocation, seizures or recalls of product candidates, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions, any of which would significantly and adversely affect supplies of our product candidates and our business. If a third-party manufacturer's facilities do not pass a pre-approval inspection or do not have a cGMP compliance status acceptable to the FDA or a comparable foreign regulatory agency, our product candidate will not be approved.

In addition, the process of manufacturing and administering our product candidates is complex and highly regulated. As a result of the complexities, our manufacturing and supply costs are likely to be higher than those at more traditional manufacturing processes and the manufacturing process is less reliable and more difficult to reproduce.

We also expect to rely on other third parties to store and distribute drug supplies for our clinical trials. Any performance failure on the part of our distributors could delay clinical development or marketing approval of our product candidates or commercialization of our product candidates, producing additional losses and depriving us of potential product revenue.

Our product candidates and any products that we may develop may compete with other product candidates and products for access to manufacturing facilities. There are a limited number of manufacturers that operate under cGMP regulations and that might be capable of manufacturing for us.

Our current and anticipated future dependence upon others for the manufacture of our product candidates may adversely affect our future profit margins and our ability to commercialize any product candidates that receive marketing approval on a timely and competitive basis.

Failure of any future third-party collaborators to successfully commercialize companion diagnostics developed for use with our therapeutic product candidates for oncology indications could harm our ability to commercialize these product candidates.

We do not plan to develop companion diagnostics internally and, as a result, we are dependent on the efforts of our third-party strategic partners to successfully commercialize any needed companion diagnostics. Our strategic partners:

- may not perform their obligations as expected;
- may encounter production difficulties that could constrain the supply of the companion diagnostics;
- may have difficulties gaining acceptance of the use of the companion diagnostics in the clinical community;
- may not pursue commercialization of any companion diagnostics;
- may elect not to continue or renew commercialization programs based on changes in the strategic partners' strategic focus or available funding, or external factors, such as an acquisition, that divert resources or create competing priorities;
- may not commit sufficient resources to the marketing and distribution of such companion diagnostic product candidates; and
- may terminate their relationship with us.

If companion diagnostics needed for use with our therapeutic product candidates in oncology fail to gain market acceptance, our ability to derive revenues from sales of these therapeutic product candidates could be harmed. If our strategic partners fail to commercialize these companion diagnostics, it could adversely affect and delay the development or commercialization of our therapeutic product candidates.

We may not be successful in finding strategic partners for continuing development of certain of our product candidates or successfully commercializing or competing in the market for certain indications.

We may seek to develop strategic partnerships for developing certain of our product candidates, due to capital costs required to develop the product candidates or manufacturing constraints. We may not be successful in our efforts to establish such a strategic partnership or other alternative arrangements for our product candidates because our research and development pipeline may be insufficient, our product candidates may be deemed to be at too early of a stage of development for collaborative effort or third parties may not view our product candidates as having the requisite potential to demonstrate safety and efficacy. In addition, we may be restricted under existing collaboration agreements from entering into future agreements with potential strategic partners. We cannot be certain that, following a strategic transaction or license, we will achieve an economic benefit that justifies such transaction.

If we are unable to reach agreements with suitable strategic partners on a timely basis, on acceptable terms or at all, we may have to curtail the development of a product candidate, reduce or delay its development program, delay its potential commercialization, reduce the scope of any sales or marketing activities or increase our expenditures and undertake development or commercialization activities at our own expense. If we elect to fund development or commercialization activities on our own, we may need to obtain additional expertise and additional capital, which may not be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. If we fail to enter into collaborations and do not have sufficient funds or expertise to undertake the necessary development and commercialization activities, we may not be able to further develop our product candidates and our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may be materially and adversely affected.

Our employees may engage in misconduct or other improper activities, including non-compliance with regulatory standards and requirements, which could cause significant liability for us and harm our reputation.

We are exposed to the risk of employee fraud or other misconduct, including intentional failures to (i) comply with FDA regulations or similar regulations of comparable non-U.S. regulatory authorities, (ii) provide accurate information to the FDA or comparable non-U.S. regulatory authorities, (iii) comply with manufacturing standards we have established, (iv) comply with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws and regulations and similar laws and regulations established and enforced by comparable non-U.S. regulatory authorities, or (v) report

financial information or data accurately or disclose unauthorized activities to us. Employee misconduct could also involve the improper use of information obtained in the course of clinical trials, which could result in regulatory sanctions and serious harm to our reputation. It is not always possible to identify and deter employee misconduct, and the precautions we take to detect and prevent this activity may not be effective in controlling unknown or unmanaged risks or losses or in protecting us from governmental investigations or other actions or lawsuits stemming from a failure to be in compliance with such laws, standards or regulations. If any such actions are instituted against us, and we are not successful in defending ourselves or asserting our rights, those actions could have a significant impact on our business and results of operations, including the imposition of significant fines or other sanctions.

We may be subject to claims by third parties asserting that our employees or we have misappropriated their intellectual property, or claiming ownership of what we regard as our own intellectual property.

Many of our employees were previously employed at universities or other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Although we try to ensure that our employees do not use the proprietary information or know-how of others in their work for us, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have used or disclosed intellectual property, including trade secrets or other proprietary information, of any such employee's former employer. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims.

In addition, while it is our policy to require our employees and contractors who may be involved in the development of intellectual property to execute agreements assigning such intellectual property to us, we may be unsuccessful in executing such an agreement with each party who in fact develops intellectual property that we regard as our own. Our and their assignment agreements may not be self-executing or may be breached, and we may be forced to bring claims against third parties, or defend claims they may bring against us, to determine the ownership of what we regard as our intellectual property.

If we fail in prosecuting or defending any such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable intellectual property rights or personnel. Even if we are successful in prosecuting or defending against such claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

We and our strategic partners that we rely on may be adversely affected by natural disasters, and our business continuity and disaster recovery plans may not adequately protect us from a serious disaster.

Natural disasters could severely disrupt our operations or the operations of KBI's manufacturing facilities and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects. If a natural disaster, power outage or other event occurred that prevented us from using all or a significant portion of our headquarters, that damaged critical infrastructure, such as KBI's manufacturing facilities, or that otherwise disrupted operations, it may be difficult or, in certain cases, impossible for us to continue our business for a substantial period of time. The disaster recovery and business continuity plans we have in place currently are limited and may not prove adequate in the event of a serious disaster or similar event. Substantially all of our current supply of product candidates are located at KBI's manufacturing facilities, and we do not have any existing back-up facilities in place or plans for such back-up facilities. We may incur substantial expenses as a result of the limited nature of our disaster recovery and business continuity plans, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

Risks Related to Government Regulation

If we are not able to obtain, or if there are delays in obtaining, required regulatory approvals in the United States or in foreign jurisdictions, we will not be able to commercialize our product candidates, and our ability to generate revenue will be materially impaired.

Our product candidates must be approved by the FDA pursuant to a BLA in the United States, and by the EMA pursuant to a MAA, and by other comparable regulatory authorities outside the United States prior to commercialization. The process of obtaining marketing approvals, both in the United States and internationally, is expensive and takes many years, if approval is obtained at all, and can vary substantially based upon a variety of factors, including the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidates involved. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing. The time required to obtain approval in Europe or another non-U.S. jurisdiction may differ substantially from that required to obtain FDA approval. The regulatory approval process outside the United States generally includes all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval. In addition, in many countries outside the United States, it is required that the product be approved for reimbursement before the product can be approved for sale in that country. We or our third-party strategic partners may not obtain approvals from regulatory authorities outside the United States on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other

countries or jurisdictions, and approval by one regulatory authority outside the United States does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions or by the FDA. We may not be able to file for marketing approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our product candidates in any market.

Failure to obtain marketing approval for a product candidate will prevent us from commercializing the product candidate. We have not received approval to market any of our product candidates from regulatory authorities in any jurisdiction. We have no experience in filing and supporting the applications necessary to gain marketing approvals and expect to rely on third-party CROs to assist us in this process. Securing marketing approval requires the submission of extensive nonclinical and clinical data and supporting information to regulatory authorities for each therapeutic indication to establish the product candidate's safety and efficacy. Securing marketing approval also requires the submission of information about the product manufacturing process to, and inspection of manufacturing facilities by, the regulatory authorities. Our product candidates may not be effective, may be only moderately effective or may prove to have undesirable or unintended side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may preclude our obtaining marketing approval or prevent or limit commercial use. Regulatory authorities have substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data are insufficient for approval and require additional nonclinical, clinical or other studies. In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from nonclinical and clinical testing could delay, limit or prevent marketing approval of a product candidate. Changes in marketing approval policies during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in regulatory review for each submitted product application, may also cause delays in or prevent the approval of an application.

Approval of our product candidates may be delayed or refused for many reasons, including the following:

- the FDA, EMA, MHRA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with the design or implementation of our clinical trials;
- we may be unable to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the FDA, EMA, MHRA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities that our product candidates are safe and effective for any of their proposed indications;
- the results of clinical trials may not meet the level of statistical significance required by the FDA, EMA, MHRA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities for approval;
- we may be unable to demonstrate that our product candidates' clinical and other benefits outweigh their safety risks;
- the FDA, EMA, MHRA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities may disagree with our interpretation of data from preclinical programs or clinical trials;
- the data collected from clinical trials of our product candidates may not be sufficient to the satisfaction of the FDA, EMA, MHRA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities to support the submission of a BLA, MAA or other comparable submission in other jurisdictions or to obtain regulatory approval in the United States or elsewhere;
- the facilities of the third-party manufacturers with which we partner may not be adequate to support approval of our product candidates; and
- the approval policies or regulations of the FDA, EMA or other comparable foreign regulatory authorities may significantly change in a manner rendering our clinical data insufficient for approval.

New products for the treatment of cancer frequently are initially indicated only for patient populations that have not responded to an existing therapy or have relapsed. If any of our product candidates receives marketing approval, the approved labeling may limit the use of our product candidates in this way, which could limit sales of the product.

Any marketing approval we ultimately obtain may be limited or subject to restrictions or post-approval commitments that render the approved product not commercially viable. If we experience delays in obtaining approval or if we fail to obtain approval of our product candidates, the commercial prospects for our product candidates may be harmed and our ability to generate revenues will be materially impaired.

Any Fast Track Designation by the FDA, even if granted for any of our product candidates, may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process, and does not increase the likelihood that our product candidates will receive marketing approval.

We have received Fast Track Designation from the FDA for our lead product candidate pegzilarginase for the treatment of hyperargininemia secondary to Arginase 1 Deficiency, and may seek such designation for some or all of our

product candidates. If a drug or biologic is intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition and the drug or biologic demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs for this condition, the drug or biologic sponsor may apply for FDA Fast Track Designation. The FDA has broad discretion whether or not to grant this designation. Even if we believe a particular product candidate is eligible for this designation, we cannot assure you that the FDA would decide to grant it. Even though we have received Fast Track Designation for pegzilarginase for the treatment of hyperargininemia secondary to Arginase 1 Deficiency, and even if we receive Fast Track Designation for other product candidates or indications in the future, we may not experience a faster development process, review or approval compared to conventional FDA procedures. The FDA may withdraw Fast Track Designation if it believes that the designation is no longer supported by data from our clinical development program. Many drugs or biologics that have received Fast Track Designation have failed to obtain approval.

We may also seek accelerated approval for products. Under the FDA's accelerated approval program, the FDA may approve a drug or biologic for a serious or life-threatening illness that provides meaningful therapeutic benefit to patients over existing treatments based upon a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict clinical benefit, or on a clinical endpoint that can be measured earlier than irreversible morbidity or mortality, that is reasonably likely to predict an effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit, taking into account the severity, rarity, or prevalence of the condition and the availability or lack of alternative treatments. For drugs or biologics granted accelerated approval, post-marketing confirmatory trials are required to describe the anticipated effect on irreversible morbidity or mortality or other clinical benefit. These confirmatory trials must be completed with due diligence and, in some cases, the FDA may require that the trial be designed and/or initiated prior to approval. Moreover, the FDA may withdraw approval of our product candidate or indication approved under the accelerated approval pathway if, for example:

- the trial or trials required to verify the predicted clinical benefit of our product candidate fail to verify such benefit or do not demonstrate sufficient clinical benefit to justify the risks associated with the drug;
- other evidence demonstrates that our product candidate is not shown to be safe or effective under the conditions of use;
- we fail to conduct any required post-approval trial of our product candidate with due diligence; or
- we disseminate false or misleading promotional materials relating to the relevant product candidate.

A Breakthrough Therapy Designation by the FDA, even if granted for any of our product candidates, may not lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process, and does not increase the likelihood that our product candidates will receive marketing approval.

We do not currently have Breakthrough Therapy Designation for any of our product candidates, but may seek such designation. A Breakthrough Therapy is defined as a drug or biologic that is intended, alone or in combination with one or more other drugs, to treat a serious or life-threatening disease or condition, and preliminary clinical evidence indicates that the drug or biologic may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies with respect to one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. For drugs or biologics that have been designated as Breakthrough Therapies, interaction and communication between the FDA and the sponsor can help to identify the most efficient path for development.

Designation as a Breakthrough Therapy is within the discretion of the FDA. Accordingly, even if we believe, after completing early clinical trials, that one of our product candidates meets the criteria for designation as a Breakthrough Therapy, the FDA may disagree and instead determine not to make such designation. In any event, the receipt of a Breakthrough Therapy designation for a product candidate may not result in a faster development process, review or approval compared to drugs or biologics considered for approval under conventional FDA procedures and does not assure ultimate approval by the FDA. In addition, even if one or more of our product candidates qualify as Breakthrough Therapies, the FDA may later decide that such product candidates no longer meet the conditions for qualification.

Any product candidate for which we obtain marketing approval will be subject to extensive post-marketing regulatory requirements and could be subject to post-marketing restrictions or withdrawal from the market, and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or if we experience unanticipated problems with our product candidates, when and if any of them are approved.

Our product candidates and the activities associated with their development and commercialization, including their testing, manufacture, recordkeeping, labeling, storage, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution, are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory authorities. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration and listing requirements, cGMP, requirements

relating to manufacturing, quality control, quality assurance and corresponding maintenance of records and documents, including periodic inspections by the FDA and other regulatory authorities, requirements regarding the distribution of samples to physicians and recordkeeping.

The FDA may also impose requirements for costly post-marketing studies or clinical trials and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of any approved product. The FDA closely regulates the post-approval marketing and promotion of drugs and biologics to ensure drugs and biologics are marketed only for the approved indications and in accordance with the provisions of the approved labeling. The FDA imposes stringent restrictions on manufacturers' communications regarding use of their products and if we promote our product candidates beyond their approved indications, we may be subject to enforcement action for off-label promotion. Violations of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act relating to the promotion of prescription drugs may lead to investigations alleging violations of federal and state healthcare fraud and abuse laws, as well as state consumer protection laws.

In addition, later discovery of previously unknown adverse events or other problems with our product candidates, manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may yield various results, including:

- restrictions on such product candidates, manufacturers or manufacturing processes;
- restrictions on the labeling or marketing of a product;
- restrictions on product distribution or use;
- requirements to conduct post-marketing studies or clinical trials;
- warning or untitled letters;
- withdrawal of any approved product from the market;
- refusal to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications that we submit;
- recall of product candidates;
- fines, restitution or disgorgement of profits or revenues;
- suspension or withdrawal of marketing approvals;
- refusal to permit the import or export of our product candidates;
- product seizure; or
- injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

Non-compliance with European requirements regarding safety monitoring or pharmacovigilance, and with requirements related to the development of products for the pediatric population, can also result in significant financial penalties. Similarly, failure to comply with Europe's requirements regarding the protection of personal information can also lead to significant penalties and sanctions.

Our relationships with customers and third-party payors will be subject to applicable anti-kickback, fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations, which could expose us to criminal sanctions, civil penalties, contractual damages, reputational harm and diminished profits and future earnings.

Healthcare providers, physicians and third-party payors will play a primary role in the recommendation and prescription of any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval. Our future arrangements with third-party payors and customers may expose us to broadly applicable fraud and abuse and other healthcare laws and regulations that may constrain the business or financial arrangements and relationships through which we market, sell and distribute any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval. Restrictions under applicable U.S. federal and state healthcare laws and regulations include the following:

- the federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in cash or in kind, to induce or reward, or in return for, either the referral of an individual for, or the purchase, order or recommendation of, any good or service, for which payment may be made under a federal healthcare program such as Medicare and Medicaid;
- the federal False Claims Act imposes criminal and civil penalties, including civil whistleblower or *qui tam* actions, against individuals or entities for knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, to the federal government, claims for payment that are false or fraudulent or making a false statement to avoid, decrease or conceal an obligation to pay money to the federal government;

- the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, imposes criminal and civil liability for executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters;
- HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act and its implementing regulations, also imposes obligations, including mandatory contractual terms, with respect to safeguarding the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information;
- federal law requires applicable manufacturers of covered drugs to report payments and other transfers of value to physicians and teaching hospitals, which includes annual data collection and reporting obligations. The information was made publicly available on a searchable website in September 2014 and is disclosed on an annual basis; and
- analogous state and foreign laws and regulations, such as state anti-kickback and false claims laws, may apply to sales or marketing arrangements and claims involving healthcare items or services reimbursed by non-governmental third-party payors, including private insurers.

Some state laws require pharmaceutical companies to comply with the pharmaceutical industry's voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government and may require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures. State and foreign laws also govern the privacy and security of health information in some circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by HIPAA, thus complicating compliance efforts.

Efforts to ensure that our business arrangements with third parties will comply with applicable healthcare laws and regulations will involve substantial costs. It is possible that governmental authorities will conclude that our business practices may not comply with current or future statutes, regulations or case law involving applicable fraud and abuse or other healthcare laws and regulations. If our operations are found to be in violation of any of these laws or any other governmental regulations that may apply to us, we may be subject to significant civil, criminal and administrative penalties, damages, fines, imprisonment, exclusion of product candidates from government funded healthcare programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations. If any of the physicians or other healthcare providers or entities with whom we expect to do business is found to be not in compliance with applicable laws, they may be subject to criminal, civil or administrative sanctions, including exclusions from government funded healthcare programs.

Recently enacted and future legislation may increase the difficulty and cost for us to obtain marketing approval of and commercialize our product candidates and affect the prices we may obtain.

In the United States and some foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory changes and proposed changes regarding the healthcare system that could prevent or delay marketing approval of our product candidates, restrict or regulate post-approval activities and affect our ability to profitably sell any product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval.

In the United States, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, or the MMA, changed the way Medicare covers and pays for pharmaceutical products. The legislation expanded Medicare coverage for drug purchases by the elderly and introduced a new reimbursement methodology based on average sales prices for physician-administered drugs. In addition, this legislation provided authority for limiting the number of drugs that will be covered in any therapeutic class. Cost reduction initiatives and other provisions of this legislation could decrease the coverage and price that we receive for any approved product candidates. While the MMA only applies to drug benefits for Medicare beneficiaries, private payors often follow Medicare coverage policy and payment limitations in setting their own reimbursement rates. Therefore, any reduction in reimbursement that results from the MMA may result in a similar reduction in payments from private payors.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, or collectively the ACA, is a sweeping law intended to broaden access to health insurance, reduce or constrain the growth of healthcare spending, enhance remedies against fraud and abuse, add transparency requirements for the healthcare and health insurance industries, impose new taxes and fees on the health industry and impose additional health policy reforms.

Among the provisions of the ACA of importance to our potential product candidates are the following:

- an annual, nondeductible fee on any entity that manufactures or imports specified branded prescription drugs and biologic agents;
- an increase in the statutory minimum rebates a manufacturer must pay under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program;
- expansion of healthcare fraud and abuse laws, including the False Claims Act and the Anti-Kickback Statute, new government investigative powers, and enhanced penalties for noncompliance;
- a Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer 50% point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices;
- extension of manufacturers' Medicaid rebate liability to managed care utilization;
- expansion of eligibility criteria for Medicaid programs;
- expansion of the entities eligible for discounts under the Public Health Service pharmaceutical pricing program;
- requirements to report financial arrangements with physicians and teaching hospitals;
- a requirement to annually report drug samples that manufacturers and distributors provide to physicians; and
- a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute to oversee, identify priorities in, and conduct comparative clinical effectiveness research, along with funding for such research.

In addition, other legislative changes have been proposed and adopted since the ACA was enacted. These changes included aggregate reductions to Medicare payments to providers of up to 2% per fiscal year, starting in 2013. In January 2013, the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 was signed into law, which, among other things, reduced Medicare payments to several providers, and increased the statute of limitations period for the government to recover overpayments to providers from three to five years. On January 20, 2017, federal agencies with authorities and responsibilities under the ACA were directed to waive, defer, grant exemptions from, or delay the implementation of any provision of the ACA that would impose a fiscal burden on states or a cost, fee, tax, penalty or regulatory burden on individuals, healthcare providers, health insurers, or manufacturers of pharmaceuticals or medical devices. More recently, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act was signed into law, which eliminated certain requirements of the ACA, including the individual mandate, and plans to repeal all or portions of the ACA have also been suggested. We cannot predict whether these challenges will continue or whether other proposals will be made or adopted, or what impact these efforts may have on us.

We expect that the ACA, as well as other healthcare reform measures that may be adopted in the future, may result in more rigorous coverage criteria and in additional downward pressure on the price that we receive for any approved product. Any reduction in reimbursement from Medicare or other government programs may result in a similar reduction in payments from private payors. The implementation of cost containment measures or other healthcare reforms may prevent us from being able to generate revenue, attain profitability, or commercialize our product candidates.

Legislative and regulatory proposals have been made to expand post-approval requirements and restrict sales and promotional activities for pharmaceutical products. We cannot be sure whether additional legislative changes will be enacted, or whether FDA regulations, guidance or interpretations will be changed, or what the impact of such changes on the marketing approvals of our product candidates, if any, may be. In addition, increased scrutiny by the U.S. Congress of the FDA's approval process may significantly delay or prevent marketing approval, as well as subject us to more stringent product labeling and post-marketing testing and other requirements.

Comprehensive tax reform bills could increase the tax burden on our orphan drug programs and adversely affect our business and financial condition.

The U.S. government has recently enacted comprehensive tax legislation that includes significant changes to the taxation of business entities. These changes include, among others, (i) a permanent reduction to the corporate income tax rate, (ii) a partial limitation on the deductibility of business interest expense, (iii) a shift of the U.S. taxation of multinational corporations from a tax on worldwide income to a territorial system (along with certain rules designed to prevent erosion of the U.S. income tax base) and (iv) a one-time tax on accumulated offshore earnings held in cash and illiquid assets, with the latter taxed at a lower rate.

Further, the newly enacted comprehensive tax legislation, among other things, reduces the orphan drug credit from 50% to 25% of qualifying expenditures. When and if we become profitable, this reduction in tax credits may result in an

increased federal income tax burden on our orphan drug programs as it may cause us to pay federal income taxes earlier under the revised tax law than under the prior law and, despite being partially off-set by a reduction in the corporate tax rate from a top marginal rate of 35% to a flat rate of 21%, may increase our total federal tax liability attributable to such programs.

Notwithstanding the reduction in the corporate income tax rate, the overall impact of this tax reform is uncertain, and our business and financial condition could be adversely affected. In addition, it is uncertain if and to what extent various states will conform to the newly enacted federal tax law.

If we fail to comply with environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, we could become subject to fines or penalties or incur costs that could harm our business.

We are subject to numerous environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those governing laboratory procedures and the handling, use, storage, treatment and disposal of hazardous materials and wastes. Our operations involve the use of hazardous and flammable materials, including chemicals and biological materials. Our operations also produce hazardous waste products. We generally contract with third parties for the disposal of these materials and wastes. We cannot eliminate the risk of contamination or injury from these materials. In the event of contamination or injury resulting from our use of hazardous materials, we could be held liable for any resulting damages, and any liability could exceed our resources. We also could incur significant costs associated with civil or criminal fines and penalties for failure to comply with such laws and regulations.

Although we maintain workers' compensation insurance that we believe is consistent with industry norms to cover us for costs and expenses we may incur due to injuries to our employees resulting from the use of hazardous materials, we cannot assure you that it will be sufficient to cover our liability in such cases. We do not maintain insurance for environmental liability or toxic tort claims that may be asserted against us in connection with our storage or disposal of biological, hazardous or radioactive materials.

In addition, we may incur substantial costs in order to comply with current or future environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. These current or future laws and regulations may impair our discovery, nonclinical and clinical development or production efforts. Our failure to comply with these laws and regulations also may result in substantial fines, penalties or other sanctions.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

If we are unable to obtain and maintain intellectual property protection for our technology and product candidates, or if the scope of the intellectual property protection obtained is not sufficiently broad, our competitors could develop and commercialize technology and product candidates similar or identical to ours, and our ability to successfully commercialize our technology and product candidates may be impaired.

We rely upon a combination of patents, trade secret protection and confidentiality agreements to protect the intellectual property related to our technology and product candidates.

In particular, our success depends in large part on our ability, and our licensors' ability, to obtain and maintain patent protection in the United States and other countries with respect to our proprietary technology and product candidates, including any companion diagnostic developed by us or a third-party strategic partner. We seek to protect our proprietary position by filing patent applications in the United States and abroad related to our novel technologies and product candidates, and rely on our licensors to obtain patent protection for our licensed intellectual property. Our patent portfolio includes patents and patent applications we own or we exclusively license from the University of Texas at Austin. This patent portfolio includes issued patents and pending patent applications covering compositions of matter and methods of use.

The patent prosecution process is expensive and time-consuming, and we may not be able to file, prosecute, maintain, enforce or license all necessary or desirable patent applications at a reasonable cost or in a timely manner, or in all jurisdictions. We may choose not to seek patent protection for certain innovations and may choose not to pursue patent protection in certain jurisdictions, and under the laws of certain jurisdictions, patents or other intellectual property rights may be unavailable or limited in scope. It is also possible that we will fail to identify patentable aspects of our discovery and nonclinical and clinical development output before it is too late to obtain patent protection. Moreover, the risks pertaining to our patents and intellectual property rights also apply to the intellectual property rights that we license from third parties. In some circumstances, we do not have the right to control the preparation, filing and prosecution of patent applications, or to maintain the patents, covering technology that we license from third parties. We may also require the

cooperation of our licensors in order to enforce the licensed patent rights, and such cooperation may not be provided. Therefore, these patents and applications may not be prosecuted and enforced in a manner consistent with the best interests of our business and the rights we have licensed may be reduced or eliminated.

The patent position of biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies generally is highly uncertain, involves complex legal and factual questions and has in recent years been the subject of much litigation. The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, or U.S. PTO, has not established a consistent policy regarding the breadth of claims that it will allow in biotechnology patents. In addition, the laws of foreign jurisdictions may not protect our rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States. For example, India does not allow patents for methods of treating the human body or medical use claims as in other jurisdictions. Publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind the actual discoveries, and patent applications in the United States and other jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, or in some cases not at all. Therefore, we cannot know with certainty whether we were the first to make the inventions claimed in our owned or licensed patents or pending patent applications, or that we were the first to file for patent protection of such inventions, nor can we know whether those from whom we license patents were the first to make the inventions claimed or were the first to file. As a result, the issuance, scope, validity, enforceability and commercial value of our patent rights are highly uncertain. Our pending and future patent applications may not result in patents being issued that protect our technology or product candidates, in whole or in part, or which effectively prevent others from commercializing competitive technologies and product candidates. Changes in either the patent laws or interpretation of the patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our patents or narrow the scope of our patent protection. In addition, during prosecution of any patent application, the issuance of any patents based on an application may depend upon our ability to generate additional preclinical or clinical data that supports the patentability of our proposed claims. We may not be able to generate such data on a timely basis, to the satisfaction of the U.S. PTO, or at all.

Moreover, we may be subject to a third-party preissuance submission of prior art to the U.S. PTO or patent offices in foreign jurisdictions, or become involved in opposition, derivation, reexamination, inter partes review, post-grant review or interference proceedings challenging our patent rights or the patent rights of others. An adverse determination in any such submission, proceeding or litigation could reduce the scope of, or invalidate, our patent rights, allow third parties to commercialize our technology or product candidates and compete directly with us, without payment to us, or result in our inability to manufacture or commercialize product candidates without infringing third-party patent rights. In addition, if the breadth or strength of protection provided by our patents and patent applications is threatened, it could dissuade companies from collaborating with us to license, develop or commercialize current or future product candidates.

Even if our owned and licensed patent applications issue as patents, they may not issue in a form that will provide us with any meaningful protection, prevent competitors from competing with us or otherwise provide us with any competitive advantage. Our competitors may be able to circumvent our owned or licensed patents by developing similar or alternative technologies or product candidates in a non-infringing manner.

The issuance of a patent, while given the presumption of validity under the law, is not conclusive as to its inventorship, scope, validity or enforceability, and our owned and licensed patents may be challenged in the courts or patent offices in the United States and abroad. Such challenges may result in loss of exclusivity or freedom to operate or in patent claims being narrowed, invalidated or held unenforceable, in whole or in part, which could limit our ability to stop others from using or commercializing similar or identical technology and product candidates, or limit the duration of the patent protection of our technology and product candidates. In addition, patents have a limited lifespan. In the United States, the natural expiration of a patent is generally 20 years after the first non-provisional filing in the patent family. Given the amount of time required for the development, testing and regulatory review of new product candidates, patents protecting such candidates might expire before or shortly after such candidates are commercialized. As a result, our owned and licensed patent portfolio may not provide us with sufficient rights to exclude others from commercializing product candidates similar or identical to ours.

Any inability on our part to adequately protect our intellectual property may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial position.

Obtaining and maintaining our patent protection depends on compliance with various procedural, document submission, fee payment and other requirements imposed by governmental patent agencies, and our patent protection could be reduced or eliminated for non-compliance with these requirements.

Periodic maintenance fees, renewal fees, annuity fees and various other governmental fees on patents and/or applications will be due to be paid to the U.S. PTO and various governmental patent agencies outside the United States in several stages over the lifetime of the patents and/or applications. We have systems in place to remind us to pay these

fees, and we employ an outside firm and rely on our outside counsel to pay these fees due to non-U.S. patent agencies. The U.S. PTO and various non-U.S. governmental patent agencies require compliance with a number of procedural, documentary, fee payment and other similar provisions during the patent application process. We employ reputable law firms and other professionals to help us comply, and in many cases, an inadvertent lapse can be cured by payment of a late fee or by other means in accordance with the applicable rules. However, in some cases we rely on licensors to effect such payments with respect to the patents and patent applications that we in-license. Moreover, there are situations in which non-compliance can result in abandonment or lapse of the patent or patent application, resulting in partial or complete loss of patent rights in the relevant jurisdiction. In such an event, our competitors might be able to enter the market and this circumstance would have a material adverse effect on our business.

Third parties may initiate legal proceedings alleging that we are infringing their intellectual property rights, the outcome of which would be uncertain and could have a material adverse effect on the success of our business.

Our commercial success depends upon our ability, and the ability of our collaborators, to develop, manufacture, market and sell our product candidates and use our proprietary technologies without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. There is considerable intellectual property litigation in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries. We may become party to, or threatened with, future adversarial proceedings or litigation regarding intellectual property rights with respect to our product candidates and technology, including interference or derivation proceedings before the U.S. PTO and similar bodies in other jurisdictions. Third parties may assert infringement claims against us based on existing patents or patents that may be granted in the future.

It is also possible that we have failed to identify relevant third-party patents or applications. For example, applications filed before November 29, 2000 and certain applications filed after that date that will not be filed outside the United States remain confidential until patents issue. Moreover, it is difficult for industry participants, including us, to identify all third-party patent rights that may be relevant to our product candidates and technologies because patent searching is imperfect due to differences in terminology among patents, incomplete databases and the difficulty in assessing the meaning of patent claims. We may fail to identify relevant patents or patent applications or may identify pending patent applications of potential interest but incorrectly predict the likelihood that such patent applications may issue with claims of relevance to our technology. In addition, we may be unaware of one or more issued patents that would be infringed by the manufacture, sale or use of a current or future product candidate, or we may incorrectly conclude that a third-party patent is invalid, unenforceable or not infringed by our activities. Additionally, pending patent applications that have been published can, subject to certain limitations, be later amended in a manner that could cover our technologies, our products or the use of our products.

If we are found to infringe a third party's intellectual property rights, we could be required to obtain a license from such third party to continue developing and marketing our product candidates and technology. However, we may not be able to obtain any required license on commercially reasonable terms or at all. Even if we were able to obtain a license, it could be non-exclusive, thereby giving our competitors access to the same technologies licensed to us. We could be forced, including by court order, to cease commercializing the infringing technology or product. In addition, we could be found liable for monetary damages, including treble damages and attorneys' fees, if we are found to have willfully infringed a patent. A finding of infringement could prevent us from commercializing our product candidates or force us to cease some of our business operations, which could materially harm our business. Claims that we have misappropriated the confidential information or trade secrets of third parties could have a similar negative impact on our business.

We may be subject to claims that our employees, consultants or independent contractors have wrongfully used or disclosed confidential information or trade secrets of third parties or that our employees, consultants or independent contractors have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of former or other employers.

Many of our employees, independent contractors and consultants, including our senior management, have been previously employed or retained by universities or other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. Further, many of our consultants are currently retained by other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies and may be subject to conflicting obligations to these third parties. Although we try to ensure that our employees, consultants and independent contractors do not use the proprietary information or know-how of third parties in their work for us, and do not perform work for us that is in conflict with their obligations to another employer or any other entity, we may be subject to claims that we or our employees, consultants or independent contractors have inadvertently or otherwise improperly used or disclosed confidential information, including trade secrets or other proprietary information, of a former employer or other third parties. We may also be subject to claims that an employee, advisor, consultant, or independent contractor performed work for us that conflicts with that person's obligations to a third party, such as an employer, and thus, that the third party has an ownership interest in the intellectual property arising out

of work performed for us. We are not aware of any threatened or pending claims related to these matters, but in the future litigation may be necessary to defend against such claims.

In addition, while it is our policy to require our employees, independent contractors and consultants who may be involved in the development of intellectual property to execute agreements assigning such intellectual property to us, we may be unsuccessful in timely obtaining such an agreement with each party who in fact develops intellectual property that we regard as our own. Even if timely obtained, such agreements may be breached, and we may be forced to bring claims against third parties, or defend claims they may bring against us, to determine the ownership of what we regard as our intellectual property.

If we fail in defending any such claims, in addition to paying monetary damages, we may lose valuable personnel or intellectual property rights, such as exclusive ownership of, or right to use, valuable intellectual property. As a result, we may also elect to enter into license agreements in order to settle patent infringement claims or to resolve disputes prior to litigation, and any such license agreements may require us to pay royalties and other fees that could be significant. Such an outcome could have a material adverse effect on our business. Even if we are successful in defending against such claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

Any lawsuits relating to infringement of intellectual property rights necessary to defend ourselves or enforce our rights will be costly and time consuming, and could be unsuccessful.

Because competition in our industry is intense, competitors may infringe or otherwise violate our issued patents, patents of our licensors or other intellectual property. To counter infringement or unauthorized use, we may be required to file infringement claims, which can be expensive and time consuming. Any claims we assert against perceived infringers could provoke these parties to assert counterclaims against us alleging, among other claims, that we infringe their patents. In addition, in a patent infringement proceeding there are many grounds upon which a party may assert invalidity or unenforceability of a patent, and a court may decide that a patent of ours is invalid or unenforceable, in whole or in part, construe the patent's claims narrowly or refuse to stop the other party from using the technology at issue on the grounds that our patents do not cover the technology in question. Litigation is uncertain and we cannot predict whether we would be successful in any such litigation. Such litigation or proceedings could substantially increase our operating losses and reduce the resources available for development activities or any future sales, marketing or distribution activities. We may not have sufficient financial, managerial or other resources to adequately conduct such litigation or proceedings. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their greater financial, managerial and other resources. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our business. Furthermore, because of the substantial amount of discovery required in connection with intellectual property litigation, there is a risk that some of our confidential information could be compromised by disclosure.

Intellectual property disputes could cause us to spend substantial resources and distract our personnel from their normal responsibilities.

Even if resolved in our favor, litigation or other legal proceedings relating to intellectual property claims may cause us to incur significant expenses, and could distract our technical and/or management personnel from their normal responsibilities. In addition, there could be public announcements of the results of hearings, motions or other interim proceedings or developments and if securities analysts or investors perceive these results to be negative, it could have a substantial adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. In some cases, we may choose not to pursue litigation against those that have infringed on our patents, or used them without authorization, due to the associated expenses and time commitment of monitoring these activities. If we fail to protect or to enforce our intellectual property rights successfully, our competitive position could suffer, which could harm our results of operations.

We may not be successful in obtaining or maintaining necessary rights for our development pipeline through acquisitions and in-licenses.

Presently we have rights to intellectual property to develop our product candidates, including patents and patent applications we own or exclusively license from the University of Texas at Austin. Because our programs may involve additional product candidates that may require the use of proprietary rights held by third parties, the growth of our business may depend in part on our ability to acquire, in-license or use these proprietary rights. We may be unable to acquire or in-license any compositions, methods of use, processes or other third-party intellectual property rights from third parties that we identify as necessary for our product candidates. The licensing and acquisition of third-party intellectual property rights is a competitive area, and a number of more established companies are also pursuing strategies to license or acquire third-party intellectual property rights that we may consider attractive. These established

companies may have a competitive advantage over us due to their size, cash resources and greater clinical development and commercialization capabilities. In addition, companies that perceive us to be a competitor may be unwilling to assign or license rights to us. We also may be unable to license or acquire third-party intellectual property rights on terms that would allow us to make an appropriate return on our investment. If we are unable to successfully obtain rights to required third-party intellectual property rights, our business, financial condition and prospects for growth could suffer.

If we are not able to prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and other proprietary information, the value of our technology and product candidates could be significantly diminished.

We rely on trade secret protection to protect our interests in proprietary know-how and in processes that are unpatentable or for which patents are difficult to obtain or enforce. We may not be able to protect our trade secrets adequately. We have a policy of requiring our consultants, advisors and strategic partners to enter into confidentiality agreements and our employees to enter into invention, non-disclosure and non-compete agreements. However, no assurance can be given that we have entered into appropriate agreements with all parties that have had access to our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information, or that such agreements will provide for a meaningful protection of our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information in the event of any unauthorized use or disclosure of information. Enforcing a claim that a party illegally disclosed or misappropriated a trade secret is difficult, expensive and time-consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. Even if we are successful in prosecuting such claims, any remedy awarded may be insufficient to fully compensate us for the improper disclosure or misappropriation. Furthermore, although we seek to preserve the integrity and confidentiality of our data, trade secrets and know-how by maintaining physical security of our premises and physical and electronic security of our information technology systems, it is also possible that our trade secrets, know-how or other proprietary information could be obtained by third parties as a result of breaches of such systems.

Any disclosure of confidential information into the public domain or to third parties could allow our competitors to learn our trade secrets and use the information in competition against us. In addition, others may independently discover or develop our trade secrets and proprietary information or substantially equivalent techniques. Any action to enforce our rights is likely to be time consuming and expensive, and may ultimately be unsuccessful, or may result in a remedy that is not commercially valuable. These risks are accentuated in foreign countries where laws or law enforcement practices may not protect proprietary rights as fully as in the United States or Europe. Any unauthorized disclosure of our trade secrets or confidential information could harm our competitive position.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights throughout the world.

Filing, prosecuting and defending patents on all of our product candidates throughout the world would be prohibitively expensive, and our patent rights in some countries outside the United States can be less extensive than those in the United States. The requirements for patentability may differ in certain countries, particularly developing countries. For example, unlike other countries, China has a heightened requirement for patentability and specifically requires a detailed description of medical uses of a claimed therapeutic. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as federal and state laws in the United States. Consequently, we may not be able to prevent third parties from practicing our inventions in all countries outside the United States, or from selling or importing products made using our inventions in and into the United States or other jurisdictions.

As part of ordinary course prosecution and maintenance activities, we determine whether to seek patent protection outside the United States and in which countries. This also applies to patents we have acquired or in-licensed from third parties. In some cases, this means that we, or our predecessors in interest or licensors of patents within our portfolio, have sought patent protection in a limited number of countries for patents covering our product candidates. Competitors may use our technologies in jurisdictions where we have not pursued and obtained patent protection to develop their own products and, further, may export otherwise infringing products to territories where we have patent protection but where enforcement is not as strong as in the United States. These products may compete with our products in jurisdictions where we do not have any issued patents and, even in jurisdictions where we have or are able to obtain issued patents, our patent claims or other intellectual property rights may not be effective or sufficient to prevent them from so competing. Many companies have encountered significant problems in protecting and defending intellectual property rights in foreign jurisdictions. The legal systems of certain countries, particularly certain developing countries, do not favor the enforcement of patents and other intellectual property protection, particularly those relating to biopharmaceuticals, which could make it difficult for us to stop the infringement of our patents or marketing of competing products in violation of our proprietary rights generally. Proceedings to enforce our patent rights in foreign jurisdictions could result in substantial cost and divert our efforts and attention from other aspects of our business, could put our patents at risk of being invalidated or interpreted narrowly and our patent applications at risk of not issuing and could provoke third parties to assert claims against us. We may not prevail in any lawsuits that we initiate and the damages or other remedies awarded, if any, may

not be commercially meaningful. In addition, certain countries in Europe and certain developing countries, including India and China, have compulsory licensing laws under which a patent owner may be compelled to grant licenses to third parties. In those countries, we may have limited remedies if our patents are infringed or if we are compelled to grant a license to our patents to a third party, which could materially diminish the value of those patents. In addition, there may be patent law reforms in foreign jurisdictions that could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents in those foreign jurisdictions. This could limit our potential revenue opportunities.

Accordingly, our efforts to obtain, register, and enforce our intellectual property rights around the world may be inadequate to obtain a significant commercial advantage from the intellectual property that we own or license. Moreover, patent protection must ultimately be sought on a country-by-country basis, which is an expensive and time-consuming process with uncertain outcomes. Accordingly, we may choose not to seek patent protection in certain countries, and we will not have the benefit of patent protection in such countries.

If we breach any of the agreements under which we license patent rights to use, develop and commercialize our product candidates or our technologies from third parties or, in certain cases, we fail to meet certain development deadlines, we could lose license rights that are important to our business.

We are a party to a number of license agreements under which we are granted rights to intellectual property that are important to our business and we expect that we may need to enter into additional license agreements in the future. In particular, we partner with the University of Texas at Austin, which is a U.S. academic institution, in order to accelerate our discovery and nonclinical development work under a Sponsored Research Agreement. Under the Sponsored Research Agreement, we made payments of \$563,000, \$832,000, and \$563,000 for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively, to sponsor research in the laboratory of our director, Dr. George Georgiou, at the University of Texas at Austin on the engineering, optimization and initial animal validation of human enzymes to determine the systemic depletion of amino acids for cancer therapy and to analyze enzyme replacement for the treatment of patients having inborn metabolic defects.

The University of Texas at Austin has provided us with an option to negotiate a royalty-bearing, exclusive license to any invention or discovery that is conceived or reduced to practice during the term of the Sponsored Research Agreement. Regardless of such right of first negotiation for intellectual property, we may be unable to negotiate a license within the specified time frame or under terms that are acceptable to us. If we are unable to do so, the institution may offer the intellectual property rights to other parties, potentially blocking our ability to pursue a program based on that technology.

In December 2013, our wholly-owned subsidiaries AECCase, Inc. and AEMase, Inc. each entered into an exclusive, worldwide license agreement, including the right to grant sublicenses, with the University of Texas at Austin for certain intellectual property owned by the University of Texas at Austin related to our product candidates AEB3103 and AEB2109. On January 31, 2017, we and the University of Texas at Austin entered into an Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement which consolidated the two license agreements, revised certain obligations, and licensed additional patent applications and invention disclosures to us, or the Restated License. In December 2017, the Restated License was further amended to revise certain diligence milestones. The intellectual property licensed under the Restated License includes an invention that was made with U.S. government support. The U.S. government therefore has certain rights in such inventions under the applicable funding agreements and under applicable law. In addition, we are subject to a requirement that the products covered by the applicable patents that are sold or used in the United States must be manufactured substantially in the United States unless a written waiver is obtained in advance from the U.S. government. The Restated License obligates us to make certain payments at the achievement of certain milestones and at regular intervals throughout the life of the license. The University of Texas at Austin may terminate the Restated License under certain circumstances, including for a breach by us that is not cured within 30 or 60 days of notice (depending on the type of breach), or if we or any of our affiliates or sublicensees participate in any proceeding to challenge the licensed patent rights (unless, with respect to sublicensees, we terminate the applicable sublicense).

Licensing of intellectual property is of critical importance to our business and involves complex legal, business and scientific issues. Any other licenses or other intellectual property agreements we may enter into may impose various diligence, milestone payment, royalty and other obligations on us. If disputes arise between us and our licensor or if we fail to comply with our obligations under current or future intellectual property agreements, potentially giving our counterparties the right to terminate these agreements, we might not be able to develop, manufacture or market any product that is covered by the agreement or face other penalties under the agreement. Such an occurrence could materially adversely affect the value of the product candidate being developed under any such agreement. Termination of these agreements or reduction or elimination of our rights under these agreements may result in our having to negotiate new or reinstated agreements with less favorable terms, or cause us to lose our rights under these agreements, including our rights to important intellectual property or technology.

The loss of any one of our current licenses, or any other license we may acquire in the future, could prevent or impair our ability to successfully develop and commercialize the affected product candidates and thus materially harm our business, prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

Intellectual property rights do not necessarily address all potential threats to our competitive advantage.

The degree of future protection afforded by our intellectual property rights is uncertain because intellectual property rights have limitations, and may not adequately protect our business, provide a barrier to entry against our competitors or potential competitors, or permit us to maintain our competitive advantage. Moreover, if a third party has intellectual property rights that cover the practice of our technology or product candidates, we may not be able to fully exercise or extract value from our intellectual property rights. The following examples are illustrative:

- others may be able to make compounds that are similar to our product candidates but that are not covered by the claims of the patents that we own or license;
- we or our licensors or collaborators might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by an issued patent or pending patent application that we own or license;
- we or our licensors or collaborators might not have been the first to file patent applications covering an invention;
- others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies without infringing or misappropriating our intellectual property rights;
- pending patent applications that we own or license may not lead to issued patents;
- issued patents that we own or license may not provide us with any competitive advantages, or may be narrowly construed or held invalid or unenforceable, as a result of legal challenges by our competitors;
- our competitors might conduct research and development activities in countries where we do not have patent rights and then use the information learned from such activities to develop competitive products for sale in our major commercial markets;
- we may not develop or in-license additional proprietary technologies that are patentable; and
- the patents of others may have an adverse effect on our business.

Any of these events could significantly harm our business, results of operations and prospects.

Changes in U.S. patent law could diminish the value of patents in general, thereby impairing our ability to protect our products, and recent patent reform legislation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents.

As is the case with other biotechnology companies, our success is heavily dependent on patents. Obtaining and enforcing patents in the biotechnology industry involve both technological and legal complexity, and is therefore costly, time-consuming and inherently uncertain. In addition, the United States has recently enacted and is currently implementing wide-ranging patent reform legislation. Recent U.S. Supreme Court rulings have narrowed the scope of patent protection available in certain circumstances and weakened the rights of patent owners in certain situations. In addition to increasing uncertainty with regard to our ability to obtain patents in the future, this combination of events has created uncertainty with respect to the value of patents, once obtained. Depending on decisions by the U.S. Congress, the federal courts, and the U.S. PTO, the laws and regulations governing patents could change in unpredictable ways that would weaken our ability to obtain new patents or to enforce our existing patents and patents that we might obtain in the future.

For our U.S. patent applications containing a claim not entitled to priority before March 16, 2013, there is a greater level of uncertainty in the patent law. On September 16, 2011, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act, or the Leahy-Smith Act, was signed into law. The Leahy-Smith Act includes a number of significant changes to U.S. patent law, which affect both the way patent applications will be prosecuted and potentially patent litigation. The U.S. PTO has promulgated regulations and developed procedures to govern administration of the Leahy-Smith Act, and many of the substantive changes to patent law associated with the Leahy-Smith Act (in particular, the first to file provisions) did not come into effect until March 16, 2013. Accordingly, it is not yet clear what, if any, impact the Leahy-Smith Act will have on the operation of our business. However, the Leahy-Smith Act and its implementation could increase the uncertainties and costs surrounding the prosecution of our patent applications and the enforcement or defense of our issued patents, all of which could have a material adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

An important change introduced by the Leahy-Smith Act is that, as of March 16, 2013, the United States transitioned to a "first-to-file" system for deciding which party should be granted a patent when two or more patent applications are filed by different parties claiming the same invention. A third party that files a patent application in the U.S. PTO after that date but before us could therefore be awarded a patent covering an invention of ours even if we had made the invention before it was made by the third party. This will require us to be cognizant going forward of the time from invention to filing of a patent application. Furthermore, our ability to obtain and maintain valid and enforceable patents depends on whether the differences between our technology and the prior art allow our technology to be patentable over the prior art. Since patent applications in the United States and most other countries are confidential for a period of time after filing, we cannot be certain that we were the first to either (i) file any patent application related to our product candidates or (ii) invent any of the inventions claimed in our patents or patent applications.

Among some of the other changes introduced by the Leahy-Smith Act are changes that limit where a patentee may file a patent infringement suit and that allow third parties to challenge any issued patent, whether issued before or after March 16, 2013, in the U.S. PTO. Because of a lower evidentiary standard in U.S. PTO proceedings compared to the evidentiary standard in United States federal court necessary to invalidate a patent claim, a third party could potentially provide evidence in a U.S. PTO proceeding sufficient for the U.S. PTO to hold a claim invalid even though the same evidence would be insufficient to invalidate the claim if first presented in a district court action. Accordingly, a third party may attempt to use the U.S. PTO procedures to invalidate our patent claims that would not have been invalidated if first challenged by the third party as a defendant in a district court action.

If we do not obtain patent term extensions in the United States under the Hatch-Waxman Act and in foreign countries under similar legislation, thereby potentially extending the term of our marketing exclusivity for our product candidates, our business may be materially harmed.

Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of FDA marketing approval of our product candidates, if any, one of the U.S. patents covering each of such approved product(s) or the use thereof may be eligible for up to five years of patent term restoration under the Hatch-Waxman Act. The Hatch-Waxman Act allows a maximum of one patent to be extended per FDA-approved product. Patent term extension also may be available in certain foreign countries upon regulatory approval of our product candidates. Nevertheless, we may not be granted patent term extension either in the United States or in any foreign country because of, for example, failing to apply within applicable deadlines, failing to apply prior to expiration of relevant patents or otherwise failing to satisfy applicable requirements. Moreover, the term of extension, as well as the scope of patent protection during any such extension, afforded by the governmental authority could be less than we request. In addition, if a patent we wish to extend is owned by another party and licensed to us, we may need to obtain approval and cooperation from our licensor to request the extension.

If we are unable to obtain patent term extension or restoration, or the term of any such extension is less than we request, the period during which we will have the right to exclusively market our product will be shortened and our competitors may obtain approval of competing products following our patent expiration, and our revenue could be reduced, possibly materially.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our executive officers, directors and principal stockholders, if they choose to act together, will continue to have the ability to control all matters submitted to stockholders for approval.

We have a concentrated stockholder base and our executive officers and directors, combined with our stockholders who, to our knowledge, each owned more than 5% of our outstanding common stock, in the aggregate, beneficially own shares representing a majority of our capital stock as of December 31, 2017. As a result, if these stockholders were to choose to act together, they would be able to control all matters submitted to our stockholders for approval, as well as our management and affairs. For example, these persons, if they choose to act together, would control the election of directors and approval of any merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets. This concentration of ownership control may:

- delay, defer or prevent a change in control;
- entrench our management and the board of directors; or
- impede a merger, consolidation, takeover or other business combination involving us that other stockholders may desire or may result in you obtaining a premium for your shares.

Our internal control over financial reporting does not currently meet the standards required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, and failure to achieve and maintain effective internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act could have a material adverse effect on our business and stock price.

Pursuant to Section 404, we are required to furnish a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting for the year ended December 31, 2017. However, while we remain an emerging growth company, we will not be required to include an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm. Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. We may encounter problems or delays in implementing any changes necessary to make a favorable assessment of our internal control over financial reporting. If we cannot favorably assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, or if our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide an unqualified attestation report on our internal controls when required, investors could lose confidence in our financial information and the price of our common stock could decline.

Additionally, the existence of any material weakness or significant deficiency would require management to devote significant time and incur significant expense to remediate any such material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and management may not be able to remediate any such material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in a timely manner. The existence of any material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting could also result in errors in our financial statements that could require us to restate our financial statements causing us to fail to meet our reporting obligations and cause stockholders to lose confidence in our reported financial information, all of which could materially and adversely affect us.

Provisions in our corporate charter documents and under Delaware law could make an acquisition of our company, which may be beneficial to our stockholders, more difficult and may prevent attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management.

Provisions in our certificate of incorporation and our bylaws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control of our company that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares. These provisions could also limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock, thereby depressing the market price of our common stock. In addition, because our board of directors is responsible for appointing the members of our management team, these provisions may frustrate or prevent any attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our current management by making it more difficult for stockholders to replace members of our board of directors. Among other things, these provisions:

- establish a classified board of directors such that only one of three classes of directors is elected each year;
- allow the authorized number of our directors to be changed only by resolution of our board of directors;
- limit the manner in which stockholders can remove directors from our board of directors;
- establish advance notice requirements for stockholder proposals that can be acted on at stockholder meetings and nominations to our board of directors;

- require that stockholder actions must be effected at a duly called stockholder meeting and prohibit actions by our stockholders by written consent;
- limit who may call stockholder meetings;
- authorize our board of directors to issue preferred stock without stockholder approval, which could be used to institute a “poison pill” that would work to dilute the stock ownership of a potential hostile acquirer, effectively preventing acquisitions that have not been approved by our board of directors; and
- require the approval of the holders of at least two-thirds of the votes that all our stockholders would be entitled to cast to amend or repeal specified provisions of our certificate of incorporation or bylaws.

Moreover, we are governed by the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which prohibits a person who owns in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock from merging or combining with us for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person acquired in excess of 15% of our outstanding voting stock, unless the merger or combination is approved in a prescribed manner.

Any of these provisions of our charter documents or Delaware law could, under certain circumstances, depress the market price of our common stock.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation designates the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware as the sole and exclusive forum for certain types of actions and proceedings that may be initiated by our stockholders, which could limit our stockholders’ ability to obtain a favorable judicial forum for disputes with us or our directors, officers, employees or agents.

Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation provides that, unless we consent in writing to an alternative forum, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware will be the sole and exclusive forum for any derivative action or proceeding brought on our behalf, any action asserting a claim of breach of a fiduciary duty owed by any of our directors, officers, employees or agents to us or our stockholders, any action asserting a claim arising pursuant to any provision of the DGCL, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation or our amended and restated bylaws or any action asserting a claim that is governed by the internal affairs doctrine, in each case subject to the Court of Chancery having personal jurisdiction over the indispensable parties named as defendants therein and the claim not being one which is vested in the exclusive jurisdiction of a court or forum other than the Court of Chancery or for which the Court of Chancery does not have subject matter jurisdiction. Any person purchasing or otherwise acquiring any interest in any shares of our capital stock shall be deemed to have notice of and to have consented to this provision of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation. This choice of forum provision may limit our stockholders’ ability to bring a claim in a judicial forum that it finds favorable for disputes with us or our directors, officers, employees or agents, which may discourage such lawsuits against us and our directors, officers, employees and agents even though an action, if successful, might benefit our stockholders. Stockholders who do bring a claim in the Court of Chancery could face additional litigation costs in pursuing any such claim, particularly if they do not reside in or near Delaware. The Court of Chancery may also reach different judgments or results than would other courts, including courts where a stockholder considering an action may be located or would otherwise choose to bring the action, and such judgments or results may be more favorable to us than to our stockholders. Alternatively, if a court were to find this provision of our amended and restated certificate of incorporation inapplicable to, or unenforceable in respect of, one or more of the specified types of actions or proceedings, we may incur additional costs associated with resolving such matters in other jurisdictions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The price of our common stock may be volatile and fluctuate substantially, which could result in substantial losses for purchasers of our common stock.

Our stock price is volatile. The stock market in general and the market for smaller biotechnology companies in particular have experienced extreme volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including:

- the success or failure of competitive products or technologies;
- results of ongoing or planned clinical trials of our product candidates or those of our competitors;
- regulatory or legal developments in the United States and other countries;
- developments or disputes concerning patent applications, issued patents or other proprietary rights;
- the recruitment or departure of key personnel;
- the level of expenses related to any of our product candidates or clinical development programs;

- the results of our efforts to discover, develop, acquire or in-license additional product candidates or products;
- actual or anticipated changes in estimates as to financial results, development timelines or recommendations by securities analysts;
- operating results that fail to meet expectations of securities analysts that cover our company;
- variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us;
- changes in the structure of healthcare payment systems;
- market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors;
- general economic and market conditions; and
- the other factors described in this “Risk Factors” section.

We may be subject to securities litigation, which is expensive and could divert management attention.

Our stock price is volatile, and in the past companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their stock have been subject to an increased incidence of securities class action litigation. We may be the target of this type of litigation in the future. Securities litigation against us could result in substantial costs and divert our management’s attention from other business concerns, which could seriously harm our business.

If securities or industry analysts do not publish research or reports about our business, or publish negative reports about our business, our stock price and trading volume could decline.

The trading market for our common stock will depend in part on the research and reports that securities or industry analysts publish about us or our business. We do not have any control over these analysts. There can be no assurance that analysts will cover us or provide favorable coverage. If one or more of the analysts who cover us downgrade our stock or change their opinion of our stock, our stock price would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company or fail to regularly publish reports on us, we could lose visibility in the financial markets, which could cause our stock price or trading volume to decline.

We have broad discretion in the use of the net proceeds from our public offerings and may not use them effectively.

Our management has broad discretion in the application of the net proceeds from our public offerings, and you will not have the opportunity as part of your investment decision to assess whether the net proceeds are being used appropriately. Our management could spend the net proceeds from our public offerings in ways that do not improve our results of operations or enhance the value of our common stock. The failure by our management to apply these funds effectively could result in financial losses that could have a material adverse effect on our business, cause the price of our common stock to decline and delay the development of our product candidates. Pending their use, we may invest the net proceeds from our public offerings in a manner that does not produce income or that loses value.

Future sales of our common stock in the public market could cause the market price of our common stock to drop significantly, even if our business is doing well.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market, or the perception in the market that the holders of a large number of shares intend to sell shares, could reduce the market price of our common stock and make it more difficult for you to sell your common stock at a time and price that you deem appropriate.

Certain holders of our common stock have rights, subject to conditions, to require us to file registration statements covering their shares or to include their shares in Securities Act registration statements that we may file for ourselves or other stockholders. Once we register these shares, they can be freely sold in the public market. Moreover, we have also registered under the Securities Act shares of common stock that we may issue under our equity compensation plans.

In addition, on May 1, 2017, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 for the potential offering, issuance and sale by us of up to \$150.0 million of our common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants to purchase our common stock, preferred stock and debt securities, subscription rights to purchase our common stock, preferred stock and debt securities, and units consisting of all or some of these securities. The shelf registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on May 30, 2017. In June 2017, we sold 3,000,000 shares of our common stock in an underwritten public offering pursuant to the shelf registration statement for aggregate gross proceeds of \$12.3 million. In addition, common stock with an aggregate offering price of up to \$20.0 million may be issued and sold pursuant to an “at-the-market” offering of our common stock pursuant to a sales agreement between us and JonesTrading Institutional Services

LLC, or JonesTrading. Subject to certain limitations in the sales agreement and compliance with applicable law, we have the discretion to deliver a placement notice to JonesTrading at any time throughout the term of the sales agreement, which has a term equal to the term of the registration statement on Form S-3 unless otherwise terminated earlier by us or JonesTrading pursuant to the terms of the sales agreement. The number of shares that are sold by JonesTrading after delivering a placement notice will fluctuate based on the market price of our common stock during the sales period and limits we set with JonesTrading. Because the price per share of each share sold will fluctuate based on the market price of our common stock during the sales period, it is not possible at this stage to predict the number of shares that will be ultimately issued. Issuances of such shares pursuant to the sales agreement will have a dilutive effect on our existing stockholders. Further, if we sell common stock, preferred stock, convertible securities and other equity securities in other transactions pursuant to our shelf registration statement on Form S-3, existing investors may be materially diluted by such subsequent sales and new investors could gain rights superior to our existing stockholders.

In addition, in the future, we may issue additional shares of common stock or other equity or debt securities convertible into common stock in connection with a financing, acquisition, litigation settlement, employee arrangements or otherwise. Any such issuance could result in substantial dilution to our existing stockholders and could cause our stock price to decline.

We are an “emerging growth company,” and the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies may make our common stock less attractive to investors.

We are an “emerging growth company,” as defined in the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, or the JOBS Act, and may remain an emerging growth company for up to five years. For so long as we remain an emerging growth company, we are permitted and intend to rely on exemptions from certain disclosure requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies. These exemptions include:

- being permitted to provide only two years of audited financial statements, in addition to any required unaudited interim financial statements, with correspondingly reduced “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” disclosure;
- not being required to comply with the auditor attestation requirements in the assessment of our internal control over financial reporting of Section 404(b) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act;
- not being required to comply with any requirement that may be adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor’s report providing additional information about the audit and the financial statements;
- reduced disclosure obligations regarding executive compensation; and
- exemptions from the requirements of holding a nonbinding advisory vote on executive compensation and shareholder approval of any golden parachute payments not previously approved.

The JOBS Act provides that an emerging growth company can take advantage of an extended transition period for complying with new or revised accounting standards. This allows an emerging growth company to delay the adoption of these accounting standards until they would otherwise apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption and, therefore, we will be subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

We will continue to incur increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and our management will be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives and corporate governance practices.

As a public company, and particularly after we are no longer an emerging growth company, we incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, the listing requirements of The Nasdaq Global Market and other applicable securities rules and regulations impose various requirements on public companies, including establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel will need to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. Moreover, these rules and regulations will continue to increase our legal and financial compliance costs and will make some activities more time-consuming and costly. For example, we expect that these rules and regulations may make it more difficult and more expensive for us to obtain and maintain director and officer liability insurance, which in turn could make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of our board of directors.

We are evaluating these rules and regulations, and cannot predict or estimate the amount of additional costs we may incur or the timing of such costs. These rules and regulations are often subject to varying interpretations, in many cases due to their lack of specificity, and, as a result, their application in practice may evolve over time as new guidance is provided by regulatory and governing bodies. This could result in continuing uncertainty regarding compliance matters and higher costs necessitated by ongoing revisions to disclosure and governance practices.

Pursuant to Section 404, we are required to furnish a report by our management on our internal control over financial reporting for the year ending December 31, 2017. As discussed above, if we cease to be an emerging growth company, we will be required to include an attestation report on internal control over financial reporting issued by our independent registered public accounting firm as required by Section 404(b). To achieve compliance with Section 404 within the prescribed period, we will be engaged in a process to document and evaluate our internal control over financial reporting, which is both costly and challenging. In this regard, we will need to continue to dedicate internal resources, potentially engage outside consultants and adopt a detailed work plan to assess and document the adequacy of internal control over financial reporting, continue steps to improve control processes as appropriate, validate through testing that controls are functioning as documented and implement a continuous reporting and improvement process for internal control over financial reporting. Despite our efforts, there is a risk that we will not be able to conclude, within the prescribed timeframe or at all, that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as required by Section 404. If we identify one or more material weaknesses, it could result in an adverse reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of confidence in the reliability of our consolidated financial statements.

Our ability to use our net operating loss carryforwards and certain other tax attributes may be limited.

Under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, if a corporation undergoes an “ownership change,” generally defined as a greater than 50% change (by value) in its equity ownership over a three-year period, the corporation’s ability to use its pre-change net operating loss carryforwards, or NOLs, and other pre-change tax attributes (such as research tax credits) to offset its post-change income or taxes may be limited. It is possible that we may have triggered an “ownership change” limitation. We may also experience ownership changes in the future as a result of subsequent shifts in our stock ownership (some of which are outside of our control). As a result, if we earn net taxable income, our ability to use our pre-change NOLs and other pre-change tax attributes to offset U.S. federal taxable income or taxes may be subject to limitations, which could potentially result in increased future tax liability to us. Our NOLs and other tax attributes arising before our conversion from a Delaware limited liability company to a Delaware corporation in 2015 also may be limited by the Separate Return Limitation Year rule, which could increase our U.S. federal tax liability. In addition, at the state level, there may be periods during which the use of NOLs is suspended or otherwise limited, which could accelerate or permanently increase state taxes owed.

Since we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our capital stock in the foreseeable future, stock price appreciation, if any, will be your sole source of gain.

We have never declared or paid cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all of our future earnings, if any, to finance the growth and development of our business. In addition, the terms of any future debt agreements may preclude us from paying dividends. As a result, appreciation, if any, in the market price of our common stock will be your sole source of gain for the foreseeable future.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our corporate headquarters occupy approximately 10,100 square feet of leased office space in Austin, Texas pursuant to a lease that expires in 2020. In October 2017, we entered into a separate lease agreement for approximately 3,250 square feet of laboratory space in Austin, Texas, which will expire in December 2019. We intend to lease additional space if we add employees and expand geographically. We believe that our facilities are adequate to meet our needs for the immediate future, and that, should it be needed, suitable additional space will be available on commercially reasonable terms to accommodate any such expansion of our operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, we may become involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of our business. Regardless of outcome, litigation can have an adverse impact on us due to defense and settlement costs, diversion of management resources, negative publicity and reputational harm, and other factors.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information and Holders

Our common stock is traded on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol "AGLE." Prior to April 6, 2016, there was no public market for our common stock. The table below summarizes the high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported on The Nasdaq Global Market.

	High	Low
Year ended December 31, 2017		
First Fiscal Quarter	\$ 8.03	\$ 3.99
Second Fiscal Quarter	\$ 7.54	\$ 3.40
Third Fiscal Quarter	\$ 4.93	\$ 2.91
Fourth Fiscal Quarter	\$ 5.98	\$ 4.00
Year ended December 31, 2016		
Second Fiscal Quarter (1)	\$ 11.99	\$ 4.36
Third Fiscal Quarter	\$ 8.11	\$ 3.96
Fourth Fiscal Quarter	\$ 6.99	\$ 4.35

(1) The period reported for the second fiscal quarter is from April 6, 2016 through June 30, 2016.

As of March 8, 2018, there were 37 registered holders of record of our common stock, based on information provided by our transfer agent. The actual number of stockholders is greater than this number of registered record holders, and includes stockholders who are beneficial owners, but whose shares are held in "street name" by brokers and other nominees.

Stock Price Performance Graph

This graph shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or be deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liabilities under that Section, and shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any of our filings under the Securities Act whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

The following stock performance graph compares our total stock return with the total return for (i) the Nasdaq Composite Index and the (ii) the Nasdaq Biotechnology Index for the period from April 7, 2016 (the date our common stock commenced trading on the Nasdaq Global Market) through December 31, 2017. The figures represented below assume an investment of \$100 in our common stock at the closing price of \$9.77 on April 7, 2016 and in the Nasdaq Composite Index and the Nasdaq Biotechnology Index on April 7, 2016 and the reinvestment of dividends into shares of common stock. The comparisons in the table are required by the SEC and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of possible future performance of our common stock.



\$100 investment in stock or index	Ticker	April 7, 2016	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2017
Aeglea Biotherapeutics, Inc.	AGLE	\$ 100.00	\$ 44.52	\$ 55.37
Nasdaq Composite Index	IXIC	\$ 100.00	\$ 111.03	\$ 142.39
Nasdaq Biotechnology Index	NBI	\$ 100.00	\$ 94.56	\$ 114.47

Dividends

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock. We currently intend to retain all available funds and any future earnings to support our operations and finance the growth and development of our business. We do not intend to pay cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The information required by this item will be included in an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K or incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None.

Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

On April 6, 2016, our Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-200501) relating to the IPO of our common stock was declared effective by the SEC.

There has been no material change in our planned use of the net proceeds from the IPO, as described in our final prospectus filed with the SEC on April 7, 2016.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

None.

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The consolidated statements of operations data for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 are derived from our audited financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The selected consolidated statements of operations data for the year ended December 31, 2014 and the period from December 16, 2013 (inception) through December 31, 2013 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 is derived from our audited financial statements which are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in the future. You should read the selected financial data below in conjunction with the section of this report entitled "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and our financial statements and the related notes included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31,				Period from December 16, 2013 (Inception) through December 31, 2013
	2017	2016	2015	2014	
(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)					
Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenues:					
Grant	\$ 5,205	\$ 4,628	\$ 6,085	\$ —	\$ —
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	22,815	18,143	11,453	6,830	1,150
General and administrative	10,066	8,391	5,947	2,074	735
Total operating expenses	32,881	26,534	17,400	8,904	1,885
Loss from operations	(27,676)	(21,906)	(11,315)	(8,904)	(1,885)
Other income (expense):					
Interest income	482	244	22	1	—
Change in fair value of forward sale contract	—	—	—	(1,444)	(52)
Other expense, net	(42)	(36)	(2)	—	—
Total other income (expense)	440	208	20	(1,443)	(52)
Net loss	(27,236)	(21,698)	(11,295)	(10,347)	(1,937)
Deemed dividend to convertible preferred stockholders	—	—	(228)	—	—
Net loss attributable to common shareholders and stockholders	\$ (27,236)	\$ (21,698)	\$ (11,523)	\$ (10,347)	\$ (1,937)
Common Stock:					
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (1.80)	\$ (2.22)	\$ (19.21)	\$ —	\$ —
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (27,236)	\$ (21,698)	\$ (11,523)	\$ —	\$ —
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	15,128,192	9,791,728	599,788	—	—
Class A-1 common:					
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (20.13)	\$ (15.48)
Net loss attributable to class	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (3,321)	\$ (1,277)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	—	—	—	165,000	82,500
Class A common:					
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (17.06)	\$ (3.94)
Net loss attributable to class	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (5,706)	\$ (660)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	—	—	—	334,522	167,261
Class B common:					
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (40.17)	\$ —
Net loss attributable to class	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (1,320)	\$ —
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	—	—	—	32,861	—

	As of December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
	(in thousands)				
Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities	\$ 50,299	\$ 63,502	\$ 33,062	\$ 2,616	\$ 4,597
Working capital	49,362	62,459	35,763	1,672	3,185
Total assets	56,077	67,063	38,654	2,930	4,597
Total liabilities	5,740	4,097	2,550	1,058	1,412
Convertible preferred shares	—	—	58,311	13,345	4,458
Accumulated deficit	(72,513)	(45,277)	(23,579)	(12,284)	(1,937)
Total members'/stockholders' equity (deficit)	50,337	62,966	(22,207)	(11,473)	(1,273)

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our financial statements and related notes appearing in this Annual Report. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this Annual Report, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business and related financing, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. As a result of many factors, including those factors set forth in the "Risk Factors" section of this Annual Report, our actual results could differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis. As used in this report, unless the context suggests otherwise, "we," "us," "our," "the Company" or "Aeglea" refer to Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.

Overview

We are a clinical-stage biotechnology company that designs and develops innovative human enzyme therapeutics for patients with rare genetic diseases and cancer. We believe our novel approach of utilizing human enzymes offers advantages over bacterial enzyme-based approaches including a more favorable safety profile providing a greater likelihood of clinical success.

Our capabilities in enzyme engineering, preclinical disease modelling, and drug development in both rare genetic disease and cancer allow us to identify and advance innovative opportunities to address important unmet medical needs for the benefit of patients. Our programs and the decisions we make to progress assets into clinical studies are driven by the following considerations:

- Potential for enhancement of human enzymatic activity
- Strong preclinical data and rationale
- Limited or no competition
- Meaningful commercial opportunities
- Worldwide commercial rights

We are a patient-focused organization conscious of the fact that people with a rare genetic disease or cancer have limited treatment options, and we recognize that their lives and well-being are highly dependent upon our efforts to develop improved therapies. For this reason, we are passionate about designing and developing novel therapeutics to address significant unmet medical need for rare genetic disease and cancer.

Our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase (AEB1102), is engineered to degrade the amino acid arginine and is being developed to treat two extremes of arginine metabolism, including arginine excess in patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, a rare genetic disease, as well as some cancers which have been shown to have a metabolic dependence on arginine. Pegzilarginase is currently being evaluated in three ongoing clinical trials, consisting of one Phase 1/2 clinical trial for the treatment of Arginase 1 Deficiency, one Phase 1 clinical trial for the treatment of advanced solid tumors, and one Phase 1/2 combination clinical trial of pegzilarginase with pembrolizumab for the treatment of patients with small cell lung cancer. We are also building a pipeline of additional product candidates targeting key amino acids and other metabolites, including homocystine, a target for another rare genetic disease as well as cysteine, and its oxidized form cystine, and methionine, for cancer indications.

Since inception, we have devoted substantially all of our efforts and resources to identifying and developing product candidates, conducting nonclinical studies, initiating and conducting clinical trials, recruiting personnel and raising capital. To date, we have financed our operations primarily through private placements of our preferred stock, the initial public offering, or IPO, of our common stock, which closed on April 12, 2016, a follow-on public offering of our common stock in June 2017 and collection of a research grant.

We have not recorded revenue from product sales and all of our revenue to date has been grant revenue. Since our inception, and through December 31, 2017, we have raised an aggregate of \$122.8 million to fund our operations through the sale and issuance of convertible preferred and common equity securities and collected \$12.9 million in grant proceeds. As of December 31, 2017, we had cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities of \$50.3 million.

We have incurred net losses in each year since inception. Our net losses were \$27.2 million, \$21.7 million, and \$11.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively, and have resulted from costs incurred in connection with our research and development programs and from general and administrative expenses associated with our operations. As of December 31, 2017, we had an accumulated deficit of \$72.5 million. We expect to continue to incur operating losses over the next several years. Our net losses may fluctuate significantly from quarter to

quarter and from year to year. We anticipate that our expenses will increase significantly as we continue our clinical and diagnostic development activities for our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase; concurrently develop our pipeline product candidates; expand and protect our intellectual property portfolio; and hire additional personnel. In addition, we have incurred and expect to continue to incur additional costs associated with operating as a public company.

Components of Operating Results

Revenue

To date, we have recognized revenue solely from a research grant from the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas, or CPRIT, and have not generated any revenue from the sale of any of our product candidates. Our ability to generate product revenues, which we do not expect will occur for several years, if ever, will depend heavily on the successful development, regulatory approval and eventual commercialization of our product candidates.

In June 2015, we entered into a grant agreement with CPRIT, or the Grant Contract, for \$19.8 million for use in developing cancer treatments by exploiting the metabolism of cancer cells. The Grant Contract covers a four year period from June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2018. The grant allows us to receive funds in advance of costs and allowable expenses being incurred. We record the revenue as qualifying costs are incurred and there is reasonable assurance that the conditions of the award have been met for collection. Proceeds received prior to the costs being incurred or the conditions of the award being met are recognized as deferred revenue until the services are performed and the conditions of the award are met.

On a quarterly basis, we are required to submit a financial reporting package outlining the nature and extent of reimbursable costs paid and requesting reimbursement under the grant. At the end of each period, qualifying costs paid prior to reimbursement result in the recognition of a grant receivable.

Research and development expenses

Research and development expenses consist primarily of costs incurred for the discovery and development of our product candidates, most notably, our lead product candidate pegzilarginase. Since we currently do not have internal manufacturing capabilities, we contract with external providers for manufacturing services. In addition, while we opened an internal research laboratory in February 2017, we continue to contract with external providers for nonclinical studies and clinical trials. Our research and development expenses include:

- costs from acquiring clinical trial materials and services performed for contracted services with a contract manufacturing organization;
- fees paid to clinical trial sites, clinical research organizations, contract research organizations, contract manufacturing organizations, nonclinical research companies, and academic institutions; and
- employee and consultant-related expenses incurred, which include salaries, benefits, travel and stock-based compensation.

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Advance payments for goods or services to be rendered in the future for use in research and development activities are deferred and capitalized. The capitalized amounts are expensed as the related goods are delivered or the services are performed.

Research and development expenses have historically represented the largest component of our total operating expenses. We plan to increase our research and development expenses for the foreseeable future as we continue the development of our product candidates.

Our expenditures on current and future nonclinical and clinical development programs are subject to numerous uncertainties in timing and cost to completion. The duration, costs, and timing of clinical trials and development of our product candidates will depend on a variety of factors, including:

- the scope, rate of progress, and expenses of our ongoing research activities as well as any additional clinical trials and other research and development activities;
- future clinical trial results;
- uncertainties in clinical trial enrollment rates or drop-out or discontinuation rates of patients;
- potential safety monitoring or other studies requested by regulatory agencies;

- significant and changing government regulation; and
- the timing and receipt of regulatory approvals, if any.

The process of conducting the necessary clinical research to obtain FDA and other regulatory approval is costly and time consuming and the successful development of our product candidates is highly uncertain. The risks and uncertainties associated with our research and development projects are discussed more fully in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report titled "Risk Factors." As a result of these risks and uncertainties, we are unable to determine with any degree of certainty the duration and completion costs of our research and development projects, or if, when, or to what extent we will generate revenues from the commercialization and sale of any of our product candidates that obtain regulatory approval. We may never succeed in achieving regulatory approval for any of our product candidates.

General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs, including stock-based compensation, for personnel in executive, finance, accounting, operations, and human resources functions. Other significant costs include legal fees relating to corporate matters and fees for insurance, accounting, consulting, and recruiting services.

We expect that our general and administrative expenses will increase in the future to support our continued research and development activities, and the potential commercialization of our product candidates. These increases will likely include higher costs related to the hiring of additional personnel and fees to outside consultants, lawyers and accountants, among other expenses. Additionally, we have incurred and expect to continue to incur increased costs associated with being a public company, including expenses related to services associated with maintaining compliance with Nasdaq listing rules and SEC requirements, insurance and investor relations costs.

Interest income

Interest income consists of interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities.

Income taxes

Since inception in December 2013, through March 10, 2015, we were a Delaware LLC and elected to file as a partnership for federal and state income tax purposes through the year ended December 31, 2014. On March 10, 2015, we converted from a Delaware LLC to a Delaware corporation. For tax purposes, we elected to be treated as a corporation under Subchapter C of Chapter 1 of the United States Internal Revenue Code, effective January 1, 2015. We therefore, were subject to federal and state tax expense beginning January 1, 2015.

We serve as a holding company for our seven wholly-owned subsidiary corporations and file consolidated corporate federal income tax returns. We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statements and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is established against the deferred tax assets to reduce their carrying value to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. The deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as noncurrent along with the related valuation allowance. Due to our lack of earnings history, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance.

We recognize benefits of uncertain tax positions if it is more likely than not that such positions will be sustained upon examination based solely on the technical merits, as the largest amount of benefits that is more likely than not to be realized upon the ultimate settlement. Our policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to the unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, or GAAP. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue, costs and expenses, and related disclosures. These estimates form the basis for judgments we make about the carrying values of our assets and liabilities, which are not readily apparent from other sources. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Our critical accounting policies are those policies which require the most significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. We believe that the assumptions and estimates associated with our most critical accounting policies are those relating to accrued research and development costs and stock-based compensation.

We define our critical accounting policies as those accounting principles generally accepted in the United States that require us to make subjective estimates and judgments about matters that are uncertain and are likely to have a material impact on our financial condition and results of operations, as well as the specific manner in which we apply those principles. Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 2 to our audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this annual report.

Accrued research and development costs

We record the costs associated with research nonclinical studies, clinical trials, and manufacturing development as incurred. These costs are a significant component of our research and development expenses, with a substantial portion of our on-going research and development activities conducted by third-party service providers, including contract research organizations, or CROs, and contract manufacturing organizations, or CMOs.

We accrue for expenses resulting from obligations under agreements with CROs, CMOs, and other outside service providers for which payment flows do not match the periods over which materials or services are provided to us. We record accruals based on estimates of services received and efforts expended pursuant to agreements established with CROs, CMOs, and other outside service providers. These estimates are typically based on contracted amounts applied to the proportion of work performed and determined through analysis with internal personnel and external service providers as to the progress or stage of completion of the services. We make significant judgments and estimates in determining the accrual balance in each reporting period. In the event advance payments are made to a CRO, CMO, or outside service provider, the payments will be recorded as a prepaid asset which will be amortized as the contracted services are performed. As actual costs become known, we adjust our accruals. Inputs, such as the services performed, the number of patients enrolled, or the study duration, may vary from our estimates, resulting in adjustments to research and development expense in future periods. Changes in these estimates that result in material changes to our accruals could materially affect our results of operations.

Share/Stock-based compensation

We recognize the cost of share/stock-based awards granted to employees based on the estimated grant-date fair values of the awards. The value of the award is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Forfeitures are recognized when they occur, which may result in the reversal of compensation costs in subsequent periods as the forfeitures arise. We recognize the cost of share/stock-based awards granted to nonemployees at their then-current fair values as services are performed, and are remeasured through the counterparty performance date.

Prior to March 2015, we operated as a Limited Liability Company, or LLC, and issued Common B incentive equity awards to employees, consultants and non-employee directors of the Company. In March 2015, upon conversion from a Delaware LLC to a Delaware corporation, the outstanding Common B share awards were converted into restricted common stock and options to purchase common stock, or collectively, the Replacement Awards.

We assessed the conversion of the Common B share awards as a modification under GAAP. Because there was no change in vesting timing or conditions and there was no incremental increase in the conversion date fair value as a result of the conversion, we allocated the original Common B share values to the restricted common stock and stock options proportionate to their conversion date fair values.

We estimate the grant date fair value of the non-Replacement Award stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, which requires the use of highly subjective assumptions to determine the fair value of the awards. These assumptions include:

- *Expected term* – The expected term represents the period that the stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding and is determined using the simplified method (based on the mid-point between the vesting date and the end of the contractual term).
- *Expected volatility* – Since we have only been publicly traded for a short period and do not have adequate trading history for our common stock, the expected volatility is estimated based on the average volatility for

comparable publicly traded biopharmaceutical companies over a period equal to the expected term of the stock option grants. Subsequent to the IPO, we began to consider our own historic volatility. For purposes of identifying comparable companies, we selected companies with comparable characteristics to us, including enterprise value, risk profiles, position within the industry, and with historical share price information sufficient to meet the expected life of the stock-based awards. The historical volatility data was computed using the daily closing prices for the selected companies' shares during the equivalent period of the calculated expected term of the stock-based awards. We will continue to apply this process until a sufficient amount of historical information regarding the volatility of our own stock price becomes available, or until circumstances change, such that the identified entities are no longer comparable companies. In the latter case, other suitable, similar entities whose share prices are publicly available would be utilized in the calculation.

- *Risk-free interest rate* – The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury zero coupon issues in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding with the expected term of option.
- *Expected dividend* – We have never paid dividends on our common stock and have no plans to pay dividends on our common stock. Therefore, we used an expected dividend yield of zero.

Prior to our IPO in April 2016, the fair value of the shares of common stock underlying our share-based awards were estimated on each grant date by our Board of Directors. In order to determine the fair value of our Common B awards and the common stock underlying option grants, our Board of Directors considered, among other things, timely valuations of our common shares and common stock prepared by an unrelated third-party valuation firm in accordance with the guidance provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Practice Guide, *Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation*. Given the absence of a public trading market for our capital stock, our Board of Directors exercised reasonable judgment and considered a number of objective and subjective factors to determine the best estimate of the fair value of our Common B shares and common stock, including our stage of development; progress of our research and development efforts; the rights, preferences and privileges of our convertible preferred shares and preferred stock relative to those of our common shares and common stock; equity market conditions affecting comparable public companies and the lack of marketability of our common shares and common stock. Following our IPO, we established a policy of using the closing sale price per share of our common stock as quoted on The Nasdaq Global Market on the date of grant for purposes of determining the exercise price per share of our share-based awards to purchase common stock.

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, together with the changes in those items in dollars and as a percentage:

	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar Change	% Change
	2017	2016		
(dollars in thousands)				
Revenues:				
Grant	\$ 5,205	\$ 4,628	\$ 577	12%
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	\$ 22,815	\$ 18,143	\$ 4,672	26%
General and administrative	10,066	8,391	1,675	20%
Total operating expenses	32,881	26,534	6,347	24%
Loss from operations	(27,676)	(21,906)	(5,770)	26%
Interest income	482	244	238	98%
Other expense, net	(42)	(36)	(6)	17%
Net loss	\$ (27,236)	\$ (21,698)	\$ (5,538)	26%

Grant Revenues. Grant revenues increased by \$0.6 million, or 12%, to \$5.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 from \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase was primarily due to additional research and development costs associated with the clinical trials for pegzilarginase in cancer patients, for which we recognized grant revenue pursuant to the Grant Contract.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased by \$4.7 million, or 26%, to \$22.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 from \$18.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The change in research and development expenses was due to:

- Higher personnel-related expenses, which increased by \$3.2 million as a result of additional employee headcount to strengthen our management team and expand our internal regulatory, research laboratory, and clinical development capabilities;
- Higher manufacturing expenses, which increased by \$2.2 million as a result of process scale-up for pegzilarginase and additional manufacturing activities for pipeline development;
- Higher clinical development expenses, which increased by \$1.6 million as a result of advancing our Phase 1/2 clinical trial for pegzilarginase in patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, completing our Phase 1 dose escalation trial in patients with advanced solid tumors, preparing for three solid tumor single agent cohort expansions, and preparing for our Phase 1/2 combination trial in patients with small cell lung cancer; and
- Lower nonclinical expenses, which decreased by \$2.3 million as a result of completing toxicology studies in 2016, which supported the multi-dose clinical trials related to pegzilarginase for patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$1.7 million, or 20%, to \$10.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 from \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to an increase in employee compensation, consulting, and facility costs.

Interest Income. Interest income consists of interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities. The increase in interest income to \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2017 from \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 was primarily due to increasing yield rates and purchasing investments with greater maturity terms.

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2016 and 2015

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, together with the changes in those items in dollars and as a percentage:

	Year Ended December 31,		Dollar Change	% Change
	2016	2015		
	(dollars in thousands)			
Revenues:				
Grant	\$ 4,628	\$ 6,085	\$ (1,457)	-24%
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	\$ 18,143	\$ 11,453	\$ 6,690	58%
General and administrative	8,391	5,947	2,444	41%
Total operating expenses	26,534	17,400	9,134	52%
Loss from operations	(21,906)	(11,315)	(10,591)	94%
Interest income	244	22	222	*
Other expense, net	(36)	(2)	(34)	*
Net loss	\$ (21,698)	\$ (11,295)	\$ (10,403)	92%

* Percentage not meaningful

Grant Revenues. Grant revenues decreased by \$1.5 million, or 24%, to \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$6.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease was due to \$2.0 million in revenue for qualifying 2014 expenditures recognized in connection with the execution of the Grant Contract in June 2015. Upon execution of the Grant Contract, all accumulated qualified expenditures paid and incurred during the period from June 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015 were recognized as grant revenues in the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease was offset by an increase in research and development costs associated with the clinical trials for pegzilarginase in patients with advanced solid tumors and the hematological malignancies AML and MDS, for which we received grant revenue pursuant to the Grant Contract.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses increased by \$6.7 million, or 58%, to \$18.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$11.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Research and development expenses directly associated with our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase, increased to \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$7.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in research and development expenses was primarily due to:

- Higher nonclinical expenses, which increased by \$0.9 million as a result of additional toxicology studies and analysis costs in preparation for multi-dose clinical trials related to pegzilarginase and additional research with the University of Texas at Austin, or the University;
- Higher personnel-related expenses, which increased by \$2.8 million as a result of additional employee headcount to expand our internal regulatory and clinical development capabilities in support of the three separate clinical trials for pegzilarginase in patients with Arginase 1 Deficiency, advanced solid tumors, and the hematological malignancies AML and MDS; and
- Higher clinical development expenses, which increased by \$3.0 million primarily as a result of initiating our Phase 1 dose escalation trials for pegzilarginase in patients with advanced solid tumors in October 2015, Arginase 1 Deficiency in June 2016, and the hematological malignancies AML and MDS in July 2016.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.4 million, or 41%, to \$8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$5.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to an increase of \$0.8 million in employee compensation, recruiting, and travel expenses, \$0.8 million in professional services, audit and legal fees, and \$0.8 million in insurance and other administrative costs associated with being a public company.

Interest Income. Interest income consists of interest earned on our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities. The increase in interest income to \$244,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016 from \$22,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015 was primarily due to purchased cash equivalents and marketable securities in September 2015 and investment of funds received from our IPO in April 2016.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of liquidity

We are a clinical-stage biotechnology company with a limited operating history, and due to our significant research and development expenditures, we have generated operating losses since our inception and have not generated any revenue from the sale of any products. Since our inception and through December 31, 2017, we have funded our operations by raising an aggregate of \$122.8 million of gross proceeds from the sale and issuance of convertible preferred and common equity securities and collecting \$12.9 million in grant proceeds. Additionally, we entered into an agreement with a contract manufacturing organization, or CMO, in 2013 whereby we issued convertible preferred shares to the CMO in exchange for services performed, with the obligation fully satisfied in June 2015.

In April 2016, we completed our IPO and sold 5,481,940 shares of common stock for aggregate proceeds of \$47.3 million net of underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses.

In May 2017, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC for the offering, issuance and sale by us of up to \$150.0 million of our common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants to purchase common stock, preferred stock and debt securities, subscription rights to purchase common stock and units consisting of all or some of these securities.

In June 2017, we sold an aggregate of 3,000,000 shares of common stock in an underwritten public offering pursuant to the shelf registration statement for gross proceeds of \$12.3 million, resulting in net proceeds of \$11.4 million after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses.

In addition, common stock with an aggregate offering price of up to \$20.0 million may be issued and sold pursuant to an at-the-market sales agreement with JonesTrading Institutional Services LLC. As of December 31, 2017, no sales had been made under this at-the-market sales agreement and \$20.0 million of common stock remained available to be sold, subject to certain conditions as specified in the sales agreement.

In June 2015, we entered into the Grant Contract with CPRIT, under which CPRIT agreed to provide up to \$19.8 million in grant funding to fund our development of pegzilarginase. Through December 31, 2017, we have collected \$12.9 million in grant proceeds with \$6.9 million available for future collection under the grant contract. As of December 31, 2017, we have a grant receivable outstanding of \$3.1 million. For a detailed discussion of this grant, see "Business—Grant Agreement."

Our primary use of cash is to fund the development of our lead product candidate, pegzilarginase. This includes both the research and development costs and the general and administrative expenses required to support those operations. Since we are a clinical-stage biotechnology company, we have incurred significant operating losses since our inception and we anticipate such losses, in absolute dollar terms, to increase as we continue our clinical trials in pegzilarginase and expand our development efforts in our pipeline of nonclinical candidates.

As of December 31, 2017, we had available cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities of \$50.3 million. Under our current operating plan, we believe that we have sufficient resources to fund our operations through September 30, 2019 with our existing cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities.

Future funding requirements and operational plan

Our operational plan for the near future is to continue clinical trials for our lead product candidate pegzilarginase in two separate indications: Arginase 1 Deficiency and advanced solid tumors, and to expand development for at least one additional product candidate. As such, we plan to increase our research and development expenditures for the foreseeable future with nonclinical studies, clinical trials, manufacturing and an integrated biomarker strategy. We expect our principal expenditures during this time period to include expenses for the following:

- funding the continuing development of pegzilarginase;
- funding the advancement of additional product candidates; and
- funding working capital, including general operating expenses.

Due to our significant research and development expenditures, we have generated substantial losses in each period since inception. We have an accumulated deficit of \$72.5 million as of December 31, 2017. We anticipate that we will continue to generate losses into the foreseeable future as we develop our product candidates, seek regulatory approval of those candidates and begin to commercialize any approved products. Until such time as we can generate substantial product revenue, we expect to finance our cash needs through a combination of equity or debt financings, research grants, collaborations, or other sources. We currently have no debt, credit facility or additional committed capital. To the extent that we raise additional equity, the ownership interest of our stockholders will be diluted.

Based on our current plans, we expect that our existing cash, cash equivalent, and marketable securities will enable us to fund our operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements at least through September 30, 2019. We have based this estimate on assumptions that may prove to be incorrect, however, and we could deplete our capital resources sooner than we expect.

Cash flows

The following table summarizes our cash flows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Net cash and cash equivalents (used in) provided by:			
Operating activities	\$ (24,615)	\$ (18,840)	\$ (10,982)
Investing activities	(22,529)	(12,076)	(4,014)
Financing activities	12,213	49,370	41,674
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	<u>\$ (34,931)</u>	<u>\$ 18,454</u>	<u>\$ 26,678</u>

Cash used in operating activities

Cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$24.6 million and reflected a net loss of \$27.2 million. The cash impact of our net loss was offset by non-cash expenses of \$2.5 million for stock-based compensation and \$0.3 million for depreciation and amortization. The change in operating assets and liabilities of \$0.2

million was primarily due to an increase in accrued and other liabilities driven by additional research and development activities, offset by an increase in grant accounts receivable due to the timing of payments and additional qualifying costs paid prior to reimbursement.

Cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$18.8 million and reflected a net loss of \$21.7 million. The cash impact of our net loss was offset in part by non-cash expenses of \$1.2 million for stock-based compensation and \$0.2 million for depreciation and amortization. The change in operating assets and liabilities of \$1.5 million was primarily due to an increase in accrued and other liabilities driven by accrued research and development costs.

Cash used in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$11.0 million and reflected a net loss of \$11.3 million, offset in part by non-cash expenses of \$0.8 million for stock-based compensation and \$0.8 million for convertible preferred shares issued to a contract manufacturing organization in exchange for services performed. Cash used in operating activities also reflected an increase of \$1.7 million in grants accounts receivable from executing the Grant Contract in 2015 and \$0.6 million in prepaid expenses and other assets driven by prepaid research and development costs. The asset increases were offset, with cash provided by operating activities, by a \$1.1 million increase in accrued and other liabilities driven by additional accrued research and development costs, consulting, and legal accruals.

Cash used in investing activities

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$22.5 million and consisted of \$64.1 million in purchases of marketable securities and \$0.6 million in purchases of property and equipment primarily to develop an internal research laboratory, offset by \$42.2 million in maturities of marketable securities.

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$12.1 million and primarily consisted of \$20.4 million in purchases of marketable securities and \$0.2 million in purchases of property and equipment offset by \$8.4 million in maturities of marketable securities.

Cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$4.0 million and primarily consisted of \$0.2 million in purchases of property and equipment and \$3.8 million in purchases of marketable securities.

Cash provided by financing activities

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2017 was \$12.2 million, which consisted of \$12.3 million from the follow-on public offering, offset by \$0.6 million in underwriting discounts and commissions and \$0.3 million of offering costs, and \$0.8 million in proceeds received from stock option exercises and sale of common stock under our 2016 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$49.4 million, which consisted of \$54.8 million from the IPO in April 2016, offset by \$3.8 million in underwriting discounts and commissions and \$1.7 million in offering costs, and \$0.1 million in sale of common stock under our 2016 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$41.7 million resulting from \$44.0 million from the closing of the Series B financing in March 2015, offset by \$0.3 million in Series B issuance costs and \$2.0 million in offering costs related to our IPO.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2017 (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period			
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Operating leases	\$ 355	\$ 667	\$ —	\$ —
Sponsored research agreement	188	—	—	—
Total contractual obligations	\$ 543	\$ 667	\$ —	\$ —

In September 2016, we amended our operating lease agreement for office space in Austin, Texas. The amended lease increased the office space and extended the lease term through December 31, 2020. The total estimated rent payments over the remaining term of the lease as of December 31, 2017 is approximately \$875,000.

In October 2017, we entered into a separate lease agreement for laboratory space in Austin, Texas, which will expire on December 31, 2019. The total estimated rent payments over the full term of the lease is approximately \$147,000.

In October 2017, we amended our sponsored research agreement with the University. The scope and term under the agreement were extended through August 31, 2018 with a \$375,000 increase in the maximum expenditure limitation. The sponsored research agreement, as amended, expires on August 31, 2018 with no remaining payment obligations after such date.

Contingent contractual obligations

The terms of the Grant Contract require that we pay CPRIT tiered royalties in the low- to mid-single digit percentages on revenues from sales and license of products or services that are based upon, utilize, are developed from or materially incorporate the intellectual property resulting from the grant-funded activities for pegzilarginase. Such royalties reduce to less than one percent after a mid-single digit multiple of the grant funds have been repaid to CPRIT in royalties. Such royalties are payable for so long as we have marketing exclusivity or patents covering the applicable product or service (or twelve years from commercial sale of product or service in certain countries if there is no such exclusivity or patent protection).

On December 24, 2013, two of our wholly owned subsidiaries, AECCase, Inc., or AECCase, and AEMase, Inc., or AEMase, entered into license agreements with the University under which the University granted to AECCase and AEMase exclusive, worldwide, sublicenseable licenses. The University granted to AECCase a license under a patent application relating to the right to use technology related to our AEB3103 product candidate. The University granted to AEMase a license under a patent relating to the right to use technology related to our AEB2109 product candidate. On January 31, 2017, we entered into an Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement, or the Restated License, with the University which consolidated the two license agreements dated December 24, 2013, revised certain obligations, and licensed additional patent applications and invention disclosures to Aeglea. In December 2017, the Restated License was further amended to revise certain diligence milestones.

With respect to each product candidate covered by the Restated License, we could be required to pay the University up to \$6.4 million in milestone payments based on the achievement of certain development milestones, including clinical trials and regulatory approvals, the majority of which are due upon the achievement of later development milestones, including a \$5.0 million payment due on regulatory approval of a product and a \$500,000 payment payable on final regulatory approval of a product for a second indication. In addition, we are required to pay the University a low single digit royalty on worldwide-net sales of products covered under the Restated License, together with a revenue share on non-royalty consideration received from sublicensees. The rate of the revenue share ranges from 6.5% to 25% depending on the date the sublicense agreement is signed. The University may terminate the agreement under certain circumstances, including for a breach by us that is not cured within 30 or 60 days of notice (depending on the type of breach), or if we or any of our affiliates or sublicensees participate in any proceeding to challenge the licensed patent rights (unless, with respect to sublicensees, we terminate the applicable sublicense).

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

Through December 31, 2017, we do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined by applicable SEC regulations.

JOBS Act Accounting Election

We are an "emerging growth company," as defined in the JOBS Act. Under the JOBS Act, emerging growth companies can delay adopting new or revised accounting standards issued subsequent to the enactment of the JOBS Act until such time as those standards apply to private companies. We have irrevocably elected not to avail ourselves of this exemption from new or revised accounting standards, and, therefore, are subject to the same new or revised accounting standards as other public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers and has subsequently issued several supplemental and/or clarifying ASUs, which comprise the new comprehensive revenue recognition standard that will replace all current GAAP guidance on this topic and eliminate all industry-specific guidance. The standard's core principle is that a reporting entity will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. We have performed an assessment of our contracts with third parties to determine if any of them would fall under the scope of this guidance and determined that under the terms of our grant arrangement the contract should not be considered within the scope of ASU 2014-09.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, which establishes a comprehensive new lease accounting model. The new standard: (a) clarifies the definition of a lease; (b) requires a dual approach to lease classification similar to current lease classifications; and, (c) causes lessees to recognize leases on the balance sheet as a lease liability with a corresponding right-of-use asset for leases with a lease-term of more than twelve months. The new standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018 and requires modified retrospective application. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have on our consolidated financial statements, but expect the impact to be limited to the operating lease agreements for office and laboratory space in Austin, Texas.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, *Compensation (Topic 718)*, which provides clarity and reduces both the diversity in practice and cost and complexity when applying the guidance in Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation, to a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award. The amendments in this update provide guidance on which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting in Topic 718. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. We have adopted ASU 2017-09 and the adoption of the amendment did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements. The guidance in ASU 2017-09 will be used for stock option modifications on a prospective basis.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to market risks in the ordinary course of our business. Our primary exposure to market risk is interest rate sensitivity, which is affected by changes in the general level of U.S. interest rates, particularly because our investments are in marketable securities. Our marketable securities are subject to interest rate risk and could fall in value if market interest rates increase. However, we believe that our exposure to interest rate risk is not significant as the majority of our investments are short-term in duration and due to the low risk profile of our investments, a 10% change in interest rates would not have a material effect on the total market value of our investment portfolio. We have the ability to hold our marketable securities until maturity, and therefore we would not expect our operating results or cash flows to be affected to any significant degree by the effect of a change in market interest rates on our investments.

As of December 31, 2017, we held \$50.3 million in cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities, all of which was denominated in U.S. dollar assets, and consisting primarily of investments in reverse repurchase agreements and U.S treasury and government securities.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC.
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Audited Consolidated Financial Statements	
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	79
Consolidated Balance Sheets	80
Consolidated Statements of Operations	81
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss	82
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Shares/Stock and Members'/Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	83
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	85
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	86

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.

Opinion on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive loss, changes in convertible preferred shares/stock and members'/stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, including the related notes (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits of these consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. As part of our audits we are required to obtain an understanding of internal control over financial reporting but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Austin, Texas
March 13, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2014.

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,817	\$ 47,748
Marketable securities	37,482	15,754
Accounts receivable - grant	3,078	1,215
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,614	1,707
Total current assets	54,991	66,424
Property and equipment, net	854	599
Other non-current assets	232	40
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 56,077	\$ 67,063
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	\$ 389	\$ 168
Deferred revenue	20	71
Accrued and other current liabilities	5,220	3,726
Total current liabilities	5,629	3,965
Other non-current liabilities	111	132
TOTAL LIABILITIES	5,740	4,097
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14 and 16)		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized as of December 31, 2017 and 2016; no shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2017 and 2016	—	—
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, 16,670,188 shares and 13,430,833 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively	2	1
Additional paid-in capital	122,950	108,246
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(102)	(4)
Accumulated deficit	(72,513)	(45,277)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	50,337	62,966
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 56,077	\$ 67,063

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Revenues:			
Grant	\$ 5,205	\$ 4,628	\$ 6,085
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	22,815	18,143	11,453
General and administrative	10,066	8,391	5,947
Total operating expenses	<u>32,881</u>	<u>26,534</u>	<u>17,400</u>
Loss from operations	(27,676)	(21,906)	(11,315)
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	482	244	22
Other expense, net	(42)	(36)	(2)
Total other income	<u>440</u>	<u>208</u>	<u>20</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (27,236)</u>	<u>\$ (21,698)</u>	<u>\$ (11,295)</u>
Deemed dividend to convertible preferred stockholders	—	—	(228)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	<u>\$ (27,236)</u>	<u>\$ (21,698)</u>	<u>\$ (11,523)</u>
Net loss per share, basic and diluted	\$ (1.80)	\$ (2.22)	\$ (19.21)
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (27,236)	\$ (21,698)	\$ (11,523)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	15,128,192	9,791,728	599,788

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Net loss	\$ (27,236)	\$ (21,698)	\$ (11,295)
Other comprehensive loss:			
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	(98)	(3)	(1)
Total comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (27,334)</u>	<u>\$ (21,701)</u>	<u>\$ (11,296)</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Shares/Stock and Members'/Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

(In thousands)

	Series A Convertible Preferred Shares		Series A Convertible Preferred Stock		Series B Convertible Preferred Stock		Common A-1 Shares		Common A Shares		Common B Shares		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Members'/Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balances—																		
December 31, 2014	2,173	\$ 13,345	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	165	\$ 277	335	\$ 387	355	\$ 147	—	\$ —	—	\$ (12,284)	—	\$ (11,473)
Forfeiture of Common B shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Share-based compensation prior to conversion from an LLC to corporation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	20	—	—	—	—	—	20
Conversion from LLC to corporation	(2,173)	(13,345)	2,173	13,345	—	—	(165)	(277)	(335)	(387)	(354)	(167)	753	831	—	—	—	—
Deemed dividend to Series A convertible preferred stockholders upon conversion from an LLC to corporation	—	—	—	228	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(228)	—	—	—	(228)
Issuance of Series B convertible preferred stock for cash, net of \$321 in issuance costs	—	—	—	—	4,930	43,679	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Issuance of Series B convertible preferred stock for research and development services	—	—	—	—	70	1,059	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Stock-based compensation after conversion from an LLC to corporation	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	747	—	—	—	747
Issuance of common stock upon exercise of stock options	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4	—	23	—	—	—	23
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1)	(1)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(11,295)	—	(11,295)
Balances—																		
December 31, 2015	—	\$ —	2,173	\$ 13,573	5,000	\$ 44,738	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	757	\$ —	\$ 1,373	\$ (23,579)	\$ (1)	\$ (22,207)
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock upon initial public offering	—	—	(2,173)	(13,573)	(5,000)	(44,738)	—	—	—	—	—	7,173	1	58,310	—	—	—	58,311
Issuance of common stock in connection with initial public offering, net of offering costs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5,482	—	47,266	—	—	—	47,266
Issuance of common stock in connection with employee stock purchase plan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19	—	76	—	—	—	76
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,221	—	—	—	1,221
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3)	(3)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(21,698)	—	(21,698)
Balances—																		
December 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	13,431	\$ 1	\$ 108,246	\$ (45,277)	\$ (4)	\$ 62,966

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Convertible Preferred Shares/Stock and Members'/Stockholders' Equity (Deficit) (continued)

(In thousands)

	Series A Convertible Preferred Shares		Series A Convertible Preferred Stock		Series B Convertible Preferred Stock		Common A-1 Shares		Common A Shares		Common B Shares		Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Members'/Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balances—																		
December 31, 2016	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	13,431	\$ 1	\$ 108,246	\$ (45,277)	\$ (4)	\$ 62,966
Issuance of common stock in connection with employee stock purchase plan	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	39	—	131	—	—	131
Issuance of common stock in connection with exercise of stock options	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	200	—	702	—	—	702
Issuance of common stock in connection with follow-on offering, net of offering costs	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,000	1	11,379	—	—	11,380
Stock-based compensation expense	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,492	—	—	2,492
Unrealized loss on marketable securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(96)	(96)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(27,236)	(27,236)
Balances—																		
December 31, 2017	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	—	\$ —	16,670	\$ 2	\$ 122,950	\$ (72,513)	\$ (102)	\$ 50,337

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net loss	\$ (27,236)	\$ (21,698)	\$ (11,295)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	249	132	89
Purchase discount (premium) on marketable securities	9	(146)	(5)
Amortization of premium on marketable securities	75	101	2
Share/stock-based compensation	2,492	1,221	767
Research and development services settled with convertible preferred stock	15	110	812
Other, net	(21)	(10)	(19)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable - grant	(1,863)	482	(1,697)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(114)	(924)	(586)
Accounts payable	164	(8)	(169)
Deferred revenue	(51)	71	—
Accrued and other liabilities	1,666	1,829	1,119
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(24,615)</u>	<u>(18,840)</u>	<u>(10,982)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Purchases of property and equipment	(619)	(212)	(208)
Purchases of marketable securities	(64,115)	(20,390)	(3,766)
Proceeds from maturities of marketable securities	42,205	8,446	—
Decrease (increase) in restricted cash	—	80	(40)
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(22,529)</u>	<u>(12,076)</u>	<u>(4,014)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from issuance of convertible preferred stock, net of offering costs	—	—	43,679
Proceeds from issuance of common stock in public offering, net of (payments of) offering costs	11,380	49,294	(2,028)
Proceeds from employee stock plan purchases and stock option exercises	833	76	23
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>12,213</u>	<u>49,370</u>	<u>41,674</u>
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	<u>(34,931)</u>	<u>18,454</u>	<u>26,678</u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS			
Beginning of period	47,748	29,294	2,616
End of period	<u>\$ 12,817</u>	<u>\$ 47,748</u>	<u>\$ 29,294</u>
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing and Financing Information:			
Unpaid amounts related to purchase of property and equipment	\$ 57	\$ 172	\$ —
Deemed dividend to Series A convertible preferred stockholders upon conversion from an LLC to corporation	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 228
Convertible preferred stock issued for research and development services to be performed	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 232
Conversion of Series A convertible preferred stock to common stock upon initial public offering	\$ —	\$ 13,573	\$ —
Conversion of Series B convertible preferred stock to common stock upon initial public offering	\$ —	\$ 44,738	\$ —

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. The Company and Basis of Presentation

Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. (“Aeglea” or the “Company”) is a clinical-stage biotechnology company committed to developing enzyme-based therapeutics in the field of amino acid metabolism to treat rare genetic diseases and cancer. The Company was formed as a Limited Liability Company (LLC) in Delaware on December 16, 2013 under the name Aeglea BioTherapeutics Holdings, LLC (“Aeglea LLC”) and was converted from a Delaware LLC to a Delaware corporation (the “LLC Conversion”) on March 10, 2015. The LLC Conversion was effective January 1, 2015 for tax purposes and as such, the Company filed a consolidated tax return for the full year ended December 31, 2015. The Company operates in one segment and has its principal offices in Austin, Texas.

Stock Offerings

Initial Public Offering

On April 12, 2016, the Company closed an initial public offering (“IPO”) of its common stock, which resulted in the sale of 5,481,940 shares of its common stock at a public offering price of \$10.00 per share, including 481,940 shares of common stock issued upon the partial exercise by the underwriters of their option to purchase additional shares. The Company received \$47.3 million in aggregate cash proceeds, net of underwriting discounts and commissions of \$3.8 million and offering costs of \$3.7 million incurred by the Company.

Follow-on Public Offering

In June 2017, the Company issued and sold 3,000,000 shares of common stock in an underwritten public offering pursuant to a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 at a public offering price of \$4.10 per share. The net proceeds to the Company from this public offering was approximately \$11.4 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions of \$615,000 and offering costs of \$306,000.

Liquidity

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had working capital of \$49.4 million, an accumulated deficit of \$72.5 million, and cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities of \$50.3 million. The Company has not generated any product revenues and has not achieved profitable operations. There is no assurance that profitable operations will ever be achieved, and, if achieved, could be sustained on a continuing basis. In addition, development activities, clinical and nonclinical testing, and commercialization of the Company’s products will require significant additional financing.

The Company is subject to a number of risks similar to other life science companies, including, but not limited to, risks related to the successful discovery and development of product candidates, raising additional capital, development of competing drugs and therapies, protection of proprietary technology and market acceptance of the Company’s products. As a result of these and other factors and the related uncertainties, there can be no assurance of the Company’s future success.

Based upon the Company’s current operating plan, the Company believes that it has sufficient resources to fund operations through September 30, 2019 with its existing cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities. The Company will need to secure additional funding in the future, in order to carry out all of its planned research and development activities. If the Company is unable to obtain additional financing or generate license or product revenue, the lack of liquidity could have a material adverse effect on the Company’s future prospects.

Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (“U.S. GAAP”) as defined by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Such management estimates include those related to accruals of research and development related costs, fair values of preferred and common shares and preferred and common stock, share/stock-based compensation, and certain company income tax related items. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other market-specific and relevant assumptions that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Prior to becoming a public company, the Company utilized significant estimates and assumptions in determining the fair value of its common shares and common stock. The board of directors determined the estimated fair value of common shares and common stock based on a number of objective and subjective factors, including external market conditions affecting the biotechnology industry sector, the price at which the Company sold shares of convertible preferred shares, the superior rights and preferences of securities senior to the Company's common shares and common stock, and the marketability at the time. The Company utilized valuation methodologies in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Practice Guide, *Audit and Accounting Practice Aid Series: Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Securities Issued as Compensation*, to estimate the fair value of common shares and common stock (see Notes 6, 9, and 11).

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of money market funds and debt securities and are stated at fair value.

Marketable Securities

All investments have been classified as available-for-sale and are carried at estimated fair value as determined based upon quoted market prices or pricing models for similar securities. Management determines the appropriate classification of its investments in debt securities at the time of purchase. The Company may or may not hold securities with stated maturities greater than one year until maturity. All available-for-sale securities are considered available to support current operations and are classified as current assets.

Unrealized gains and losses are excluded from earnings and are reported as a component of accumulated comprehensive loss. Realized gains and losses and declines in fair value judged to be other than temporary, if any, on available-for-sale securities are included in other income (expense). The cost of securities sold is based on the specific-identification method. There were no realized gains or losses on marketable securities for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015. Interest on marketable securities is included in interest income.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to a concentration of credit risk consist of cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities. The Company's investment policy limits investments to high credit quality securities issued by the U.S. government, U.S. government-sponsored agencies and highly rated banks, subject to certain concentration limits and restrictions on maturities. The Company's cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities are held by financial institutions in the United States that management believes are of high credit quality. Amounts on deposit may at times exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on its deposits of cash and cash equivalents and its accounts are monitored by management to mitigate risk. The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of default by the financial institutions holding its cash and cash equivalents and bond issuers.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Repairs and maintenance that do not extend the life or improve an asset are expensed as incurred. Upon retirement or sale, the cost of disposed assets and their related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the balance sheet. Any gain or loss is credited or charged to operations.

The useful lives of the property and equipment are as follows:

Laboratory equipment	5 years
Furniture and office equipment	5 years
Computer equipment	3 years
Software	3 years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of remaining lease term or estimated useful life

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for indications of possible impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability is measured by comparison of the carrying amounts to the future undiscounted cash flows attributable to these assets. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent an asset group is not recoverable, and the carrying amount exceeds the projected discounted future cash flows arising from these assets. There were no impairments of long-lived assets for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

Accrued Research and Development Costs

The Company records the costs associated with research nonclinical studies, clinical trials, and manufacturing development as incurred. These costs are a significant component of the Company's research and development expenses, with a substantial portion of the Company's ongoing research and development activities conducted by third-party service providers, including contract research and manufacturing organizations.

The Company accrues for expenses resulting from obligations under agreements with contract research organizations ("CROs"), contract manufacturing organizations ("CMOs"), and other outside service providers for which payment flows do not match the periods over which materials or services are provided to the Company. Accruals are recorded based on estimates of services received and efforts expended pursuant to agreements established with CROs, CMOs, and other outside service providers. These estimates are typically based on contracted amounts applied to the proportion of work performed and determined through analysis with internal personnel and external service providers as to the progress or stage of completion of the services. The Company makes significant judgments and estimates in determining the accrual balance in each reporting period. In the event advance payments are made to a CRO, CMO, or outside service provider, the payments will be recorded as a prepaid asset which will be amortized as the contracted services are performed. As actual costs become known, the Company adjusts its accruals. Inputs, such as the services performed, the number of patients enrolled, or the study duration, may vary from the Company's estimates, resulting in adjustments to research and development expense in future periods. Changes in these estimates that result in material changes to the Company's accruals could materially affect the Company's results of operations. The Company has not experienced any material deviations between accrued and actual research and development expenses.

Leases

The Company entered into lease agreements for its office and laboratory facilities. The leases are classified as operating leases. The Company records rent expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the leases and, accordingly records the difference between cash rent payments and the recognition of rent expense as a deferred rent liability. Incentives granted under the Company's facilities leases, including allowances to fund leasehold improvements, are deferred and are recognized as adjustments to rental expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company uses fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain financial and non-financial assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. The accounting standards define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value, and require disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, the principal or most advantageous market in which the Company would transact are considered along with assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions, and risk of nonperformance.

The accounting standard for fair value establishes a fair value hierarchy based on three levels of inputs, the first two of which are considered observable and the last unobservable, that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value are as follows:

Level 1:Observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2:Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3:Valuations based on unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology and including data about assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability based on the best information available under the circumstances.

Financial instruments carried at fair value include cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities. The carrying amount of accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate fair value due to their relatively short maturities.

Revenue Recognition

The Company's sole source of revenue is grant revenue related to a \$19.8 million research grant received from the Cancer Prevention and Research Institute of Texas ("CPRIT"), covering a four-year period from June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2018. Grant revenue is recognized when qualifying costs are incurred and there is reasonable assurance that the conditions of the award have been met for collection. Proceeds received prior to the costs being incurred or the conditions of the award being met are recognized as deferred revenue until the services are performed and the conditions of the award are met (see Note 8).

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Research and development costs include, but are not limited to, salaries, benefits, travel, share/stock-based compensation, consulting costs, contract research service costs, laboratory supplies and facilities, contract manufacturing costs, and costs paid to other third parties that conduct research and development activities on the Company's behalf. Amounts incurred in connection with license agreements are also included in research and development expense.

Certain research and development costs incurred were settled contractually by the Company issuing a variable number of the Company's shares determined by dividing the fixed monetary amount of costs incurred by the issuance-date fair value of the issuable shares. The Company recorded research and development expense for these costs and accrued for the fixed monetary amount as an accrued liability as the services were rendered until the amount was settled. In June 2015, the remaining Company obligation to settle these costs with Company shares was converted to a cash-based payment through a contract amendment with the service provider.

Advance payments for goods or services to be rendered in the future for use in research and development activities are recorded as a prepaid asset and expensed as the related goods are delivered or the services are performed.

Share/Stock-Based Compensation

The Company recognizes the cost of share/stock-based awards granted to employees based on the estimated grant-date fair values of the awards. The value of the award is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Forfeitures are recognized when they occur, which may result in the reversal of compensation costs in subsequent periods as the forfeitures arise. The Company recognizes the cost of share/stock-based awards granted to nonemployees at their then-current fair values as services are performed, and are remeasured through the counterparty performance date.

Income Taxes

Effective January 1, 2015, the Company, for tax purposes, converted from a partnership to a corporation and continues to serve as a holding company for seven wholly-owned subsidiary corporations. Beginning with the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company filed a consolidated corporate federal income tax return. The Company and its subsidiaries use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statements and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. Additionally, any changes in income tax laws are immediately recognized in the year of enactment. On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the "2017 Tax Act") was signed into law. Further information on the tax impacts of the Tax Reform Act is included in Note 12.

A valuation allowance is established against the deferred tax assets to reduce their carrying value to an amount that is more likely than not to be realized. The deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as noncurrent along with the related valuation allowance. Due to a lack of earnings history, the net deferred tax assets have been fully offset by a valuation allowance.

The Company recognizes benefits of uncertain tax positions if it is more likely than not that such positions will be sustained upon examination based solely on the technical merits, as the largest amount of benefits that is more likely than not to be realized upon the ultimate settlement. The Company's policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to the unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

Comprehensive Loss

Comprehensive loss is the change in stockholders' equity from transactions and other events and circumstances other than those resulting from investments by stockholders and distributions to stockholders. The Company's other comprehensive income (loss) is currently comprised of changes in unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities.

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period amounts to conform to current period presentation. These reclassifications did not have an impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers and has subsequently issued several supplemental and/or clarifying ASUs, which comprise the new comprehensive revenue recognition standard that will replace all current GAAP guidance on this topic and eliminate all industry-specific guidance. The standard's core principle is that a reporting entity will recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The Company has performed an assessment of its contracts with third parties to determine if any of them would fall under the scope of this guidance and determined that under the terms of the grant arrangement the contract should not be considered within the scope of ASU 2014-09.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*, which establishes a comprehensive new lease accounting model. The new standard: (a) clarifies the definition of a lease; (b) requires a dual approach to lease classification similar to current lease classifications; and, (c) causes lessees to recognize leases on the balance sheet as a lease liability with a corresponding right-of-use asset for leases with a lease-term of more than twelve months. The new standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018 and requires modified retrospective application. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have on its consolidated financial statements, but expect the impact to be limited to the operating lease agreements for the office and laboratory spaces in Austin, Texas.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, *Compensation (Topic 718)*, which provides clarity and reduces both the diversity in practice and cost and complexity when applying the guidance in Topic 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation, to a change to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award. The amendments in this update provide guidance on which changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award require an entity to apply modification accounting in Topic 718. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. We have adopted ASU 2017-09 and the adoption of the amendment did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements. The guidance in ASU 2017-09 will be used for stock option modifications on a prospective basis.

3. Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities

The following tables summarize the estimated fair value of our cash equivalents and marketable securities and the gross unrealized gains and losses (in thousands):

	December 31, 2017			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	\$ 1,674	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,674
Reverse repurchase agreements	7,250	—	—	7,250
Total cash equivalents	8,924	—	—	8,924
Marketable securities:				
U.S. treasury securities	1,502	—	(1)	1,501
U.S. government securities	36,082	—	(101)	35,981
Total marketable securities	\$ 37,584	\$ —	\$ (102)	\$ 37,482

	December 31, 2016			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Estimated Fair Value
Cash equivalents:				
Money market funds	\$ 4,584	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,584
Reverse repurchase agreements	39,250	—	—	39,250
Total cash equivalents	43,834	—	—	43,834
Marketable securities:				
U.S. government securities	15,758	—	(4)	15,754
Total marketable securities	\$ 15,758	\$ —	\$ (4)	\$ 15,754

The reverse repurchase agreements are settled in cash nightly, and as such are classified as cash equivalents.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, all debt securities with an unrealized loss position have been in a loss position for less than one year. The aggregate fair value of debt securities in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2017 and 2016 were \$37.5 million and \$15.8 million, respectively, with no individual securities in a significant unrealized loss position. The Company evaluated its securities for other-than-temporary impairment and considered the decline in market value for the securities to be primarily attributable to current economic and market conditions and would not be required to sell the securities before recovery of the amortized cost basis. Based on this analysis, these marketable securities were not considered to be other-than-temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2017 and 2016.

The following table summarizes the contractual maturities of the Company's marketable securities at estimated fair value (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Due in one year or less	\$ 34,498	\$ 15,754
Due in 1 - 2 years	2,984	—
Total marketable securities	\$ 37,482	\$ 15,754

The Company may sell investments at any time for use in current operations even if they have not yet reached maturity. As a result, the Company classifies marketable securities, including securities with maturities beyond twelve months as current assets.

4. Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment, net consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Laboratory equipment	\$ 651	\$ 221
Furniture and office equipment	209	202
Computer equipment	111	102
Software	99	44
Leasehold improvements	271	270
Property and equipment, gross	1,341	839
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(487)	(240)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 854	\$ 599

Depreciation and amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015 was \$249,000, \$132,000, and \$89,000, respectively. All of the Company's long-lived assets are located in the United States.

5. Accrued and Other Current Liabilities

Accrued and other current liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Accrued compensation	\$ 1,837	\$ 1,270
Accrued contracted research and development costs	2,552	1,749
Accrued professional and consulting fees	672	480
Accrued and other current liabilities	159	227
Total accrued and other current liabilities	\$ 5,220	\$ 3,726

6. Convertible Preferred Stock

On March 10, 2015, the Company converted from a Delaware limited liability company into a Delaware corporation and changed the Company's name from Aeglea BioTherapeutics Holdings, LLC to Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. In connection with the LLC Conversion, all of the Company's outstanding common shares and convertible preferred shares were converted into shares of common stock and convertible preferred stock. No distributions were declared or paid by the Company prior to the LLC Conversion. Further, the outstanding Common B share awards were converted into a combination of vested and unvested restricted common stock and vested and unvested stock options with no changes to the vesting provisions (see Note 9). Upon the LLC Conversion, each then-outstanding Series A convertible preferred share was converted into one share of Series A convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. The Company determined that the LLC Conversion resulted in a deemed dividend from stockholders of common stock to stockholders of Series A convertible preferred stock of \$0.11 per share of Series A convertible preferred stock. The Company recorded \$228,000 as an increase in the carrying amount of the Series A convertible preferred stock and as a reduction of additional paid-in capital. Such dividend was determined by comparing the fair value of the Series A convertible preferred shares immediately prior to the conversion to the fair value of the Series A convertible preferred stock issued in the conversion.

Also on March 10, 2015, the Company issued 4,929,948 shares of Series B convertible preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, at an issuance price equal to \$8.93 per share and received gross proceeds of \$44.0 million. In connection with the financing, the Company incurred total offering costs of \$321,000.

On April 12, 2016, immediately prior to the closing of the IPO, all of the Company's outstanding convertible preferred stock was automatically converted, at a ratio of one share of common stock for each share of convertible preferred stock, into an aggregate total of 7,172,496 shares of common stock with the related carrying value of \$58.3 million reclassified to common stock and additional paid-in capital. As of December 31, 2017, there were no shares of preferred stock outstanding.

7. Common Stock

In connection with the IPO, the Company's Board of Directors and stockholders approved a 1-for-10.5 reverse stock split of the Company's common stock and preferred stock. The reverse stock split became effective on March 28, 2016. All share and per share amounts in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto have been retroactively adjusted for all periods presented to give effect to this reverse stock split, including reclassifying an amount equal to the reduction in par value of common stock to additional paid-in capital.

On April 12, 2016, the Company amended its Restated Certificate of Incorporation to change the authorized capital stock to 510,000,000 shares of which 500,000,000 shares are designated as common stock and 10,000,000 shares are designated as preferred stock, all with a par value of \$0.0001 per share. Each holder of common stock is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held. The Company's common stock is not entitled to preemptive rights, and is not subject to conversion, redemption or sinking fund provisions. Subject to preferences that may apply to any shares of preferred stock outstanding at the time, the holders of common stock are entitled to receive dividends out of funds legally available if the board of directors, in its discretion, determines to issue dividends and then only at the times and in the amounts that the board of directors may determine. As of December 31, 2017, no common stock dividends have been declared by the board of directors.

The Company's former LLC Agreement authorized Aeglea LLC to issue three classes of common shares, each with no par value: Common A-1 shares, Common A shares, and Common B shares. Upon the LLC Conversion, each outstanding Common A-1 and Common A share was automatically converted into one share of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. See Note 9 regarding the conversion of outstanding Common B shares.

8. Grant Revenues

In June 2015, the Company entered into a Cancer Research Grant Contract ("Grant Contract") with CPRIT, under which CPRIT awarded a grant not to exceed \$19.8 million for use in developing cancer treatments by exploiting the metabolism of cancer cells. The Grant Contract covers a four-year period from June 1, 2014 through May 31, 2018.

Upon commercialization of the product, the terms of the Grant Contract require the Company to pay tiered royalties in the low to mid-single digit percentages. Such royalties reduce to less than one percent after a mid-single-digit multiple of the grant funds have been paid to CPRIT as royalties.

The agreement includes reimbursement for qualified expenditures incurred and recognized in 2014. Upon execution of the Grant Contract, grant revenue was recognized for the accumulated qualified expenditures paid and recognized in the period from June 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015.

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015 the Company recognized \$5.2 million, \$4.6 million, and \$6.1 million, respectively, in grant revenues for qualified expenditures under the grant. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had an outstanding grant receivable of \$3.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, for the grant expenditures that were paid but had not been reimbursed and deferred revenue of \$20,000 and \$71,000, respectively, for proceeds received but for which the costs had not been incurred or the conditions of the award had not been met.

9. Share/Stock-Based Compensation

2013 Equity Incentive Plan

In 2013, the Company adopted the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan ("2013 Plan"). The 2013 Plan provides incentives to employees, consultants and non-employee directors of the Company by providing incentive awards of Common B shares or any other class of equity authorized by the Company and designated by the Board of Directors as incentive equity. The Company classified the incentive awards as equity-classified grants of unvested stock within the scope of ASC 718.

The Common B shares were issued upon grant date and held in escrow in the grantee's name, subject to vesting requirements. Unvested shares could participate in any distributions allocated to the Common B shares and would remain in the custody of the Company until vesting occurred, at which time the funds would be released and voting rights commenced.

Modification of Common B Share Awards

As discussed in Note 6, in connection with the LLC Conversion on March 10, 2015, the 355,156 Common B share awards granted, less forfeitures of 1,474 shares, were converted into a combination of 253,232 vested and unvested

shares of restricted common stock and 100,446 vested and unvested options to purchase common stock (collectively the "Replacement Awards") with no changes to the vesting provisions. The conversion ratio for each award was dependent upon the issuance date of the relevant shares with the modification affecting seven employees.

In accordance with ASC 718, the Company determined the fair value of the Common B share awards held by employees and nonemployees immediately before the Replacement Awards were issued and compared that amount to the then fair value of the Replacement Awards. Given there was no incremental fair value in connection with the issuance of the Replacement Awards, the Company continues to recognize the compensation expense originally estimated for the Common B shares at the date of grant. The original Common B share values were allocated to stock options and restricted stock awards based on proportionate conversion date fair values.

Upon the LLC Conversion, the Company terminated the 2013 Plan and adopted the 2015 Equity Incentive Plan ("2015 Plan"). All Common B shares issued under the 2013 Plan were replaced with stock options and restricted stock.

2015 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2015 Plan, administered by the Board of Directors, provides for the Company to sell or issue common stock or restricted common stock, or to grant incentive stock options or nonqualified stock options for the purchase of common stock, to employees, members of the Board of Directors and consultants of the Company. Under the terms of the 2015 Plan, the exercise prices, vesting and other restrictions may be determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors, or their committee if so delegated, except that the exercise price per share of stock options may not be less than 100% of the fair market value of the share of common stock on the date of grant, the term of stock options may not be greater than ten years for all grants, and for grantees holding more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock, the term may not be greater than five years.

The Company granted options under the 2015 Plan until April 2016 when it was terminated as to future awards, although it continues to govern the terms of options that remain outstanding under the 2015 Plan.

As of December 31, 2017, a total of 348,965 shares of common stock are subject to options outstanding under the 2015 Plan and will become available under the 2016 Equity Incentive Plan ("2016 Plan") to the extent the options are forfeited or lapse unexercised. Additionally, there are 33,307 shares of unvested restricted stock outstanding as of December 31, 2017.

2016 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2016 Plan became effective in April 2016 and serves as the successor to the 2015 Plan. Under the 2016 Plan, the Company may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance awards, and stock bonuses. The 2016 Plan provides for an initial reserve of 1,100,000 shares of common stock, plus 509,869 shares of common stock remaining under the 2015 Plan, and any share awards that subsequently are forfeited or lapse unexercised under the 2015 Plan. The shares reserved exclude shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2015 Plan.

As of December 31, 2017, the total number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2016 Plan was 2,311,802, of which 2,012,395 shares were subject to outstanding option awards.

The 2016 Plan provides for an annual increase in the number of shares available for issuance thereunder, to be added on the first day of each fiscal year, beginning on January 1, 2017 and continuing through 2023, up to 4% of the outstanding number of shares of the Company's common stock on the December 31 immediately prior to the date of increase, provided that an increase is only effective if the Company's board of directors either confirmed the increase or approved the increase of a lesser number of shares prior to January 1 of each relevant year. As a result of the operation of this provision, on January 1, 2018 and January 1, 2017, an additional 666,807 and 537,233 shares, respectively, became available for issuance under the 2016 Plan.

The Company generally grants stock-based awards with service conditions only ("service-based" awards). Awards granted under the 2016 Plan and 2015 Plan generally vest over four years and expire after ten years, although awards have been granted with vesting terms less than four years.

2016 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The 2016 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("2016 ESPP") became effective in April 2016. A total of 165,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the 2016 ESPP. Eligible employees may purchase shares of common stock under the 2016 ESPP at 85% of the lower of the fair market value of the Company's common stock as of the first or the last day of each offering period. Employees are limited to contributing 15% of the employee's eligible compensation, and may not purchase more than \$25,000 of stock during any calendar year or more than 2,000 shares during any one purchase period or a lesser amount determined by the board of directors. The 2016 ESPP will terminate ten years from the first purchase date under the plan, unless terminated earlier by the board of directors. As of December 31, 2017, the Company issued and sold 58,235 shares to employees participating in the 2016 ESPP, with 106,765 shares remaining and available for future issuance.

The following table summarizes employee and nonemployee stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2017:

	Shares Issuable Under Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Outstanding as of December 31, 2016	1,146,935	\$ 5.64	8.64	\$ 440
Granted	1,971,300	5.22		
Exercised	(200,600)	3.50		
Forfeited	(556,275)	6.76		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2017	<u>2,361,360</u>	\$ 5.21	8.72	\$ 2,482
Options vested and expected to vest as of December 31, 2017	<u>2,351,832</u>	\$ 5.22	8.72	\$ 2,464
Options exercisable as of December 31, 2017	<u>565,920</u>	\$ 6.47	6.80	\$ 318

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding, exercisable, vested and expected to vest were calculated as the difference between the exercise price of the options and the fair value of the Company's common stock as of the reporting date.

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, the weighted-average grant date fair value of non-replacement award options granted was \$5.22, \$7.04, and \$3.48, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the year ended December 31, 2017 and 2015 was \$319,000 and \$25,000, respectively. There were no option exercises during the year ended December 31, 2016.

There were no stock options issued to or vested for non-employees during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company issued 25,387 stock options to non-employees with 11,279 options vested in the period.

Restricted Common Stock

As part of the LLC Conversion, the Company granted restricted common stock with time-based and performance-based vesting conditions. Unvested shares of restricted common stock may not be sold or transferred by the holder. These restrictions lapse according to the time-based vesting conditions of each award.

The Company issued 253,232 restricted stock awards ("RSAs") during the year ended December 31, 2015 and all are Replacement Awards from the conversion of the Common B share awards as discussed above. The Company allocated the fair value from the Common B shares to the restricted stock at the then-applicable conversion date fair value.

The following table summarizes employee and nonemployee restricted stock activity for the year ended December 31, 2017:

	Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Unvested restricted common stock as of December 31, 2016	75,932	\$ 1.96
Granted	—	—
Vested	(42,206)	1.86
Forfeited	(419)	1.72
Unvested restricted common stock as of December 31, 2017	<u>33,307</u>	<u>\$ 1.84</u>

The fair value of RSAs that vested during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015 was \$219,000, \$258,000, and \$933,000, respectively.

There were no RSAs granted to non-employees during the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016. The Company issued 61,096 RSAs to non-employees during the year ended December 31, 2015 (and as part of the LLC Conversion) with 32,588 RSAs vesting in the period.

Share/Stock-Based Compensation Expense

Total share/stock-based compensation expense recognized from the Company's equity incentive plans and the 2016 ESPP for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015 was as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2017		2016		2015	
	Employees	Non-Employees	Employees	Non-Employees	Employees	Non-Employees
Research and development	\$ 961	\$ —	\$ 389	\$ —	\$ 101	\$ 340
General and administrative	1,531	—	832	—	326	—
Total share/stock-based compensation expense	<u>\$ 2,492</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,221</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 427</u>	<u>\$ 340</u>

No related tax benefits were recognized for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

The non-employee awards contain both performance and service-based vesting conditions. No expense was recognized for the unvested non-employee awards with only a performance condition for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015. The performance-based vesting conditions represent counterparty performance conditions. Share/stock-based compensation expense is recognized if the performance condition is considered probable of achievement using management's best estimates. The lowest potential aggregate fair values of the unvested awards were \$0 as of and for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015.

As of December 31, 2017, the Company had an aggregate of \$5.5 million and \$11,000 of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense for options and RSAs outstanding, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.5 years and 0.6 years, respectively.

In determining the fair value of the non-Replacement Award stock-based awards, the Company uses the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and assumptions discussed below. Each of these inputs is subjective and generally requires significant judgment to determine.

Expected Term

The Company's expected term represents the period that the Company's stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding and is determined using the simplified method (based on the mid-point between the vesting date and the end of the contractual term). The Company utilizes this method due to lack of historical exercise data and the plain-vanilla nature of the Company's stock-based awards.

Expected Volatility

Since the Company was privately held through April 2016, it alone does not have the relevant company-specific historical data to support its expected volatility. As such, the Company has used an average of expected volatilities based on the volatilities of a representative group of publicly traded biopharmaceutical companies over a period equal to the expected term of the stock option grants. Subsequent to the IPO, the Company began to consider the Company's own historic volatility. However, due to its limited history as a public company, the Company will still use peer company data to assist in this analysis. For purposes of identifying comparable companies, the Company selected companies with comparable characteristics to it, including enterprise value, risk profiles, position within the industry, and with historical share price information sufficient to meet the expected life of the stock-based awards. The historical volatility data was computed using the daily closing prices for the selected companies' shares during the equivalent period of the calculated expected term of the stock-based awards. The Company intends to consistently apply this process using the same similar entities until a sufficient amount of historical information regarding the volatility of the Company's own share price becomes available or until circumstances change, such that the identified entities are no longer comparable companies. In the latter case, other suitable, similar entities whose share prices are publicly available would be utilized in the calculation.

Risk-Free Interest Rate

The risk-free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury zero coupon issues in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding with the expected term of option.

Expected Dividend

The Company has never paid dividends on its common stock and has no plans to pay dividends on its common stock. Therefore, the Company used an expected dividend yield of zero.

The fair value of the non-Replacement Award stock options granted under the 2016 Plan and 2015 Plan and the shares available for purchase under the 2016 ESPP were determined using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The following table summarizes the weighted-average assumptions used in calculating the fair value of the awards:

	2017	Year Ended December 31, 2016	2015
2016 Plan and 2015 Plan			
Expected term	5.88	5.99	6.29
Expected volatility	86%	87%	87%
Risk-free interest	2.00%	1.28%	1.37%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
2016 ESPP			
Expected term	0.50	0.45	—
Expected volatility	78%	82%	—
Risk-free interest	1.06%	0.50%	—
Dividend yield	0%	0%	—

10. Defined Contribution Plan

In September 2016, the Company began to sponsor a 401(k) retirement plan in which substantially all of its full-time employees are eligible to participate. Participants may contribute a percentage of their annual compensation to this plan, subject to statutory limitations. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company provided \$135,000 and \$51,000, respectively, in contributions to the plan. The Company did not provide any contributions during the year ended December 31, 2015.

11. Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures and reports certain financial instruments as assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. The following tables sets forth the fair value of the Company's financial assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis based on the three-tier fair value hierarchy (in thousands):

	December 31, 2017			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Financial Assets				
Money market funds	\$ 1,674	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 1,674
Reverse repurchase agreements	—	7,250	—	7,250
U.S. treasury securities	1,501	—	—	1,501
U.S. government securities	—	35,981	—	35,981
Total financial assets	\$ 3,175	\$ 43,231	\$ —	\$ 46,406

	December 31, 2016			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Financial Assets				
Money market funds	\$ 4,584	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,584
Reverse repurchase agreements	—	39,250	—	39,250
U.S. government securities	—	15,754	—	15,754
Total financial assets	\$ 4,584	\$ 55,004	\$ —	\$ 59,588

The Company measures the fair value of money market funds on quoted prices in active markets for identical asset or liabilities. The Level 2 assets include reverse repurchase agreements and U.S. government securities and are valued based on quoted prices for similar assets in active markets and inputs other than quoted prices that are derived from observable market data.

The Company evaluates transfers between levels at the end of each reporting period. There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during the periods presented.

Valuation Approach for the Company's Shares and Related Instruments

Prior to the IPO, the Company valued its common stock and common shares by taking into consideration, among other things, its most recent valuation of common stock and common shares prepared by an unrelated third-party valuation firm in accordance with the guidance provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Practice Guide, *Valuation of Privately-Held-Company Equity Securities Issued as Compensation*. Given the absence of a public trading market for the Company's capital stock, the Company exercised reasonable judgment and considered a number of objective and subjective factors, including changes since the date of the most recent contemporaneous valuation through the date of grant. The Company estimated the fair value of each class of common shares, preferred shares, and common stock by utilizing either a hybrid of the Probability-Weighted Expected Return Method ("PWERM") and the Option Pricing Method ("OPM") or the OPM, both valuation methodologies are based on the Backsolve Method, a form of the market approach. The hybrid valuation methodology applied the PWERM utilizing the probability of going public scenarios and a liquidation scenario. The OPM valuation methodology included estimates and assumptions that require the Company's judgment. Inputs used to determine estimated fair value of the shares include the equity value of the Company, probabilities of going public by term (from 12.5% to 80% with terms from 0.55 to 0.13 years), risk-adjusted discount rate (30%), discount for lack of marketability (from 30% to 7.5%), expected timing of the liquidity event (from 2.8 to 3.0 years), a risk-free interest rate (from 0.8% to 1.1%) and the expected volatility (70%). Generally, increases or decreases in these unobservable inputs would result in a directionally similar impact to the fair value measurement of the Company's shares. Following the IPO, the Company utilizes the closing sale price per share of its common stock as quoted on The Nasdaq Global Market on the date of grant for purposes of determining the fair value of its common stock.

12. Income Taxes

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, the Company recognized no provision or benefit from income taxes. The difference between the Company's provision for income taxes and the amounts computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before income taxes is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Tax provision derived by applying the federal statutory rate to income before income taxes	\$ (9,260)	\$ (7,377)	\$ (3,841)
Permanent differences and other	296	333	307
Federal tax credits	(1,294)	(1,921)	(321)
State tax credits	(284)	(404)	—
Change in tax rate	7,869	—	—
Conversion of LLC from partnership to corporation	—	—	(21)
Change in the valuation allowance	2,673	9,369	3,876
Income tax expense /(benefit)	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

The components of the deferred tax assets and liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2017	2016
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carryforward	\$ 12,170	\$ 12,286
Intangible assets	29	38
Accrued expense	333	335
Stock-based compensation	386	283
Federal tax credits	5,572	3,291
State tax credits	824	404
Other	75	76
Total deferred tax assets	<u>19,389</u>	<u>16,713</u>
Deferred tax liabilities		
Depreciable assets	\$ (63)	\$ (60)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(63)	(60)
Less: Valuation allowance	(19,326)	(16,653)
Deferred tax assets, net	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

On December 22, 2017, the 2017 Tax Act was signed into law making significant changes to the Internal Revenue Code. The legislation significantly changes U.S. tax law by, among other things, lowering corporate income tax rates from a maximum of 35% to a flat 21% rate and reducing the orphan drug credit from 50% to 25% of qualifying expenditures, effective for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse. As a result of the reduction in the U.S. corporate income tax rate under the 2017 Tax Act, the Company revalued its deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31, 2017 resulting in a \$7.9 million decrease in net deferred assets, with a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance. The accounting for the income tax effects of the 2017 Tax Act and related adjustments were completed and included in the financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2017.

The Company has established a valuation allowance equal to the net deferred tax asset due to uncertainties regarding the realization of the deferred tax asset based on the Company's lack of earnings history. The valuation allowance increased by \$2.7 million, \$9.4 million, and \$3.9 million during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, respectively, primarily due to continuing loss from operations offset by the change in the tax rate due to the 2017 Tax Act.

As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had U.S. net operating loss carryforwards ("NOL") of \$58.0 million and \$36.1 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had U.S. tax credit carryforwards of \$5.6 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, and state tax credit carryforwards of \$1.0 million and \$612,000, respectively. The net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards will begin to expire in 2033, if not utilized. The net operating loss and credit carryforwards are subject to Internal Revenue Service adjustments until the statute closes on the year the net operating loss is utilized.

As part of the PATH Act of 2015, certain eligible companies have the ability to convert a portion of their research tax credits to offset payroll tax liabilities. As of December 31, 2017, the Company has converted \$500,000 of its research tax credit to offset payroll tax liabilities, of which \$416,000 is included within prepaid expenses and other current assets and \$84,000 is included within other non-current assets in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company has not completed a study to assess whether an ownership change has occurred or whether there have been multiple ownership changes since the Company's formation due to the complexity and cost associated with such a study, and the fact that there may be additional such ownership changes in the future. If the Company has experienced an ownership change at any time since its formation, utilization of the NOL or R&D credit carryforwards would be subject to an annual limitation under Section 382 or 383 of the Internal Revenue Code, which is determined by first multiplying the value of the Company's stock at the time of the ownership change by the applicable long-term, tax-exempt rate, and then could be subject to additional adjustments, as required. Additionally, the separate return limitation year ("SRLY") rules may apply to losses of the Company's seven wholly-owned subsidiary corporations. The SRLY rules limit the consolidated group's use of a subsidiary corporation's net operating losses to the amount of income generated by the subsidiary corporation after it becomes a member of the group. Any limitation may result in expiration of a portion of the NOL or R&D credit carryforwards before utilization. Further, until a study is completed and any limitation known, no amounts are being considered as an uncertain tax position or disclosed as an unrecognized tax benefit. Additionally, the Company does not expect any unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next twelve months. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in the Company's unrecognized tax benefits will not impact its effective tax rate. Any carryforwards that will expire prior to utilization as a result of such limitations will be removed from deferred tax assets with a corresponding reduction of the valuation allowance.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. and state jurisdictions. The Company is subject to examination by taxing authorities in its significant jurisdictions for the 2014 and subsequent years.

13. Net Loss Per Share Attributable to Common Stockholders

The Company computed net loss attributable per common stockholder using the two-class method required for participating securities through the date of the IPO. Immediately prior to the closing of the IPO, all outstanding convertible preferred stock was converted into common stock (see Note 6). The Company considered convertible preferred stock to be participating securities. In the event that the Company had paid out distributions, holders of convertible preferred stock would have participated in the distribution.

The two-class method is an earnings (loss) allocation method under which earnings (loss) per share is calculated for common stock and participating security considering a participating security's rights to undistributed earnings (loss) as if all such earnings (loss) had been distributed during the period. The convertible preferred stock did not have an obligation to fund losses and are therefore excluded from the calculation of basic net loss per share. Starting in the first quarter of 2015 in connection with the LLC Conversion, the Company's Series A and B convertible preferred stock were entitled to receive noncumulative dividends and in preference to any dividends on shares of the Company's common stock.

Basic and diluted net loss per share attributable to common stockholders is computed by dividing net loss attributable to common stock by the weighted-average number of that class of common stock outstanding during the period. For net loss per share attributable to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2015, the effect of the LLC Conversion is presented prospectively from January 1, 2015 as none of the losses for the year ended December 31, 2015 were allocated to the members of Aeglea LLC. For periods in which the Company generated a net loss, the Company does not include the potential impact of dilutive securities in diluted net loss per share, as the impact of these items is anti-dilutive. Additionally, the convertible preferred stock dividend is included in the loss attributable to common stockholders.

The following weighted-average equity instruments were excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share because their effect would have been anti-dilutive for the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Series A convertible preferred shares/stock	—	611,392	2,172,520
Series B convertible preferred stock	—	1,407,097	4,047,734
Unvested restricted common stock	57,629	100,634	153,355
Options to purchase common stock	2,043,420	1,063,778	450,458

14. Research and License Agreements

Contract Research Agreement

In December 2013, the Company entered into a contract research agreement with a contract manufacturing organization (“CMO”) under which the CMO provides research and development services to the Company in exchange for cash and convertible preferred shares.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, no shares were issued to the CMO. For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company issued 70,028 Series B convertible preferred shares to the CMO with a fair value of \$1.1 million. The number of convertible preferred shares contractually issuable to the counterparty was determined by dividing a fixed monetary amount by the issuance-date fair value of the issued shares. These services are expensed as research and development costs in accordance with the fair value of the consideration paid and as the services are rendered.

The Company was obligated to issue a variable number of shares of convertible preferred stock upon the completion of certain milestones related to the research and development of the Company’s products. In June 2015, the contract research agreement was amended to convert the remaining unmet milestone awards from share-based payments to cash. As of December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, all related obligations payable in convertible preferred stock under the agreement have been satisfied.

University Research Agreement

In December 2013, the Company entered into a research agreement with the University of Texas at Austin (the “University”). Under the terms of this research agreement, the Company engaged the University to perform certain nonclinical research activities related to the systemic depletion of amino acids for cancer and rare genetic disease therapy.

Under the research agreement, the Company was required to pay the University an annual amount not to exceed \$386,000 during the one-year term of the agreement from the effective date. Pursuant to subsequent amendments to the research agreement, the term and maximum expenditure limitation were extended and increased through August 31, 2018 for a combined \$2.5 million, including an amendment in October 2017 which increased the maximum expenditure limitation by \$375,000 for additional research to be performed by the University. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, the Company paid \$563,000, \$832,000, and \$563,000, respectively, to the University under the research agreement.

License Agreements

In December 2013, two of the Company’s wholly owned subsidiaries, AECASE, Inc. (“AECASE”) and AEMASE, Inc. (“AEMASE”), entered into license agreements with the University under which the University granted to AECASE and AEMASE exclusive, worldwide, sublicenseable licenses. The University granted the AECASE license under a patent application relating to the right to use technology related to the Company’s AEB3103 product candidate. The University granted the AEMASE license under a patent relating to the right to use technology related to the Company’s AEB2109 product candidate.

In January 2017, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement (the “Restated License”) with the University which consolidated the two license agreements, revised certain obligations, and licensed additional patent applications and invention disclosures to the Company. Pursuant to the terms of the Restated License, the Company may be required to pay the University up to \$6.4 million in milestone payments based on the achievement of certain development milestones, including clinical trials and regulatory approvals, the majority of which are due upon the achievement of later development milestones, including a \$5.0 million payment due on regulatory approval of a product and a \$500,000 payment payable on final regulatory approval of a product for a second indication. In addition, the Company is required to pay the University a low single-digit royalty on worldwide-net sales of products covered under Restated License, together with a revenue share on non-royalty consideration received from sublicensees. The rate of the revenue share ranges from 6.5% to 25% depending on the date the sublicense agreement is signed.

For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company paid \$30,000 and \$10,000, respectively, in annual license fees. For the year ended December 31, 2015, there were no license fees due or paid.

15. Related Party Transactions

The spouse of the Company's former Chief Executive Officer previously provided consulting services to the Company. No payments were made to the spouse in the year ended December 31, 2017. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company paid \$399,000 and \$433,000, respectively, to the spouse in consulting fees, which were recorded in Research and Development expenses. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had no outstanding liability to the related party. As of July 2017, the spouse is no longer deemed a related party.

One of the founders, a non-employee member of the Company's Board of Directors, entered into a consulting agreement with the Company in 2014 under which the founder would receive \$50,000 per year for a fixed number of hours of consulting and advisory services and receive equity incentive shares, which converted into 43,290 restricted stock awards and 13,852 stock options upon the LLC Conversion, with the vesting contingent on time and performance milestones being achieved. In each of the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, the Company paid \$50,000 to the Founder under the consulting agreement. As of December 31, 2017 and 2016, the Company had no outstanding liability to the related party.

16. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company leases office space in Austin, Texas under an operating lease that commenced in January 2015. The lease was amended in September 2016 to increase office space and extend the term to December 31, 2020. In addition, the amended lease provides for tenant improvement allowances on both the original space and expansion space totaling \$200,000.

In February 2017, the Company entered into a sublease agreement for laboratory facilities in Austin, Texas under an operating lease that expired in December 2017. The Company signed a new lease in October 2017, which commences on January 1, 2018 and will expire on December 31, 2019.

As provided in the office and laboratory leases, monthly lease payments are subject to annual increases through the lease term. The Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the non-cancellable term of each lease.

The Company is subject to security deposit requirements under the terms of the amended office lease and laboratory lease agreements, totaling \$47,000, until the expiration of the lease. The lessor is entitled to retain all or any part of the security deposit for payment in the event of any uncured default by the Company under the terms of the lease.

Future annual minimum lease payments due under non-cancellable operating leases at December 31 of each year are as follows (in thousands):

2018	\$	355
2019		367
2020		300
2021		—
Thereafter		—
Total minimum lease payments	\$	<u>1,022</u>

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016, and 2015, the Company incurred \$350,000, \$151,000, and \$140,000 in rent expense under non-cancellable operating leases.

In October 2017, the Company amended the sponsored research agreement with the University to further extend the period of performance and increase the limitation of funding to perform additional research. Under the terms of the amendment, the performance period was extended to August 31, 2018 with a remaining \$188,000 expected to be paid in 2018 (see Note 14).

17. Subsequent Events

On February 20, 2018, the Board of Directors approved and adopted the 2018 Equity Inducement Plan ("2018 Plan") which became effective on the same date. The Board of Directors approved an initial reserve of 1,100,000 shares of common stock to be used exclusively for individuals who were not previously employees or directors, or following a bona fide period of non-employment, as an inducement material to the individual entering into employment with the Company. Nonqualified stock options or restricted stock units may be granted under the 2018 Plan at the discretion of Compensation

Committee or the Board of Directors. The Company did not seek stockholder approval of the 2018 Plan pursuant to Nasdaq Rule 5635(c)(4). To date, no grants have been awarded under the 2018 Plan.

18. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Selected quarterly results from operations for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 are as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	2017 Quarter Ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Grant revenues	\$ 982	\$ 1,479	\$ 1,261	\$ 1,483
Loss from operations	(6,331)	(6,720)	(7,998)	(6,627)
Net loss	(6,247)	(6,632)	(7,874)	(6,483)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.48)	\$ (0.39)

	2016 Quarter Ended			
	March 31,	June 30,	September 30,	December 31,
Grant revenues	\$ 859	\$ 1,373	\$ 1,149	\$ 1,247
Loss from operations	(4,567)	(5,495)	(6,301)	(5,543)
Net loss	(4,547)	(5,430)	(6,238)	(5,483)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$ (7.10)	\$ (0.46)	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.41)

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, evaluated, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2017, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of such date are effective at the reasonable assurance level. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and our management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in its 2013 Internal Control – Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment, our management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2017, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

This Annual Report on Form 10-K does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. For as long as we remain an “emerging growth company” as defined in Section 2(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, or the Securities Act, as modified by the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act of 2012, we intend to take advantage of the exemption permitting us not to comply with the requirement that our independent registered public accounting firm provide an attestation on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2017 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement with respect to our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement with respect to our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement with respect to our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement with respect to our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to our Proxy Statement with respect to our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

1. Financial Statements

See Index to Financial Statements at Item 8 herein.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description of Document	Incorporate by Reference				Filed Herewith
		Form	File No.	Date of Filing	Exhibit No.	
3.1*	Restated Certificate of Incorporation	S-1/A	333-205001	9/14/2015	3.2	
3.4*	Restated Bylaws	S-1/A	333-205001	9/14/2015	3.5	
4.1*	Form of Common Stock Certificate.	S-1/A	333-205001	9/14/2015	4.1	
4.2*	Amended and Restated Investors' Rights Agreement, dated March 10, 2015, by and among the Registrant and certain of its stockholders, as amended.	S-1	333-205001	6/16/2015	4.2	
10.1*	Form of Indemnification Agreement.	S-1/A	333-205001	9/14/2015	10.1	
10.2*†	2015 Equity Incentive Plan and forms of award agreements.	S-1	333-205001	6/16/2015	10.2	
10.3*†	2016 Equity Incentive Plan and forms of award agreements.	S-1/A	333-205001	3/28/2016	10.3	
10.4*†	2016 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and forms of award agreements.	S-1/A	333-205001	3/28/2016	10.3	
10.5*†	Form of Stock Restriction Agreement.	S-1	333-205001	6/16/2015	10.5	
10.6*†	Executive Employment Agreement, dated July 7, 2015, by and between the Registrant and Dr. David G. Lowe.	S-1/A	333-205001	9/14/2015	10.6	
10.7*†	Sponsored Research Agreement No. UTA13-001113, dated December 24, 2013, between The University of Texas at Austin ("UT-Austin") and Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc., Aeglea Development Company, Inc., AERase, Inc., AEMase, Inc., AECase, Inc., AE4ase, Inc., AE5ase, Inc. and AE6ase, Inc., as amended.	10-Q	001-37722	11/7/2017	10.3	

Exhibit Number	Description of Document	Incorporate by Reference				Filed Herewith
		Form	File No.	Date of Filing	Exhibit No.	
10.8*†	Master Services Agreement, dated December 24, 2013, between KBI Biopharma, Inc. Aeglea Development Company, Inc. and the Registrant and First Amendment to Master Services Agreement, dated June 30, 2015, between KBI Biopharma, Inc., Aeglea Development Company, Inc. and Registrant.	S-1/A	333-205001	9/14/2015	10.10	
10.9*	Office Lease, dated November 24, 2014, between Barton Oaks Office Center, LLC and the Registrant.	S-1	333-205001	6/16/2015	10.11	
10.10*	First Amendment to Office Lease and Assignment and Assumption of Lease dated September 20, 2016 to Office Lease dated November 24, 2014, between Barton Oaks Office Center, LLC, Aeglea Development Company, Inc., and Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.	10-Q	001-37722	11/9/2016	10.1	
10.11*‡	Consulting Agreement, dated February 18, 2014, by and between the Registrant and George Georgiou.	S-1	333-205001	6/16/2015	10.12	
10.12#	Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement No. PM1401501, dated January 31, 2017, between the Registrant and The University of Texas at Austin on behalf of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas system, as amended					X
10.13*†	Cancer Research Grant Contract, dated June 15, 2015, between AERase, Inc. and the Cancer Prevention Research Institute of Texas.	S-1	333-205001	6/16/2015	10.15	
10.14*‡	CEO Severance Agreement, dated July 7, 2015, by and between the Registrant and Dr. David G. Lowe.	S-1/A	333-205001	9/14/2015	10.16	
10.15‡	Offer Letter, dated June 16, 2014, issued by the Registrant to Mr. Charles N. York II.	10-K	001-37722	3/23/2017	10.19	
10.16‡	Vice President of Finance Severance Agreement dated July 7, 2015 by and between Registrant and Mr. Charles N. York II.	10-K	001-37722	3/23/2017	10.20	
10.17‡	Offer Letter, dated June 20, 2017, issued by the Registrant to Dr. James Wooldridge.					X
10.18‡	Terms of Resignation between the Registrant and Dr. David Lowe.	10-Q	001-37722	8/9/2017	10.1	
10.19‡	Offer Letter, Dated August 31, 2017 issued by the Registrant to Dr. Anthony Quinn.	10-Q	001-37722	11/7/2017	10.2	
10.20‡	Offer Letter, Dated April 21, 2017 issued by the Registrant to Dr. Anthony Quinn.	10-Q	001-37722	5/9/2017	10.1	

Exhibit Number	Description of Document	Incorporate by Reference			Exhibit No.	Filed Herewith
		Form	File No.	Date of Filing		
21.1*	Subsidiaries of the Registrant.	S-1	333-205001	6/16/2015	21.1	
23.1	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm.					X
24.1	Power of Attorney. Reference is made to the signature page hereto.					X
31.1	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.					X
31.2	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.					X
32.1(1)	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
32.2(1)	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.					X
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.					X
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.					X
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.					X
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.					X
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document.					X
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document					X

* Previously filed.

† Confidential treatment has been granted for portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 406 of the Securities Act, or Rule 24b-2 of the Exchange Act. The Registrant has omitted and filed separately with the SEC the confidential portions of this exhibit.

‡ Indicates management contract or compensatory plan.

Registrant has omitted portions of the referenced exhibit and filed such exhibit separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a request for confidential treatment under Rule 24b-2 promulgated under the Exchange Act.

(1) The certifications on Exhibit 32 hereto are deemed not "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act or otherwise subject to the liability of that Section. Such certifications will not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

ITEM 16. FORM 10-K SUMMARY

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 13, 2018

AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC.

By: /s/ Anthony G. Quinn, M.B Ch.B, Ph.D.

Anthony G. Quinn, M.B Ch.B, Ph.D.

Interim Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B, Ph.D. and Charles N. York II, jointly and severally, his or her attorneys-in-fact, each with the power of substitution, for him or her in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Report on Form 10-K and to file same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or his substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
<u>/s/ Anthony G. Quinn, M.B Ch.B, Ph.D.</u> Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B, Ph.D.	Interim Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 13, 2018
<u>/s/ Charles N. York II</u> Charles N. York II	Chief Financial Officer and Vice President (Principal Accounting Officer and Principal Financial Officer)	March 13, 2018
<u>/s/ Russell J. Cox</u> Russell J. Cox	Director	March 13, 2018
<u>/s/ George Georgiou, Ph.D.</u> George Georgiou, Ph.D.	Director	March 13, 2018
<u>/s/ Sandesh Mahatme, LLM</u> Sandesh Mahatme, LLM	Director	March 13, 2018
<u>/s/ Armen Shanafelt, Ph.D.</u> Armen Shanafelt, Ph.D.	Director	March 13, 2018
<u>/s/ Suzanne Bruhn, Ph.D</u> Suzanne Bruhn, Ph.D.	Director	March 13, 2018

[*] Certain confidential information contained in this document, marked by brackets, has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

**Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement
Agreement No. PM1401501**

This Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement (“Patent License Agreement”) is between the Licensor and the Licensee identified below (collectively, “Parties”, or singly, “Party”).

Background

Licensor owns or controls Patent Rights. Licensee desires to secure the right and license to use, develop, manufacture, market, and commercialize the Patent Rights. Licensor has determined that such use, development, and commercialization of the Patent Rights is in the public’s best interest and is consistent with Licensor’s educational and research missions and goals. Licensor desires to have the Patent Rights developed and used for the benefit of Licensee, the inventors, Licensor, and the public.

Licensor has previously entered into two separate license agreements with subsidiaries of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. (“Aeglea”). These license agreements are between Licensor and AECASE, LLC (PM1401501), and AEMase, LLC (PM140161), respectively, both effective December 24, 2013 (the “Prior Agreements”). Licensor and Aeglea wish to amend certain terms of the Prior Agreements and to combine the two Prior Agreements into this single Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement. This Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement will amend, restate, and supersede the Prior Agreements in all respects.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and premises herein contained, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

The terms and conditions of this Patent License Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit A are incorporated herein by reference in their entirety (the “Terms and Conditions”). In the event of a conflict between provisions of this Patent License Agreement and the Terms and Conditions, the provisions in this Patent License Agreement shall govern. Unless defined in this Patent License Agreement, capitalized terms used in this Patent License Agreement shall have the meanings given to them in the Terms and Conditions.

The section numbers used in the left hand column in the table below correspond to the section numbers in the Terms and Conditions.

1.	Definitions	
	Effective Date	Date of last signature below
	Licensor	The University of Texas at Austin, on behalf of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas System, an agency of the State of Texas, whose address is 3925 W. Braker Lane, Suite 1.9A (R3500), Austin, Texas 78759.

Licensee	Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
Contract Year and Contract Quarters	Contract Year is 12-month period ending on December 31 and Contract Quarters are 3-month periods ending on March 31, June 30, Sept. 30, Dec. 31
Territory	World-wide
Field	All fields

Patent Rights					
App. No./ Date of Filing	Title	Inventor(s)	Jointly Owned? (Y/N; if Y, with whom?)	Prosecution Counsel	
US61/871,727 filed 8/29/2013	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
US61/948,106 filed 03/05/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
US14/472,779 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
South Korea 10-2016-7008 299 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
PCT/US2014/053374 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
JP filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Israel 244263 I filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Europe 14839001.6 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
China 201480054224.9 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Canada 2,922,557 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Australia 2014312168 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
US61/871,768 filed 08/29/2013	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (614 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	

Patent Rights					
App. No./ Date of Filing	Title	Inventor(s)	Jointly Owned? (Y/N; if Y, with whom?)	Prosecution Counsel	
US14/472,750 filed 08/29/2013	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
South Korea 10- 2016-7007-045 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
PCT/U52014/ 053359 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
JP filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Israel 244261 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Europe 14841106.9 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
China 20140050681.0 filed 08.29.2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Canada 2,922,550 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
Australia 2014312159 filed 08/29/2014	Engineered Human Methionine Gamma Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC	
[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]
[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]
USPTO Entity Status as of Effective Date		Check one box: Small <input type="checkbox"/> Large <input type="checkbox"/>			

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

2.4	Diligence Milestones		
Diligence Milestones and deadlines (see Section 20.1)	Diligence Milestones	Diligence Milestones	Deadlines
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]
	[*]		[*]

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

3.	Compensation		
3.1(a)	Patent expenses due upon Effective Date	Amount	Based on invoices received as of:
		[*]	[*]

3.1(b)	Milestone fees		
		Milestone Events	Milestone Fees
		[*]	[*]
		[*]	[*]
		[*]	[*]
		[*]	[*]
		[*]	[*]
		[*]	[*]
		7.Obtain Regulatory Approval in any country [*]	\$5,000,000
		8.Obtain Regulatory Approval in any country [*]	\$5,000,000
		9.Obtain Regulatory Approval for an additional indication in any country [*]	\$500,000
		10.Obtain Regulatory Approval for an additional indication in any country [*]	\$500,000

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

3.1(c)	Scheduled license fee payments	[*]
3.1(d)	Sublicense Fees	25% of Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration from a Sublicense Agreement fully signed before [*] 20% of Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration from a Sublicense Agreement fully signed on or after [*] and before [*] 15% of Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration from a Sublicense Agreement fully signed on or after [*] and before [*] 6.5% of Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration Agreement signed on or after [*]
3.1(e)	Assignment Fee	[*]
3.2	Running royalty rate (applies to Net Product Sales by Licensee, Affiliates and Sublicensees)	[*]

18.	Contact Information
------------	----------------------------

Licensee Contacts	Licensor Contacts
<p>Contact for Notice: Attn: David G. Lowe Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. 901 S. MoPac Expressway Barton Oaks Plaza One Suite 250 Austin, TX 78746 Fax: (512) 872-5121 Phone: (512) 942-2935 E-mail: David@aegleabio.com</p> <p>Accounting contact: Attn: Charles York Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. 901 S. MoPac Expressway Barton Oaks Plaza One Suite 250 Austin, TX 78746 Fax: (512) 872-5121 Phone: (512) 942-2935 E-mail: cyork@aegleabio.com</p> <p>Patent prosecution contact: Attn: Head of Business and Corporate Development Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. 901 S. MoPac Expressway Barton Oaks Plaza One Suite 250 Austin, TX 78746 Fax: (512) 872-5121 Phone: (512) 942-2935 E-mail: David@aegleabio.com contracts@aegleabio.com</p> <p>With a copy to: Attn: Erin Thomson Vinson & Elkins LLP 2801 Via Fortuna Suite 100 Austin, TX 78746 Phone: (512) 542-8762 E-mail: ethomson@velaw.com</p>	<p>Contact for Notice: Attn: Contract Manager 3925 W. Braker Lane, Suite 1.9A (R3500) Austin, TX 78759 Fax: 512 475-6894 Phone: 512 471-2995 E-mail: licensing@otc.utexas.edu</p> <p>Payment and reporting contact: Checks payable to "The University of Texas at Austin" Attn: Accounting 3925 W. Braker Lane, Suite 1.9A (R3500) Austin, TX 78759 Fax: 512 475-6894 Phone: 512 471-2995 E-mail: accounting@otc.utexas.edu</p> <p>Patent prosecution contact: Attn: Patents 3925 W. Braker Lane, Suite 1.9A (R3500) Austin, TX 78759 Fax: 512 475-6894 Phone: 512 471-2995 E-mail: patents@otc.utexas.edu</p>

For Licensor Administrative Purposes Only
Changes to Standard Form Terms and Conditions
Yes

20. Special Provision. The Parties hereby agree to the following special provisions set forth in this Section 20 with respect to this Patent License Agreement.

20.1 Diligence Milestones.

20.1.1 Upon written request from Licensee to Licensor given prior to the scheduled deadline date to achieve a particular Diligence Milestone set forth in Section 2.4, Licensee may request a [*] extension of said Diligence Milestone deadline date; and said request shall be accompanied by evidence that demonstrates to Licensor's reasonable satisfaction that Licensee (and/or its Affiliates and Sublicensees) have been devoting continued diligent efforts to achieve said Diligence Milestone; and Licensor shall grant the requested extension if Licensor approves the evidence and efforts, which approval will not be withheld unreasonably.

*Confidential Treatment Requested.

20.1.2 If a first extension has been granted pursuant to Section 20.1 for a particular Diligence Milestone deadline, Licensee may request a [*] extension for the same Diligence Milestone deadline, which request shall be made in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 20.1.1; and if Licensor grants said request, Licensee shall pay [*] to Licensor as consideration for this second extension, payable within thirty (30) days after Licensee receives written notice that Licensor is willing to grant the extension, which extension will not be effective if said consideration is not paid by said due date. Any failure to so pay said consideration shall not entitle Licensee to make a later delayed payment during any default cure period that is otherwise specified in this Agreement for curing other defaults.

20.2 Prior to the assignment of this Patent License Agreement to a third party, "Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration" shall be as follows:

[*]

After the assignment of this Patent License Agreement to a third party, "Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration" shall be defined as follows:

[*]

20.3 If the Parties mutually determine that the rights granted by this Patent License Agreement are essential to the Licensee's use of any University Invention arising under Sponsored Research Agreement UTA13-001113, then such University Invention shall be included in this Patent License Agreement, upon Licensee's payment to Licensor of a fee of [*].

20.4 This Patent License Agreement replaces and supersedes the Prior Agreements in all respects.

21. No Other Promises and Agreements; Representation by Counsel. Each Party expressly warrants and represents and does hereby state and represent that no promise or agreement which is not herein expressed has been made to such Party in executing this Patent License Agreement except those explicitly set forth herein and in the Terms and Conditions, and that such Party is not relying upon any statement or representation of the other Party or its representatives. Each Party is relying on its own judgment and has had the opportunity to be represented by legal counsel. Each Party hereby warrants and represents that it understands and

agrees to all terms and conditions set forth in this Patent License Agreement and said Terms and Conditions.

22. Deadline for Execution by Licensee. If this Patent License Agreement is executed first by the Licensor and is not executed by the Licensee and received by the Licensor at the address and in the manner set forth in Section 18 of the Terms and Conditions within thirty (30) days of the date of signature set forth under the Licensor's signature below, then this Patent License Agreement shall be null and void and of no further effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused their duly authorized representatives to execute this Patent License Agreement.

**LICENSOR: THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN LICENSEE: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
ON BEHALF OF TH BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM**

By: /s/ Daniel W. Sharp, J.D.

Daniel W. Sharp, J.D.

Associate Vice President for Research and Director, Office of
Technology Commercialization

Date 1/30/17

By /s/ David G. Lowe

David G. Lowe

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date 31 January 2017

EXHIBIT A
TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PATENT LICENSE

These Terms and Conditions of Patent License (“Terms and Conditions”) are incorporated by reference into the Patent License Agreement to which they are attached. All Section references in these Terms and Conditions shall be references to provisions in these Terms and Conditions unless explicitly stated otherwise.

1. **Definitions**

“**Affiliate**” of a Party means any person, corporation or other business entity which, directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, actually controls, is actually controlled by, or is under common control with that Party. As used in this paragraph, “control” means to possess, directly or indirectly, the power to affirmatively direct the management and policies of such person, corporation or other business entity, whether through ownership of at least fifty percent (50%) of the voting securities or by contract relating to voting rights or corporate governance.

“**Agreement**” means collectively (i) these Terms and Conditions, and (ii) the Patent License Agreement.

“**BLA**” means Biological License Application, as defined in the U.S. Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder, as well as any equivalent foreign application, registration or certification in the relevant country, such as a Marketing Approval Application in Europe, in each case with respect to a Licensed Product.

“**Change of Control**” with respect to any Party means the occurrence of any of the following events: (i) any “person” (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) is or becomes the “beneficial owner” (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under said Act) of securities representing fifty percent (50%) or more of the total voting power represented by such Party’s then -outstanding voting securities; or (ii) the consummation of (A) a merger or consolidation of such Party with any other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation which would result in the voting securities of such Party outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into voting securities of the surviving entity or the entity that controls such surviving entity) at least fifty percent (50%) of the total voting power represented by the voting securities of such Party, such surviving entity or the entity that controls such Party or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation, or (iii) the sale or disposition by such Party, to a third party which is not an Affiliate of such Party, of all or substantially all such Party’s assets.

“**Commercially Reasonable Efforts**” means the expenditure of those efforts and resources used consistent with the usual practice of similarly situated companies in pursuing development or commercialization of its other similar pharmaceutical products with similar market potential and at a similar stage in development.

“**Contract Quarter**” means the three-month periods indicated as the Contract Quarter in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement, or any stub period thereof at the commencement of the Agreement or the expiration or termination of the Agreement.

“**Contract Year**” means the 12-month periods indicated as the Contract Year in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement, or any stub period thereof at the commencement of the Agreement or the expiration or termination of the Agreement.

“**Covered**” means that with respect to a Patent Right, but for the license granted under this Agreement, the use, manufacture, sale, offer for sale, development, commercialization or importation of the subject matter in question by an unlicensed entity would infringe a Valid Claim of a Patent Right.

“**Cumulative Received Capital**” means the total funding received by Licensee and its Affiliates after the Equity Financing which funding may be received in connection with any type of transaction, including, without limitation, grants, financings, licensing, research and development, and strategic collaborations.

“**Effective Date**” means the date indicated as the Effective Date in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Enzyme**” means [*]

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

“**Equity Financing**” means a transaction or series of related transactions in which Licensee and/or its Affiliates receive at least [*] in cumulative proceeds from the sale of equity.

“**Fair Market Value**” means the cash consideration an unaffiliated, unrelated buyer would pay in an arm’s length sale of a substantially identical item sold in the same quantity, under the same terms, and at the same time and place.

“**Field**” means the field indicated as the Field identified in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Government**” means any agency, department, unit, or other instrumentality of the United States of America, or any foreign country, or any province, state, county, city or other political subdivision (including any supra-national agency such as in the European Union).

“**Gross Consideration**” means all cash and non-cash consideration (e.g., securities).

“**IND**” means an Investigational New Drug Application, as defined in the U.S. Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and the regulations promulgated thereunder, or comparable filing in a foreign jurisdiction, in each case with respect to a Licensed Product.

“**Licensed Process**” means a method or process whose practice or use is Covered by a Valid Claim.

“**Licensed Product**” means any product or component (i) whose manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale or import is Covered by any Valid Claim, or (ii) which is made using a Licensed Process.

“**Licensee**” means the Party identified as the Licensee in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Licensor**” means the Party identified as the Licensor in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Milestone Fees**” means all fees identified as Milestone Fees in Section 3.1(b) of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Net Product Sales**” means [*]

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

“**Patent License Agreement**” means the particular Patent License Agreement to which these Terms and Conditions are attached and incorporated into by reference.

“**Patent Rights**” means the Licensor’s rights in (a) the patents and patent applications listed in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement; (b) all non-provisional patent applications that claim priority to any provisional application listed in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement; and (c) all divisionals, continuations, and such claims of continuations-in-part as are entitled to claim priority to the aforesaid patents and/or patent applications, and all reissues, reexaminations, extensions of, and foreign counterparts; and (d) any patents that issue with respect to the aforesaid patent applications. From time to time during the term of the Agreement, upon written agreement by both Parties, Licensee and Licensor shall update the list of all patent applications and patents within the Patent Rights.

“**Phase II**” means a means a human clinical trial of a Licensed Product, including possibly pharmacokinetic studies, the principal purpose of which is to make a preliminary determination that such Product is safe in a patient population for its intended use and to obtain sufficient information about such Product’s efficacy to permit the design of further clinical trials, and generally consistent with 21 CFR § 312.21(b). Said trial may be conducted in any country.

“**Phase III**” means a human clinical trial of a Licensed Product, which trial is designed to: (a) establish that a Licensed Product is safe and efficacious for its intended use; (b) define warnings, precautions and adverse reactions that are associated with the Licensed Product in the dosage range to be prescribed; (c) support regulatory approval of such Licensed Product; and (d) be generally consistent with 21 CFR § 312.21(c). Said trial may be conducted in any country.

“**Prosecution Counsel**” means the law firm or attorney who is handling the prosecution of the Patent Rights. Prosecution Counsel as of the Effective Date is identified in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Quarterly Payment Deadline**” means the day that is ninety (90) days after the last day of any particular Contract Quarter.

“**Regulatory Approval**” means, with respect to any country, any and all approvals (including any applicable governmental price and reimbursement approvals), licenses, registrations, or authorizations of any Regulatory Authority necessary for the manufacture, use, storage, import, transport, marketing, and sale (including packaging and labeling) of a product for human use in a country, including approvals of BLAs and NDAs in the United States (and any foreign counterparts or equivalents).

“**Regulatory Authority**” means any federal, national, multinational, regional, state, provincial or local regulatory agency, department, bureau, commission, council or other governmental entity having jurisdiction over the manufacture, use, storage, import, transport, marketing, and sale (including packaging and labeling) of a Licensed Product in the Territory.

“**Sublicense Agreement**” means any agreement or arrangement pursuant to which Licensee (or an Affiliate or Sublicensee) grants to any third party any license rights of Licensee under the Agreement.

“**Sublicense Fee**” means the fee specified in Section 3.1(d) of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Sublicensee**” means any entity to whom an express sublicense has been granted under the Patent Rights.

“**Territory**” means the territory so indicated as the Territory in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement.

“**Valid Claim**” means a claim of (i) an issued and unexpired patent included within the Patent Rights unless the claim has been held unenforceable or invalid by the final, un-reversed, and un-appealable decision of a court or other government body of competent jurisdiction, has been irretrievably abandoned or disclaimed, or has otherwise been finally admitted or determined to be invalid, un-patentable or unenforceable, whether through reissue, reexamination, disclaimer or otherwise, or (ii) a pending patent application within the Patent Rights to the extent the claim continues to be prosecuted in good faith provided that if a particular claim has not issued within five (5) years of its initial non-provisional, national-stage filing, it shall not be considered a Valid Claim for purposes of this Agreement unless and until such claim is included in an issued patent and qualifies under subsection (i) of this definition.

2. **License Grant and Commercialization**

2.1 Grant

- (a) Licensor grants to Licensee a royalty-bearing exclusive license (with the right to sublicense as set forth in Section 2.3) under Patent Rights for the Field and in the Territory to make, have made, distribute, have distributed,

use, offer for sale, sell, lease, loan, export and/or import Licensed Products.

- (b) This grant is subject to any rights of, or obligations to, the Government as set forth in Section 11.2 (Government Rights). Subject to Licensor's confidentiality obligations under Article 8, Licensor retains the right to practice the Patent Rights for its non-commercial teaching, research, education, and other educationally-related purposes, including the right to (i) publish the scientific findings from such research and (ii) grant third party not-for-profit institutions rights under the Patent Rights solely for non-commercial teaching, research, education, and other educationally-related purposes. In the event Licensor's Office of Technology Commercialization ("OTC") becomes aware of any proposed publication, including without limitation by George Georgiou, any researcher working with George Georgiou, or otherwise, that the OTC understands is likely to include the Licensed Products, then OTC agrees to use reasonable efforts to submit said publication for review by Licensee in advance of publication and to give due consideration to any responsive comments by Licensee. Said draft publication shall be treated as Licensor's Confidential Information pursuant to Section 8 hereof.
- (c) Licensor reserves all rights not expressly granted in the Agreement and disclaims the grant of any implied rights to Licensee.

2.2 Affiliates

Licensee may extend the license granted herein to any Affiliate provided that the Affiliate agrees in writing to be bound by the Agreement to the same extent as Licensee. Licensee agrees to deliver such written agreement to Licensor within thirty (30) calendar days following execution. No additional consideration above the consideration defined in this Patent License Agreement shall be due to Licensor in connection with such extension.

2.3 Sublicensing

Licensee and its Affiliates have the right to grant Sublicense Agreements under the Patent Rights. Each such Sublicense Agreement must be consistent with the terms of the Agreement, subject to the following:

- (a) Each Sublicensee shall be a party to a Sublicense Agreement that is consistent with, no less protective of Licensor's rights than, and does not conflict with, the terms of this Agreement, and shall include terms and conditions reasonably sufficient to enable Licensee to comply with the terms of this Agreement, including Sublicensor's right to terminate such Sublicense Agreement if Sublicensee challenges the validity of any Patent Rights in any legal proceeding. Each Sublicense Agreement shall be granted for material consideration. In the event of termination of the

Agreement, continued sublicense rights shall be governed by Section 7.5(a) (Effect of Termination). Sublicensees shall have the unlimited right to grant further sublicenses under any sublicense granted by the Licensee or its Affiliates pursuant to this Agreement, provided that (i) a sublicensee of such Sublicensee shall not have the right to grant further sublicenses without the prior written consent of Licensor, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed, (ii) any sub-sublicense complies with the terms of this section, and (iii) such sub-sublicense is granted only to the extent it is necessary for commercialization of Licensed Products.

- (b) Licensor shall be given a true, complete, and correct copy of each Sublicense Agreement granted by Licensee or an Affiliate, and any modification or termination thereof, within thirty (30) days following the applicable execution of the respective Sublicense Agreement or any amendment to such Sublicense Agreement, and notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, such Sublicense Agreement shall be deemed Licensee's Confidential Information. If the Sublicense Agreement is not in English, Licensee shall provide Licensor an accurate English translation of the sublicense. Licensee may redact portions of the Sublicense Agreement which constitute sensitive confidential information, as long as such information is not necessary to calculate or verify amounts owed to Licensor under this Patent License Agreement. Licensee will use good faith efforts to obtain the right to disclose to Licensor copies of any sub-sublicense agreements in a manner consistent with the provisions of this Section 2.3(b).
- (c) Notwithstanding any such Sublicense Agreement, Licensee will remain primarily liable to Licensor for all of the Licensee's duties and obligations contained in the Agreement, including without limitation the payment of running royalties due under Section 3.2.

2.4 Diligent Commercialization

Licensee by itself, or through its Affiliates and Sublicensees, will use Commercially Reasonable Efforts to research, develop and commercialize at least one Licensed Product in the Field in the Territory. Without limiting the foregoing, Licensee will fulfill the Diligence Milestones specified in Section 2.4 of the Patent License Agreement by the deadlines indicated therein and (c) use diligent and Commercially Reasonable Efforts to perform and complete the plans described in the annual report submitted pursuant to Section 4.2 (Annual Written Progress Report). Licensor hereby agrees that the efforts of Sublicensees, Affiliates, and any third party contractors shall be deemed the acts of Licensee for purposes of satisfying this Section 2.4, and for the purposes of fees due under Section 3.1(b) of the Patent License Agreement.

3. Compensation

In consideration of rights granted to Licensee, Licensee will pay Licensor the following fees and royalties. All fees and royalties are not refundable and are not creditable against other fees and royalties. Each payment will reference the Patent License Agreement number and will be sent to Licensor's payment and accounting contact in Section 18 (Notices) of the Patent License Agreement.

3.1 Non-Royalty Payments due from Licensee

- (a) *Patent Expenses.* Licensee will reimburse Licensor for the past patent expenses stated in Section 3.1(a) of the Patent License Agreement within thirty (30) days after the Effective Date. The stated amount is the current estimate for past patent expenses based on invoices received by the Licensor through the stated date. Licensee's obligations to pay all past and future patent expenses pursuant to Section 6 (Patent Expenses and Prosecution) will not be limited by such amount.

Milestone Fees. Licensee will pay Milestone Fees indicated in Section 3.1(b) of the Patent License Agreement by the Quarterly Payment Deadline for the Contract Quarter in which the Milestone Events set forth in Section 3.1(b) of the Patent License Agreement are achieved. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, each Milestone Fee is payable only once under this Agreement, with respect to the initial accomplishment thereof, regardless of the number of Licensed Products (or indications therefor) or the number of times such Milestone Event may be achieved. [*]

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

- (c) *Scheduled License Fees.* Licensee will pay license fees in the amounts set forth in Sections 3.1(c) of the Patent License Agreement. Licensor will invoice Licensee for such fees in accordance with the stated schedule (i.e., thirty (30) days prior to the due date). Such invoices will be due and payable within thirty (30) days from the date of invoice.
- (d) *Sublicense Fees.* Licensee will pay Sublicense Fees indicated in Section 3.1(d) of the Patent License Agreement on or before the Quarterly Payment Deadline for the Contract Quarter.
- (e) *Assignment Fee.* In the event Licensee assigns this Patent License Agreement to a third party that is not an Affiliate of Licensee, or if Licensee assigns this Patent License Agreement in connection with a Change of Control, Licensee will pay the Assignment Fee forth in Section 3.1(e) of this Agreement within fifteen (15) days of the effective date of such assignment. Assignment Fee shall not be due for an assignment of this Patent License Agreement to an Affiliate of Licensee that is not made in connection with a Change of Control; provided, however, that if such Affiliate ceases to be an Affiliate of Licensee, then an Assignment Fee

shall become due and payable to Licensor within fifteen (15) days after such Affiliate ceases to be an Affiliate of Licensee. Assignments are further governed by Section 15 below.

3.2 Royalties

Licensee will pay a running royalty at the rate set forth in Section 3.2 of the Patent License Agreement [*], payable on or before the Quarterly Payment Deadline for such Contract Quarter, subject to the following:

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

- (b) No more than one royalty shall be paid to Licensor hereunder with respect to the sale of any one unit of Licensed Product, whether or not more than one patent or Valid Claim is applicable to the Licensed Product, or the development, manufacture, or performance thereof.
- (c) If Licensee, an Affiliate, or a Sublicensee reasonably determines that it is necessary or advisable to obtain a license to any patent(s) or patent application(s) owned, licensed, or controlled by a third party in any country of the Territory, then Licensee shall be entitled to deduct [*] of the consideration paid to any such third party for any such rights in a particular country (such consideration, “Third Party Royalties”) from any payments due Licensor under Section 3.2(a) of this Agreement for Net Product Sales in that particular country, provided that such amounts payable shall not be reduced, with respect to any Contract Quarter below [*] of the amounts otherwise due Licensor with respect to such Contract Quarter for said Net Product Sales without such offset (with any amount of any such consideration not used to reduce payments due Licensor hereunder as a result of such limit remaining available for deduction from amounts due Licensor in future Contract Quarter for Net Product Sales in that particular country, subject to such [*] limit in each Contract Quarter) Licensee, Affiliate, or Sublicensee reasonably determines that it is necessary or advisable to obtain a license to any patent(s) or patent application(s) owned, licensed, or controlled by a Third Party
- (d) Should a compulsory license be granted, or be the subject of a possible grant, to a third party under the applicable laws, rules, regulations, guidelines, or other directives of any Government in the Territory under the Patent Rights, the Party receiving notice thereof or otherwise becoming aware thereof shall promptly notify the other Party thereof, including any material information concerning such compulsory license, and the total amount payable under Section 3.2(a) with respect to sales of Licensed Products in such country will be adjusted to match any lower amount such third party may be allowed to pay with respect to the sales of such Licensed Products in such country, with such lower amount subject to further adjustments pursuant to Sections 3.2(c) above.

- (e) Subject to any earlier termination of this Agreement, amounts due under Section 3.2(a) shall only be payable on a country-by-country and Licensed Product-by-Licensed Product basis for Licensed Products that are made or sold in a particular country prior to the first date on which there are no Valid Claims of any Patent Right Covering such Licensed Product in such country, (such period for a particular Licensed Product in a particular country, the “Royalty Term” for such Licensed Product in such country) .

3.3 Non-cash Consideration

If Licensee receives or anticipates receipt of non-cash consideration from sales of Licensed Products or Sublicense Agreements, the manner in which Licensor will receive its compensation under the Agreement with respect to such non-cash consideration will be negotiated in good faith and timely agreed to by the Parties.

4. **Reports and Plans**

The reports specified in this Section 4 will be sent to Licensor’s payment and reporting contact identified in Section 18 (Notices) of the Patent License Agreement. Any special formatting requirements for such reports shall be mutually agreed upon in writing by Licensor and Licensee.

4.1 Quarterly Payment and Milestone Reports

On or before each Quarterly Payment Deadline, Licensee will deliver to Licensor a true and accurate report, certified by an officer of Licensee, giving such particulars of the business conducted by Licensee, its Affiliates and its Sublicensees (including copies of reports provided by Sublicensees and Affiliates to Licensee) during the preceding Contract Quarter under the Agreement as necessary for Licensor to account for Licensee’s payments hereunder, even if no payments are due. The reports shall continue to be delivered after the termination or expiration of the Agreement until such time as all Licensed Products permitted to be sold after termination or expiration have been sold or destroyed. Licensee shall provide information in sufficient detail to enable the royalties payable hereunder to be determined and to calculate all of the amounts payable under the Agreement. The report shall include:

- (a) The name of the Licensee, the Patent License Agreement number, and the period covered by the report;
- (b) The name of any Affiliates and Sublicensees whose activities are also covered by the report;
- (c) Identification of each Licensed Product for which any royalty payments have become payable;
- (d) Net Product Sales segregated on a product-by-product basis, and a country-by-country basis, or an affirmative statement that no Sales were

made. The report shall also itemize the permitted deductions from the gross revenue used to arrive at the resulting Net Product Sales on a product-by-product basis;

- (e) The applicable royalty rate;
- (f) An affirmative statement of whether any milestones with deadlines in that Contract Quarter under Section 2.4 and any milestones under Section 3.1(b) were met or not, and the resulting Milestone Fee payable;
- (g) Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration received by Licensee segregated on a Sublicense Agreement - by-Sublicense Agreement basis, or an affirmative statement that none was received;
- (h) If any consideration was received in currencies other than U.S. dollars, the report shall describe the currency exchange calculations; and
- (i) Any changes in accounting methodologies used to account for and calculate the items included in the report since the previous report.

4.2 Annual Written Progress Report and Commercialization Plan

Within forty-five (45) days following the end of each Contract Year until the year following the year in which the first commercial sale of a Licensed Product occurred, Licensee will deliver to Licensor a true and accurate written progress report and commercialization plan, certified by an officer of Licensee, that summarizes (i) Licensee's efforts and accomplishments during the Contract Year to diligently commercialize Licensed Products, and (ii) Licensee's development and commercialization plans with respect to Licensed Products for the next Contract Year. The report shall also cover such activities by Affiliates and Sublicensees. The report shall contain the following information to the extent relevant to the activities under the Agreement:

- (a) The name of the Licensee, the Patent License Agreement number, the names of any Affiliates and Sublicensees, and the products and services being developed and/or commercialized;
- (b) The progress toward completing and the plans for completing the applicable milestone events pursuant to Sections 2.4 and 3.1(b);
- (c) The research and development activities, including status and plans for obtaining any necessary governmental approvals, performed during the past year, and the plans for research and development activities for the next year; and
- (d) The marketing activities for the past year and planned for the next year, and Licensee's internal estimate for sales for the next year.

5. Payment, Records, and Audits

5.1 Payments

All amounts referred to in the Patent License Agreement are expressed in U.S. dollars without deductions for taxes, assessments, fees, or charges of any kind. Each payment will reference the agreement number set forth at the beginning of the Patent License Agreement. All payments to Licensor will be made in U.S. dollars by check or wire transfer (Licensee to pay all wire transfer fees) payable to the payee identified in Section 18 of the Patent License Agreement and sent to the payment and reporting contact in Section 18 (Notices) of the Patent License Agreement.

5.2 Sales Outside the U.S.

If any currency conversion shall be required in connection with the calculation of payments hereunder, such conversion shall be made using the rate used by Licensee for its financial reporting purposes in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (or foreign equivalent) or, in the absence of such rate, using the average of the buying and selling exchange rate for conversion between the foreign currency and U.S. Dollars, for current transactions as reported in *The Wall Street Journal* on the last business days of the Contract Quarter to which such payment pertains. Licensee may not make any tax withholdings from payments to Licensor, but Licensor agrees to supply to Licensee, upon written request, appropriate evidence from appropriate U.S. governmental agencies showing that Licensor is a resident of the United States of America for purposes of the U.S. income tax laws and is tax-exempt under such income tax laws.

5.3 Late Payments

Undisputed amounts that are not paid when due will accrue a late charge from the due date until paid, at a rate equal to 1.0% per month (or the maximum allowed by law, if less).

5.4 Records

For a period of four years after the Contract Quarter to which the records pertain, Licensee agrees that it and its Affiliates and Sublicensees will each keep complete and accurate records of their Net Product Sales, Milestone Fees, and Non-Royalty Sublicensing Consideration in sufficient detail to enable such payments to be determined and audited.

5.5 Auditing

Licensee and its Affiliates will permit an independent certified public accountant designated by Licensor and approved in writing by Licensee (which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld or delayed), at Licensor's expense, to examine

books, ledgers, and records relating solely to amounts payable hereunder, during regular business hours, at Licensee's or its Affiliate's place of business, on at least thirty (30) days advance notice, to the extent necessary to verify any payment required under the Agreement. For each Sublicensee, Licensee shall obtain such audit rights for Licensor or itself. If Licensee obtains such audit rights for itself, it will promptly conduct an audit of the Sublicensee's records upon Licensor's request and at Licensor's expense, and Licensee will furnish to Licensor a copy of the findings from such audit. No more than one audit of Licensee, each Affiliate, and each Sublicensee shall be conducted under this Section 5.5 in any calendar year. If any amounts due Licensor have been underpaid, then Licensee shall immediately pay Licensor the amount of such underpayment plus accrued interest due in accordance with Section 5.3. If the amount of underpayment is equal to or greater than 5% of the total amount due for the records so examined, Licensee will pay the cost of such audit. Such audits may, if mutually agreed upon in writing by Licensor and Licensee, consist of a self-audit conducted by Licensee at Licensor's expense and certified in writing by an authorized officer of Licensee. If the amounts due Licensor have been overpaid, the balance of overpayment shall be credited toward the next payment of monies owed Licensor. All information examined pursuant to this Section 5.5 shall be deemed to be the Confidential Information of the Licensee.

6. Patent Expenses and Prosecution

6.1 Patent Expenses

Licensee shall reimburse Licensor for all past documented, out-of-pocket expenses incurred by Licensor for filing, prosecuting, enforcing, defending and maintaining Patent Rights and related patent searches through the Effective Date of the Agreement, including those identified in Section 3.1(a) of the Patent License Agreement, and all such future expenses incurred by Licensor, for so long as, and in such countries as the Agreement remains in effect. Licensee will reimburse such patent expenses, within thirty (30) days after Licensee's receipt of an invoice, with such payment being made either directly to Prosecution Counsel or to Licensor, as elected by Licensor in writing on or before the date of the applicable invoice. Patent expense payment delinquencies (whether owed directly to Prosecution Counsel or to Licensor) that are not in dispute in good faith will be considered a payment default under Section 7.3(a).

6.2 Direction of Prosecution

Licensor will apply for, prosecute, and maintain during the term of this Agreement, the Patent Rights in the United States and in the foreign countries listed in Schedule 6.2 hereto. Licensor will confer with Licensee to develop a strategy for the prosecution and maintenance of Patent Rights. Licensor will request that copies of all documents prepared by the Prosecution Counsel for submission to governmental patent offices be provided to Licensee for review and comment prior to filing, to the extent practicable under the circumstances.

Licensee will be given reasonable opportunities to advise Licensor in the filing, prosecution, and maintenance of Patent Rights. At Licensee's request, and reasonably in advance of any filing, fee, or other action deadlines, Licensee shall be provided with copies of all prosecution documents relating to Patent Rights so that Licensee may have the opportunity to offer comments and remarks thereon, such comments and remarks to be given due consideration by Licensor. At its discretion, Licensor may allow Licensee to instruct Prosecution Counsel directly, provided, that (a) Licensor will maintain final authority in all decisions regarding the prosecution and maintenance of the Patent Rights, (b) Licensor may revoke this authorization to instruct Prosecution Counsel directly at any time, and (c) the Prosecution Counsel remains counsel to the Licensor with an appropriate contract (and shall not jointly represent Licensee unless requested by Licensee and approved by Licensor, and an appropriate engagement letter and conflict waiver are in effect). If Licensee wishes to instruct Prosecution Counsel directly or change Prosecution Counsel, Licensee may request to do so by following the Licensor's procedures for such. Licensor reserves in its sole discretion the ability to change Prosecution Counsel and to approve or disapprove any requested changes by Licensee. The Parties agree that they share a common legal interest to get valid enforceable patents and that Licensee will maintain as privileged all information received pursuant to this Section.

6.3 Ownership

All patent applications and patents will be in the name of Licensor (and any co-owner identified in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement) and owned by Licensor (and such co-owner, if any). No payments due under the Patent License Agreement will be reduced solely as the result of co-ownership interests in the Patent Rights by Licensee or any other party.

6.4 Additional Foreign Filings

If Licensee wishes to pursue patent protection in countries other than the U.S., and the foreign countries listed in Schedule 6.2 hereto, then (i) Licensee shall notify Licensor in writing, subject to applicable bar dates, of such foreign countries in sufficient time to reasonably enable the preparation of such additional filings, (ii) Licensor will apply for, prosecute, and maintain during the term of this Agreement, the Patent Rights in such foreign countries, and (iii) Schedule 6.2 shall be automatically amended to include such foreign countries. If Licensee notifies Licensor in writing that it does not choose to pursue patent rights in a particular foreign country and Licensor chooses to do so, Licensor shall so notify Licensee and thereafter said patent application or patent shall no longer be included in the Patent Rights and Licensee shall have no further rights thereto.

6.5 Withdrawal from Paying Patent Costs

If at any time Licensee wishes to cease paying for any costs for a particular Patent Right or for patent prosecution in a particular jurisdiction, Licensee must give

Licensor at least ninety (90) days prior written notice and Licensee will continue to be obligated to pay for the patent costs which reasonably accrue during said notice period. Thereafter, said patent application or patent shall no longer be included in the Patent Rights and Licensee shall have no further rights thereto.

6.6 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Entity Size Status

Licensee represents that as of the Effective Date the entity size status of Licensee in accordance with the regulations of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is as set forth in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement. Licensee will inform Licensor in writing on a timely basis of any change in its U.S. Patent and Trademark Office entity size status.

7. **Term and Termination**

7.1 Term

Unless earlier terminated as provided herein, the term of the Agreement will commence on the Effective Date and continue on a country-by-country and Licensed Product-by-Licensed Product basis, until the expiration of the Royalty Term for a particular Licensed Product in a particular country (with the entire Agreement expiring on the expiration of the last-to-expire Royalty Term).

7.2 Termination by Licensee

Licensee, at its option, may terminate the Agreement by providing Licensor written notice of intent to terminate, which such termination effective will be ninety (90) days following receipt of such notice by Licensor.

7.3 Termination by Licensor

Licensor, at its option, may immediately terminate the Agreement, or any part of Patent Rights, or any part of Field, or any part of Territory, or the exclusive nature of the license grant, upon delivery of written notice to Licensee of Licensor's decision to terminate, if any of the following occur:

- (a) Licensee becomes in arrears in any payments due under the Agreement, and Licensee fails to make the required payment within thirty (30) days after delivery of written notice from Licensor; or
- (b) Licensee is in breach of any non-payment provision of the Agreement, and does not cure such breach within sixty (60) days after delivery of written notice from Licensor; or

Licensor delivers notice to Licensee of [*], even in the event that Licensee cures such breaches in the allowed period, but only if [*]; or

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

- (d) Licensee or its Affiliate or Sublicensee participates in any proceeding or action to challenge the validity, enforceability, or scope of one or more of the Patent Rights. Provided however, this section shall not be applicable in the context of a Sublicensee or Affiliate defending against a patent infringement suit initiated by licensor, or if Licensee terminates a Sublicensee (in the event Sublicensee sues Licensor) within 30 days of receiving notice from Licensor that they are being sued by the Sublicensee.

7.4 Other Conditions of Termination

The Agreement will terminate:

- (a) Immediately without the necessity of any action being taken by Licensor or Licensee, (i) if Licensee files for bankruptcy under Chapter 7 or Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Act or becomes insolvent, or (ii) Licensee's Board of Directors elects to liquidate its assets or dissolve its business, or (iii) Licensee makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors or (iv) if the business or assets of Licensee are otherwise placed in the hands of a receiver, assignee for the protection of creditors or trustee, whether by voluntary act of Licensee or otherwise; or
- (b) At any time by mutual written agreement between Licensee and Licensor.

7.5 Effect of Termination

If the Agreement is terminated for any reason:

- (a) If a Sublicensee is in good standing under its Sublicense Agreement without any uncured defaults that would otherwise have entitled the Licensee to terminate such Sublicense Agreement, the Sublicensee may request Licensor to grant a direct license to the Sublicensee on comparable terms; which request must be in writing and received by Licensor not later than thirty (30) days after any termination of the Agreement. If Licensor determines that the Sublicensee is well qualified to continue as a direct licensee, Licensor will not unreasonably withhold consent for such request; in which event, said Sublicensee and Licensor will enter into a new mutually approved written license agreement that endeavors to preserve the essential benefits for each party that they enjoyed under the prior Agreement and Sublicense Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, during the period between the termination of the Agreement and the date on which such a mutually approved written license agreement is consummated between the Sublicensee and Licensor, the Sublicense Agreement shall be deemed to continue with the Licensor directly. If no such mutually approved written license agreement is consummated between the Sublicensee and Licensor, the Sublicense Agreement shall be deemed to be terminated.

- (b) Licensee shall cease making, having made, distributing, having distributed, using, selling, offering to sell, leasing, loaning and importing any Licensed Products by the effective date of termination; and
- (c) Licensee shall tender payment of all accrued royalties and other accrued payments due to Licensor in accordance with the payment terms hereof; and
- (d) Nothing in the Agreement will be construed to release either Party from any obligation that matured prior to the effective date of termination; and
- (e) The provisions of Sections 8 (Confidentiality), 9 (Infringement and Litigation), 11 (Representations and Disclaimers), 12 (Limit of Liability), 13 (Indemnification), 14 (Insurance), 17 (Use of Name), 18 (Notices), and 19 (General Provisions) will survive any termination or expiration of the Agreement. In addition, the provisions of Sections 3 (Compensation), 4.1 (Quarterly Payment and Milestone Reports), 5 (Payment, Records and Audits), and 6.1 (Patent Expenses) shall survive with respect to all activities and payment obligations accruing prior to the termination or expiration of the Agreement.

8. Confidentiality

8.1 Definition

“Confidential Information” means all information that is of a confidential or proprietary nature to Licensor or Licensee and provided by one Party to the other Party under the Agreement.

8.2 Protection and Marking

Licensor and Licensee each agree that all Confidential Information disclosed in tangible form, and marked “confidential” and forwarded to one by the other, or if disclosed orally, is designated as confidential at the time of disclosure: (i) is to be held in strict confidence by the receiving Party, (ii) is to be used by and under authority of the receiving Party only as authorized in the Agreement, and (iii) shall not be disclosed by the receiving Party, its agents or employees without the prior written consent of the disclosing Party or as authorized in the Agreement. Licensee has the right to use and disclose Confidential Information of Licensor reasonably in connection with the exercise of its rights under the Agreement, including without limitation disclosing to Affiliates, Sublicensees, potential investors, acquirers, and others on a need to know basis, if such Confidential Information is provided under conditions which reasonably protect the confidentiality thereof. Each Party’s obligation of confidence hereunder includes, without limitation, using at least the same degree of care with the disclosing Party’s Confidential Information as it uses to protect its own Confidential Information, but always at least a reasonable degree of care.

8.3 Confidentiality of Terms of Agreement

Each Party agrees not to disclose to any third party the terms of the Agreement without the prior written consent of the other Party hereto, except each Party may disclose the terms of the Agreement: (a) to advisors, actual or potential sublicensees, acquirers or investors, and others on a need to know basis, in each case, under appropriate confidentiality obligations substantially similar to those of this Section 8; and (b) to the extent necessary to comply with applicable laws and court orders (including, without limitation, The Texas Public Information Act, as may be amended from time to time, other open records laws, decisions and rulings, and securities laws, regulations and guidance). Notwithstanding the foregoing, the existence of the Agreement shall not be considered Confidential Information.

8.4 Disclosure Required by Court Order or Law

If the receiving Party is required to disclose Confidential Information of another Party hereto, or any terms of the Agreement, pursuant to the order or requirement of a court, administrative agency, or other governmental body or applicable law, the receiving Party may disclose such Confidential Information or terms to the extent required, provided that the receiving Party shall use reasonable efforts to provide the disclosing Party with reasonable advance notice thereof to enable the disclosing Party to seek a protective order and otherwise seek to prevent such disclosure. To the extent that Confidential Information so disclosed does not become part of the public domain by virtue of such disclosure, it shall remain Confidential Information protected pursuant to Section 8.

8.5 Copies

Each Party agrees not to copy or record any of the Confidential Information of the other Party, except as reasonably necessary to exercise its rights or perform its obligations under the Agreement, and for archival and legal purposes.

8.6 Continuing Obligations

Subject to the exclusions listed in Section 8.7, the Parties' confidentiality obligations under the Agreement will survive termination of the Agreement and will continue for a period of five (5) years thereafter.

8.7 Exclusions

Information shall not be considered Confidential Information of a disclosing Party under the Agreement to the extent that the receiving Party can establish by competent written proof that such information:

- (a) Was in the public domain at the time of disclosure; or

- (b) Later became part of the public domain through no act or omission of the recipient Party, its employees, agents, successors or assigns in breach of the Agreement; or
- (c) Was lawfully disclosed to the recipient Party by a third party having the right to disclose it not under an obligation of confidentiality; or
- (d) Was already known by the recipient Party at the time of disclosure; or
- (e) Was independently developed by the recipient Party without use of the disclosing Party's Confidential Information.

8.8 Copyright Notice

The placement of a copyright notice on any Confidential Information will not be construed to mean that such information has been published and will not release the other Party from its obligation of confidentiality hereunder.

9. **Infringement and Litigation**

9.1 Notification

If either Licensor's designated office for technology commercialization or Licensee becomes aware of any alleged, potential or actual infringement of Patent Rights, each Party shall promptly notify the other of such in writing. Within two (2) business days of such notification (the "SRA Notice Period"), Licensor agrees to notify Licensee in writing if the infringing party is a party to a sponsored research agreement with the Licensor [*].

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

9.2 Licensee's Enforcement Rights

With respect to any potential infringement of the Patent Rights, Licensee shall have the first and primary right, but not the obligation, to, at its expense, initiate, prosecute, and control any action or legal proceedings, and/or enter into a settlement, including any declaratory judgment action, with respect thereto. In the event Licensor provides Licensee with an SRA Notice during the SRA Notice Period, Licensee shall not file an infringement lawsuit against the third party identified in such SRA Notice for at least [*] from the date of such SRA Notice. In any such litigation brought by Licensee, Licensee shall have the right to use and sue in Licensor's name and join Licensor as a party to such litigation only with the prior written consent of Licensor, and Licensor shall cooperate reasonably with respect thereto, as requested and at the expense of Licensee. If, within one hundred eighty (180) calendar days of the notice in Section 9.1, Licensee has neither brought, nor is Licensee diligently prosecuting an infringement or other action with respect to such potential infringement, then Licensor shall have the right, at its expense, to bring suit to enforce such Patent Rights against such potential infringer, at its own expense, unless Licensee has provided Licensor with a reasonable strategic rationale for not taking action to

terminate such potential infringement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Licensor shall not, and shall not permit any third party to, proceed against an alleged infringer of the Patent Rights in the Territory (1) unless significant damages are reasonably expected to be recovered from the infringer in such proceeding and (2) without first consulting with Licensee regarding the strategy for such proceeding and considering in good faith Licensee's comments regarding such proceeding.

9.3 Litigation Control

The Party pursuing or controlling any action or defense under Section 9.2 (the "Controlling Party") shall be free to enter into a settlement, consent judgment, or other voluntary disposition of any such action or defense, provided, however, that (i) the Controlling Party shall consult with the other Party (the "Secondary Party") prior to entering into any settlement or voluntary disposition thereof, (ii) any settlement, consent judgment or other voluntary disposition of such actions which (1) subjects the Secondary Party to any non-indemnified liability or obligation or (2) admits fault or wrongdoing on the part of Secondary Party must, in each case, be approved in advance and in writing by the Secondary Party, (iii) any settlement, consent judgment or other voluntary disposition of such actions which materially limits the scope, validity, or enforceability of, or otherwise may adversely affect, any Patent Rights shall not be entered into, consented to, approved, or agreed upon without the other Party's prior written approval, and (iv) any settlement, consent judgment or other voluntary disposition of such actions that would reasonably be expected to materially adversely affect the Patent Rights or the ability of Licensee to manufacture, use, market or sell Licensed Products shall not be entered into, consented to, approved, or agreed upon without Licensee's prior written consent. With respect to clause (ii) or (iii) above in this Section 9.3, the Secondary Party shall provide the Controlling Party notice of its approval or denial of such approval within [*] business days of any request for such approval by the Controlling Party, provided that (X) in the event Secondary Party wishes to deny such approval, such notice shall include a written description summarizing the Secondary Party's reasonable objections to the proposed settlement, consent judgment, or other voluntary disposition and (Y) Secondary Party shall be deemed to have approved such proposed settlement, consent judgment, or other voluntary disposition in the event it fails to provide such notice within such [*] business day period.

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

9.4 Sharing Net Recovery

Any recovery or damages received by the Controlling Party with respect to the infringement of the rights to the Patent Rights granted under this Agreement, or in settlement of any matter subject to Section 9.2 shall (i) first be used to reimburse the Parties pro rata for unreimbursed reasonable, documented litigation expenses (excluding, with respect to any costs or expenses incurred by Licensor, compensation of any employees or consultants of Licensor or any Affiliate thereof) incurred in connection with such action or settlement, and the remainder shall be split [*] to Controlling Party and [*] to Secondary Party. Notwithstanding

the foregoing, the Secondary Party, at its expense, shall have the right to be represented by counsel of its choice in any proceeding governed by this Section.

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

10. **Export Compliance**

Licensee understands that the Arms Export Control Act (AECA), including its implementing International Traffic In Arms Regulations (ITAR), and the Export Administration Act (EAA), including its Export Administration Regulations (EAR), are some (but not all) of the laws and regulations that comprise the U.S. export laws and regulations. Licensee further understands that the U.S. export laws and regulations include (but are not limited to): (a) ITAR and EAR product/service/data-specific requirements; (b) ITAR and EAR ultimate destination-specific requirements; (c) ITAR and EAR end user-specific requirements; (d) Foreign Corrupt Practices Act; and (e) anti-boycott laws and regulations. Licensee will comply with all then-current applicable export laws and regulations of the U.S. Government (and other applicable U.S. laws and regulations) pertaining to the Licensed Products (including any associated products, items, articles, computer software, media, services, technical data, and other information). Licensee certifies that it will not, directly or indirectly, export (including any deemed export), nor re-export (including any deemed re-export) the Licensed Products (including any associated products, items, article's, computer software, media, services, technical data, and other information) in violation of applicable U.S. laws and regulations. Licensee will include a provision in its agreements, substantially similar to this Section 10, with its Sublicensees, third party wholesalers and distributors, and physicians, hospitals or other healthcare providers who purchase a Licensed Product, requiring that these parties comply with all then-current applicable U.S. export laws and regulations and other applicable U.S. laws and regulations.

11. **Representations and Disclaimers**

11.1 Licensor Representations

Except for the rights, if any, of the Government as set forth in Section 11.2, Licensor represents and warrants to Licensee that to the knowledge of Licensor's Office of Technology Commercialization (i) Licensor is the owner of the entire right, title, and interest in and to Patent Rights (other than the right, title and interest of any joint owner identified in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement), (ii) Licensor has the right to grant licenses hereunder, (iii) Licensor has not knowingly granted and will not knowingly grant licenses or other rights under the Patent Rights that are in conflict with the terms and conditions in the Agreement, (iv) Licensor's execution and performance of this Agreement will not result in a breach of any other contract to which it is, or will become, a party, and (v) OTC has not received any written notification, alleging that the Patent Rights are invalid or unenforceable or that the exercise by Licensee of any rights granted hereunder will infringe on or constitute misappropriation of any patent or other proprietary right of any third party.

11.2 Government Rights

Licensee understands that Patent Rights may have been developed under a funding agreement with Government and, if so, that Government may have certain rights relative thereto. The Agreement is made subject to the Government's rights under any such agreement and under any applicable Government law or regulation. To the extent that there is a conflict between any such agreement, such applicable law or regulation and the Agreement, the terms of such Government agreement, and applicable law or regulation, shall prevail. Licensee agrees that, to the extent required by U.S. laws and regulations, Licensed Products used or sold in the U.S. will be manufactured substantially in the U.S., unless a written waiver is obtained in advance from the U.S. Government.

11.3 Licensor Disclaimers

EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY SET FORTH IN SECTION 11.1, LICENSEE UNDERSTANDS AND AGREES THAT LICENSOR MAKES NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, AS TO THE LICENSED PRODUCTS OR LICENSED SERVICES, OR AS TO THE OPERABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY USE OR PARTICULAR PURPOSE, MERCHANTABILITY, SAFETY, EFFICACY, APPROVABILITY BY REGULATORY AUTHORITIES, TIME AND COST OF DEVELOPMENT, PATENTABILITY, AND/OR BREADTH OF PATENT RIGHTS. LICENSOR MAKES NO REPRESENTATION AS TO WHETHER ANY PATENT WITHIN PATENT RIGHTS IS VALID, OR AS TO WHETHER THERE ARE ANY PATENTS NOW HELD, OR WHICH WILL BE HELD, BY OTHERS OR BY LICENSOR THAT MIGHT BE REQUIRED FOR USE OF PATENT RIGHTS IN FIELD. NOTHING IN THE AGREEMENT WILL BE CONSTRUED AS CONFERRING BY IMPLICATION, ESTOPPEL OR OTHERWISE ANY LICENSE OR RIGHTS TO ANY PATENTS OR TECHNOLOGY OF LICENSOR OTHER THAN THE PATENT RIGHTS, WHETHER SUCH PATENTS ARE DOMINANT OR SUBORDINATE TO THE PATENT RIGHTS. LICENSOR HAS NO OBLIGATION TO FURNISH TO LICENSEE ANY KNOW-HOW, TECHNOLOGY OR TECHNOLOGICAL INFORMATION.

11.4 Licensee Representation

By execution of the Agreement, Licensee represents, acknowledges, covenants and agrees (a) that Licensee has not been induced in any way by Licensor or its employees to enter into the Agreement, and (b) that Licensee has been given an opportunity to conduct sufficient due diligence with respect to all items and issues pertaining to this Section 11 (Representations and Disclaimers) and all other matters pertaining to the Agreement; and (c) that Licensee has adequate knowledge and expertise, or has utilized knowledgeable and expert consultants, to adequately conduct the due diligence, and (d) that Licensee accepts all risks

inherent herein. Licensee represents that it is a duly organized, validly existing entity of the form indicated in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement, and is in good standing under the laws of its jurisdiction of organization as indicated in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement, and has all necessary corporate or other appropriate power and authority to execute, deliver and perform its obligations hereunder.

12. **Limit of Liability**

IN NO EVENT SHALL LICENSOR, THE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM IT GOVERNS, ITS MEMBER INSTITUTIONS, INVENTORS, REGENTS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, STUDENTS, AGENTS OR AFFILIATED ENTERPRISES (COLLECTIVELY, "LICENSOR COVERED PARTIES"), BE LIABLE FOR ANY INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, EXEMPLARY, OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFITS OR REVENUE) ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE AGREEMENT OR ITS SUBJECT MATTER, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER ANY SUCH PARTY KNOWS OR SHOULD KNOW OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

OTHER THAN FOR CLAIMS AGAINST LICENSEE FOR INDEMNIFICATION PROVIDED UNDER SECTION 13 WITH RESPECT TO THIRD PARTY CLAIMS, IN NO EVENT SHALL LICENSEE, ITS AFFILIATES OR SUBLICENSEES BE LIABLE TO LICENSOR COVERED PARTIES FOR ANY INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, EXEMPLARY OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFITS OR REVENUE) ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE AGREEMENT OR ITS SUBJECT MATTER, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER LICENSEE KNOWS OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

13. **Indemnification**

13.1 Indemnification Obligation

Subject to Section 13.2, Licensee agrees to hold harmless, defend and indemnify Licensor, the university system it governs, its member institutions, its Regents, officers, employees, students and agents (the "Indemnified Parties", or an "Indemnified Party") from and against any liabilities, damages, causes of action, suits, judgments, liens, penalties, fines, losses, costs and expenses (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and other expenses of litigation) (collectively "Liabilities") resulting from claims or demands brought by third parties against an Indemnified Party on account of any injury or death of persons, damage to property, or any other damage or loss arising out of the exercise or practice by or under authority of Licensee, its Affiliates or their Sublicensees, or third party wholesalers or distributors, or physicians, hospitals or other healthcare providers who purchase a Licensed Product, of the rights granted hereunder.

13.2 Conditions of Indemnification

Licensee shall have no responsibility or obligation under Section 13.1 for any Liabilities to the extent caused by the gross negligence or willful misconduct by an Indemnified Party. Obligations to indemnify, and hold harmless under Section 13.1 are subject to: (a) to the extent authorized by the Texas Constitution and the laws of the State of Texas, and subject to the statutory duties of the Texas Attorney General, the Indemnified Party giving Licensee control of the defense and settlement of the claim and demand; and (b) to the extent authorized by the Texas Constitution and the laws of the State of Texas and subject to statutory duties of the Texas Attorney General, the Indemnified Party providing assistance reasonably requested by Licensee, at Licensee's expense.

14. **Insurance**

14.1 Insurance Requirements

Prior to any Licensed Product being used in humans or sold (including for the purpose of obtaining regulatory approvals), by Licensee, an Affiliate, or by a Sublicensee, and for a period of five years after the Agreement expires or is terminated, Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, procure and maintain commercial general liability insurance or an equivalent program of self-insurance in commercially reasonable and appropriate amounts for the Licensed Product being used or sold. Licensee shall use commercially reasonable efforts to have Licensor named as an additional insured party. Such commercial general liability insurance shall provide, without limitation: (i) product liability coverage; (ii) broad form contractual liability coverage for Licensee's indemnification under the Agreement; and (iii) coverage for litigation costs.

14.2 Evidence of Insurance and Notice of Changes

Upon request by Licensor, Licensee shall provide Licensor with written evidence of such insurance. Additionally, Licensee shall provide Licensor with written notice of at least 30 days prior to Licensee cancelling, not renewing, or materially changing such insurance.

15. **Assignment**

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

The Agreement may not be assigned by Licensee without the prior written consent of Licensor, which consent will not be unreasonably withheld; provided, however, that Licensee shall be permitted to assign this Agreement to (i) any of its Affiliates, [*]. A Change of Control shall be considered an assignment of the Agreement. For any permitted assignment to be effective, (a) the Licensee must be in good standing under this Agreement, (b) the assignment fee, if applicable, shall be payable as specified in Section 3.1(e) of the Agreement (c) the assignee must assume in writing all of Licensee's interests, rights, duties and obligations under the Agreement and agree to comply with all terms and conditions of the Agreement as if the assignee were an original Party to the Agreement and, (d) provide written notice to the Licensor of such assignment no less

than 15 days after completion of such assignment. Any such assignment must be of all rights and obligations of Licensee, such that there is only one existing Licensee at any one time.

16. **Governmental Markings**

16.1 Patent Markings

To the extent reasonably practical, Licensee agrees to mark, and shall use commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that its Affiliates, licensees, and sublicensees mark, all Licensed Products with the number of any applicable patent(s) licensed hereunder as part of the Patent Rights in accordance with each country's patent marking laws, including Title 35, U.S. Code, or if such marking is not practicable, shall so mark the accompanying outer box or product insert for Licensed Products accordingly.

16.2 Governmental Approvals and Marketing of Licensed Products

Licensee will be responsible for obtaining all necessary Governmental approvals for the development, production, distribution, sale, and use of any Licensed Product, at Licensee's expense, including, without limitation, any safety studies. Licensee will have sole responsibility for any warning labels, packaging and instructions as to the use and the quality control for any Licensed Product.

16.3 Foreign Registration and Laws

Licensee agrees to register the Agreement with any foreign governmental agency that requires such registration; and Licensee will pay all costs and legal fees in connection with such registration. Licensee is responsible for compliance with all foreign laws affecting the Agreement or the Sale of Licensed Products to the extent there is no conflict with United States law, in which case United States law will control.

17. **Use of Name**

Licensee will not use the name, trademarks or other marks of Licensor (or the name of the university system it governs, its member institutions, any of its Regents or employees) without the advance written consent of Licensor; provided however, in connection with describing the Agreement to existing and prospective investors and Sublicensees, Licensee may identify Licensor's name as the licensor. Licensor may use Licensee's name and logo for annual reports, brochures, website, and internal reports without prior consent.

18. **Notices**

Any notice or other communication of the Parties required or permitted to be given or made under the Agreement will be in writing and will be deemed effective when sent in a manner that provides confirmation or acknowledgement of delivery and received at the

address set forth in Section 18 of the Patent License Agreement (or as changed by written notice pursuant to this Section 18). Notices required under the Agreement may be delivered via E-mail provided such notice is confirmed in writing as indicated.

Notices shall be provided to each Party as specified in the “Contact for Notice” address set forth in Section 18 of the Patent License Agreement. Each Party shall update the other Party in writing with any changes in such contact information.

19. **General Provisions**

19.1 Binding Effect

The Agreement is binding upon and inures to the benefit of the Parties hereto, their respective executors, administrators, heirs, permitted assigns, and permitted successors in interest.

19.2 Construction of Agreement

Headings are included for convenience only and will not be used to construe the Agreement. The Parties acknowledge and agree that both Parties substantially participated in negotiating the provisions of the Agreement; therefore, both Parties agree that any ambiguity in the Agreement shall not be construed more favorably toward one Party than the other Party, regardless of which Party primarily drafted the Agreement.

19.3 Counterparts and Signatures

The Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument. A Party may evidence its execution and delivery of the Agreement by transmission of a signed copy of the Agreement via facsimile or email.

19.4 Compliance with Laws

Licensee will comply with all applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations, including, without limitation, all export laws and regulations.

19.5 Governing Law

The Agreement will be construed and enforced in accordance with laws of the U.S. and the State of Texas, without regard to choice of law and conflicts of law principles.

19.6 Modification

Any modification of the Agreement will be effective only if it is in writing and signed by duly authorized representatives of both Parties. No modification will be made by email communications.

19.7 Severability

If any provision hereof is held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any jurisdiction, the Parties hereto shall negotiate in good faith a valid, legal and enforceable substitute provision that most nearly reflects the original intent of the Parties, and all other provisions hereof shall remain in full force and effect in such jurisdiction and shall be construed in order to carry out the intentions of the Parties hereto as nearly as may be possible. Such invalidity, illegality or unenforceability shall not affect the validity, legality or enforceability of such other provisions in any other jurisdiction, so long as the essential essence of the Agreement remains enforceable.

19.8 Third Party Beneficiaries

Nothing in the Agreement, express or implied, is intended to confer any benefits, rights or remedies on any entity, other than the Parties and their permitted successors and assigns. However, if there is a joint owner of any Patent Rights identified in Section 1 of the Patent License Agreement (other than Licensee), then Licensee hereby agrees that the following provisions of these Terms and Conditions extend to the benefit of the co-owner identified therein (excluding the Licensee to the extent it is a co-owner) as if such co-owner was identified in each reference to the Licensor but only if such co-owner is bound by all of Licensor's obligations under this Agreement: the retained rights under clause (b) of Section 2.1; Section 11.3 (Licensor Disclaimers); Section 12 (Limitation of Liability); Section 13 (Indemnification); Section 14.1 (Insurance Requirements); Section 17 (Use of Name); and Section 19.10 (Sovereign Immunity, if applicable).

19.9 Waiver

Neither Party will be deemed to have waived any of its rights under the Agreement unless the waiver is in writing and signed by such Party. No delay or omission of a Party in exercising or enforcing a right or remedy under the Agreement shall operate as a waiver thereof.

19.10 Sovereign Immunity

Nothing in the Agreement shall be deemed or treated as any waiver of Licensor's sovereign immunity.

19.11 Entire Agreement

The Agreement constitutes the entire Agreement between the Parties regarding the subject matter hereof, and supersedes all prior written or verbal agreements, representations and understandings relative to such matters.

19.12 Claims Against Licensor for Breach of Agreement

Licensee acknowledges that any claim for breach of the Agreement asserted by Licensee against Licensor shall be subject to Chapter 2260 of the Texas Government Code and that the process provided therein shall be Licensee's sole and exclusive process for seeking a remedy for any and all alleged breaches of the Agreement by Licensor or the State of Texas.

19.13 Grant of Security. Interest

Licensee hereby grants to Licensor a security interest in and to Licensee's rights under the Patent License Agreement, as collateral security for the payment by Licensee of any and all sums which may be owed from time to time by Licensee to Licensor. Licensor shall have all rights of a secured party as specified in the Texas Uniform Commercial Code relative to this security interest and the enforcement thereof. Licensee hereby authorizes Licensor to file with the appropriate governmental agencies appropriate UCC-1 financing statements to evidence this security interest.

— END OF EXHIBIT A —

Schedule 6.2

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

[*]

Licensee: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
The University of Texas at Austin
US 4915892v.1

CONFIDENTIAL Exclusive PLA
Schedule 6.2 Agreement No. PM1401501

Schedule 20.2

Docket No.	Title	Application No.	Registration No.
AEG545/4-002US US	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	12/610,685	8,440,184
AEG545/4-002REUS US	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	14/711,916 (Reissue of 12/610685)	
AEG545/4-002CONUS US	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	13/863,448	9,050,340
AEG545/4-002CON2US US	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	14/275,259	
AEG545/4-002CON3US US	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	15/270,955	
AEG545/4-002CA Canada	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	2742497	
AEG545/4-002EP Europe	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002CH Switzerland	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002DE Germany	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1 (DE 6020090375 82.2)	2350273
AEG545/4-002DK Denmark	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002DIVEP Europe	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	16163214.6	
AEG545/4-002ES Spain	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002FR France	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002GB United Kingdom	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002HK Hong Kong (EP)	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	12100429.7	2350273
AEG545/4-002IE Ireland	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-00211 Italy	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002JP Japan	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	2011-534855	5695570
AEG545/4-002NL Netherlands	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002ND Norway	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002PL Poland	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002SE Sweden	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	09824219.1	2350273
AEG545/4-002TR Turkey	Compositions of Engineered Human Arginases and Methods for Treating Cancer	TR 2016/07968	2350273
AEG545/4-003US US	Methods for Purifying Pegylated Arginase	13/380,776	8,679,479
AEG545/4-004US US	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	13/020,268	8,709,407
AEG545/4-004DIVUS US	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	14/225,518	9,279,119

Docket No.	Title	Application No.	Registration No.
AEG545/4-004DIV2US US	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	15/052,978	
AEG545/4-004AU Australia	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	2011212885	2011212885
AEG545/4-004CA Canada	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	2788689	
AEG545/4-004CN China	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	201180013307.X	
AEG545/4-004EP Europe	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	11740355.0	
AEG545/4-004HK Hong Kong (CN)	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	13106011.7	
AEG545/4-0041P Japan	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	2012-552084	5875990
AEG545/4-004KR Korea	Engineered Enzymes with Methionine-Gamma-Lyase Enzymes and Pharmacological Preparations Thereof	10-2012-7023176	

Licensee: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
The University of Texas at Austin
US 4915892v.1

CONFIDENTIAL
Schedule 20.2-2

Exclusive PLA
Agreement No. PM1401501

AMENDMENT #1 TO AMENDED AND RESTATED PATENT LICENSE AGREEMENT

This Amendment #1 to Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement (as defined below) is made and entered into as of the date of last signature below (“Amendment Effective Date”) by and between **Aeglea BioTherapeutics Inc.**, a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business at 901 S. MoPac Expressway, Barton Oaks Plaza One, Suite 250, Austin, Texas 78746 (“**Licensee**”) and the **University of Texas at Austin**, on behalf of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas System, a not-for-profit organization and agency of the State of Texas, having its principal place of business at 2935 W. Braker Lane, Suite 1.9A (R3500), Austin, Texas 78759 (“**Licensor**”), each a “Party” and collectively, “Parties”.

Background

A. Licensor and Licensee entered into an Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement (UTA No. PM1401501) with an Effective Date of January 31st, 2017 (“ARPLA”). Capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have meanings given to them in the ARPLA.

B. Licensor and Licensee wish to amend the ARPLA as set forth below in order to (i) add in Licensee’s business address and place of incorporation and (ii) update the list of Patent Rights;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and promises herein contained, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1. In Section 1 of the ARPLA, the name of Licensee shall be deleted in its entirety and replaced by the following: **Aeglea Biotherapeutics, Inc.**, a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business at 901 S. MoPac Expressway, Barton Oaks Plaza One, Suite 250, Austin, Texas 78746.
2. In Section 1 of the ARPLA, the Patent Rights table should be deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

Patent Rights				
App. No./ Date of Filing	Title	Inventor(s)	Jointly Owned? (Y/N; if Y, with whom?)	Prosecution Counsel
US61/871,727 filed 8/29/2013	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
US61/948,106 filed 3/05/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC

Licensee: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. CONFIDENTIAL Exclusive PLA
The University of Texas at Austin Page 1 Agreement No. PA1401502

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

US14/472,779 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
South Korea 10- 2016-7008299 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
PCT/US2014/0 53374 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
JP 2016-537886 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
Israel 244263 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
Europe 14839001.6 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
China 201480054224.9 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
Canada 2,922,557 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
Australia 2014312168 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate Cystine/Cysteine Degrading Enzymes as Antineogenic Agents (6337 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
US 61/871,768 filed 8/29/2013	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei- Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
US 14/472,750 Issued as 9,481,877 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei- Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC

Licensee: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. CONFIDENTIAL Exclusive PLA
The University of Texas at Austin Page 2 Agreement No. PA1401502

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

US 15/297,102 Issued as 9,624,484 filed 10/18/2016	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
US 15/451,349 filed 3/06/2017	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
South Korea 10- 2016-7007045 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
PCT/US2014/0 53359 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
JP 2016-537882 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
Israel 244261 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
Europe 14841106.9 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
China 201480050681.0 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC

Licensee: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. CONFIDENTIAL Exclusive PLA
The University of Texas at Austin Page 3 Agreement No. PA1401502

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

Canada 2,922,550 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
Australia 2014312159 filed 8/29/2014	Engineered Primate L-Methionase for Therapeutic Purposes (6314 GEO)	George Georgiou Everett M. Stone Wei-Cheng Lu	No	Parker Highlander PLLC
[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]
[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]
[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]
[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]
[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]	[*]

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

IN WITNESS THEREOF, Licensor and Licensee have entered into this Amendment effective as of the Amendment Effective Date.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN ON BEHALF OF AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC.
THE BOARD OF REGENTS
OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM

By: /s/ Daniel W. Sharp, J.D.
Daniel W. Sharp, J.D.
Associate Vice President for Research and Director, Office of
Technology Commercialization

By: /s/ Anthony Quinn
Anthony Quinn, Ph.D.
CEO

Date: 10 August 2017

Date: 8/4/17

AMENDMENT #2 TO AMENDED AND RESTATED PATENT LICENSE AGREEMENT

This Amendment #2 (the "Amendment") to the Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement (as defined below) is made and entered into as of the date of last signature below ("Amendment Effective Date") by and between **Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.**, a Delaware corporation, with its principal place of business at 901 S. MoPac Expressway, Barton Oaks Plaza One, Suite 250, Austin, Texas 78746 ("Licensee") and the **University of Texas at Austin**, on behalf of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas System, having its principal place of business at 2935 W. Braker Lane, Suite 1.9A (R3500), Austin, Texas 78759 ("Licensor"), each a "Party" and collectively, "Parties".

Background

A. Licensor and Licensee entered into an Amended and Restated Patent License Agreement (UTA No. PM1401501) with an Effective Date of January 31, 2017, as amended by Amendment #1 (UTA No. PA1401502) effective as of August 10, 2017 (collectively, the "ARPLA");

B. Licensor and Licensee wish to amend the ARPLA as set forth below in order to revise the diligence milestone deadlines;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants and promises herein contained, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

1. **Intent.** Except as expressly provided in this Amendment, the ARPLA will remain unchanged and in full force and effect in accordance with its original terms. Capitalized terms used herein without definition shall have meanings given to them in the ARPLA.
2. **Section 2.4.** Section 2.4 (Diligence Milestones table) of the ARPLA is hereby deleted and replaced with the following:

2.4	Diligence Milestones
-----	----------------------

1

Licensee: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.
The University of Texas at Austin

CONFIDENTIAL
Agreement No. PM1401503

Amd. To ARPLA

***Confidential Treatment Requested.**

[*] Certain confidential information contained in this document, marked by brackets, has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, Licensor and Licensee have entered into this Amendment effective as of the Amendment Effective Date.

**THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN ON BEHALF OF AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC.
THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS
SYSTEM**

/s/ Daniel W. Sharp, J.D.

Daniel W. Sharp, J.D.

Associate Vice President for Research and Director, Office of
Technology Commercialization

Date: 12/20/2017

/s/ Anthony Quinn

Anthony Quinn, M.B Ch.B, Ph.D., FRCP

Interim Chief Executive Officer

Date: 12/20/2017

June 20, 2017

James E. Wooldridge, M.D.

Re: Offer of Employment – Chief Medical Officer

Dear Jim,

On behalf of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. (the “Company”), it is my pleasure to formally offer you the position of Chief Medical Officer with an anticipated start date of Monday, July 10, 2017 or a date mutually agreed upon but no later than the end of July 2017. This letter contains an overview of the responsibilities, compensation and benefits associated with this position. We are hopeful that you will accept this offer and look forward to the prospect of having a mutually successful relationship with you.

EMPLOYMENT

During employment with the Company, you will be expected to devote your full business time and attention to the business and affairs of the Company. You will report to David G. Lowe, President & CEO and will be expected to abide by all the Company’s employment policies and procedures, including but not limited to the Company’s policies prohibiting employment discrimination and harassment and the Company’s rules regarding proprietary information and trade secrets.

BASE SALARY

While employed by the Company, your annual base salary will be \$340,000.00 less any federal, state and local payroll taxes and other withholdings legally required or properly requested by you (the “Base Salary”). The Base Salary will be payable to you in accordance with the Company’s regular payroll practices and procedures and will be subject to periodic review and adjustment, at the Company’s discretion.

BONUS

For each calendar year of employment (beginning with 2017), you will be eligible to receive a discretionary bonus in an amount targeted at forty percent (40%) of the Base Salary paid to you in that calendar year (the “Annual Bonus”). Your entitlement to receive an Annual Bonus and the amount thereof will be determined by the Board in its sole discretion based on (i) the Company’s financial performance and financial resources as well as (ii) the Board’s evaluation of your performance for a given year and your achievement of certain objectives in the applicable calendar year as set forth and approved by the Board. You will be eligible for an Annual Bonus for a given calendar year only if you remain employed by the Company through the date that such Annual Bonus is paid; as a result, you are not entitled to any Annual Bonus for which you might otherwise be eligible if your employment ends for any reason before the date of payment. Nothing guarantees your receipt of an Annual Bonus in any amount if the specified objectives are not met and/or any of the other conditions set forth herein are not satisfied in a given calendar year.

SIGN-ON BONUS

In addition, as a condition of employment, the Company will pay a one-time sign-on bonus of \$276,000.00 at the first company payroll cycle following the start of your employment. The sign-on bonus will be subject to payroll taxes. If you terminate your employment with the Company for any reason within two years after your employment start date, you agree to repay the Company 100% of the sign-on bonus paid to you pursuant to this paragraph, on the date of your

termination. The company will forgive your obligation and your estate's obligation to repay the sign-on bonus within the first two years of employment in the event of (i) in the unlikely event of your death, or (ii) due to a change of control resulting in a Qualifying Termination as defined by the Severance Agreement.

STOCK

As additional compensation, and subject to approval by the Board of Directors of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. ("Parent"), the Company will arrange for the grant to you by Parent of:

- i) an option, which will be an incentive stock option ("ISO") if available, to purchase 165,000 shares of Company common stock with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the Company's stock on the date of grant. One-fourth of the total number of shares will vest upon your completion of 12 months of employment, and one forty-eighth (1/48th) each month thereafter, in each case, subject to your continued service through the applicable vesting date.

The Options will be granted pursuant to and subject to the terms and conditions of Parent's equity incentive plan and will be further subject to the terms of an option agreement as approved by Parent's Board of Directors setting forth the vesting conditions and other restrictions. To the extent there is any discrepancy between this Offer Letter and the terms of any option agreement, the option agreement will control.

SEVERANCE: ACCELERATION

The Company is pleased to offer you a Severance Agreement (Exhibit B), which will be provided to you separately, and which provides for cash severance and equity acceleration benefits. Please return an executed copy of the Severance Agreement to the Company.

BENEFITS

During employment with the Company, you will be eligible to participate in any medical, dental, profit sharing or other employee benefit plans of the Company, if any, on the same basis and subject to the same qualifications and limitations, as other similarly situated employees in the Company. Please note that all Company benefit plans will be governed by and subject to plan documents and/or written policies. You will also be eligible to receive any paid holiday time and vacation time observed by the Company in accordance with the Company's policies and procedures. The Company reserves the right to amend, modify, and/or terminate any of its employee benefit plans or policies, or any other terms of your employment, at any time.

EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT

The Company will reimburse you for all reasonable and necessary expenses incurred by you in connection with performing your duties as an employee of the Company and that are pre-approved by the Company, provided that you comply with any Company policy or practice on submitting, accounting for and documenting such expenses.

RELOCATION EXPENSES

The Company will reimburse 100% of your moving expenses and transportation costs associated with you and your immediate family's relocation to within 30 miles of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. Austin, TX office, including, temporary housing, storage of household goods, transportation of two automobiles, (all such amounts reimbursed, "Relocation Expense") but excluding expenses covered by any other eligible corporate relocation expense reimbursement available to you, with a limit of \$40,000.00 on such total reimbursement payable to you. The Aeglea Relocation Expense reimbursements, will be subject to the appropriate IRS tax withholdings. Receipts evidencing all such relocation expenses must be submitted to the

Company by November 30, 2017 to qualify for such reimbursement. If you terminate your employment with the Company for any reason within one year after your employment start date, you agree to repay the Company 100% of the Relocation Expense paid to you pursuant to this paragraph, on the date of your termination. If you terminate your employment with the Company for any reason within two years after your employment start date, you agree to repay the Company 50% of the amount of the Relocation Expense paid to you pursuant to this paragraph, on the date of your termination. However, in the unlikely event of your death within the first two years of employment, the company will forgive your obligation and your estate's obligation to repay the relocation expenses.

EMPLOYMENT AT WILL

Although we hope for a long and mutually beneficial relationship, this letter is not a contract of employment for a definite term. Employment with the Company is "at will," and is not guaranteed for any specific length of service or any specific position. Accordingly, as an "at-will" employee, the Company may terminate your employment or you may resign your employment with the Company at any time, for any reason or no reason.

COVENANTS

This offer letter and your employment is subject to a successful criminal background check and documentation of authorization to work in the United States. No later than your first day of employment you will be expected to sign a Proprietary Information and Inventions Assignment Agreement (the "Agreement") in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A. Your employment with the Company is contingent upon your execution of this Agreement.

Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, to the extent any expense reimbursement or the provision of any in-kind benefit under this letter agreement (or otherwise referenced herein) is determined to be subject to (and not exempt from) Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, the amount of any such expenses eligible for reimbursement, or the provision of any in-kind benefit, in one calendar year shall not affect the expenses eligible for reimbursement or in kind benefits to be provided in any other calendar year, in no event shall any expenses be reimbursed after the last day of the calendar year following the calendar year in which you incurred such expenses, and in no event shall any right to reimbursement or the provision of any in-kind benefit be subject to liquidation or exchange for another benefit.

EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATIONS

Please understand it is the policy of the Company not to solicit or accept proprietary information and / or trade secrets of other companies or third parties. If you have or have had access to trade secrets or other confidential, proprietary information from your former employer or another third party, the use of such information in performing your duties at the Company is prohibited. This may include, but is not limited to, confidential or proprietary information in the form of documents, magnetic media, software, customer lists, and business plans or strategies.

In making this employment offer, the Company has relied on your representation that: (a) you are not currently a party to any agreement that would restrict your ability to accept this offer or to perform services for the Company; (b) you are not subject to any noncompetition or non-solicitation agreement or other restrictive covenants that might restrict your employment by the Company as contemplated by this offer; (c) you have the full right, power and authority to execute and deliver the Agreement and to perform all of your obligations thereunder; and (d) you will not bring with you to the Company or use in the performance of your responsibilities at the Company any materials, documents or work product of a former employer or other third party that are not generally available to the public, unless you have obtained written authorization from such former employer or third party for their possession and use and have provided the Company with a copy of same.

This offer, once accepted, and together with the confidentiality agreement referred to above, constitutes the entire agreement between you and the Company with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior offers, negotiations and agreements, if any, whether written or oral, relating to such subject matter. You acknowledge that neither the Company nor its agents have made any promise, representation or warranty whatsoever, either express or implied, written or oral, which is not contained in this agreement for the purpose of inducing you to execute the agreement, and you acknowledge that you have executed this agreement in reliance only upon such promises, representations and warranties as are contained herein.

We look forward to your contribution to the Company. If you have any questions about the terms of this offer or the contents of this letter, please feel free to contact me. In acknowledgment and acceptance of our offer, please sign this Offer Letter as well as the Agreements and return both documents to me directly.

Sincerely,

AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC

By: /s/ David G. Lowe

Name: David G. Lowe, Ph.D.

Title: President & Chief Executive Officer

AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

/s/ James E Wooldridge

Signature **-James E. Wooldridge, M.D.**

June 21, 2017

Date

EXHIBIT A - Non-disclosure Agreement and Invention Rights Assignment are attached.

EXHIBIT B – Severance Agreement

EXHIBIT C – Indemnification Agreement

EXHIBIT A

EMPLOYEE INVENTION ASSIGNMENT, CONFIDENTIALITY AND NON-COMPETITION AGREEMENT

In consideration of, and as a condition of my employment with Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "**Company**"), I, as the "**Employee**" signing this Employee Invention Assignment, Confidentiality and Non-Competition Agreement (this "**Agreement**"), hereby represent to the Company, and the Company and I hereby agree as follows:

1. **Purpose of Agreement.** I understand that the Company is engaged in a continuous program of research, development, production and/or marketing in connection with its current and projected business and that it is critical for the Company to preserve and protect its proprietary information, its rights in certain inventions and works and in related intellectual property rights. Accordingly, I am entering into this Agreement, whether or not I am expected to create inventions or other works of value for the Company. As used in this Agreement, "**Inventions**" means proprietary information, trade secrets, know-how, data, original works of authorship, inventions, improvements, discoveries, designs, technology, computer software programs, databases, mask works, formulas, processes, methods, techniques, protocols, assays and compositions of matter.

2. **Disclosure of Inventions.** I will promptly disclose in confidence to the Company, or to any person designated by it, all Inventions that I make, create, conceive or first reduce to practice, either alone or jointly with others, during the period of my employment, whether or not in the course of my employment, and whether or not patentable, copyrightable or protectable as trade secrets.

3. **Work for Hire; Assigned Inventions.** I acknowledge and agree that any copyrightable works prepared by me within the scope of my employment will be "works made for hire" under the Copyright Act and that the Company will be considered the author and owner of such copyrightable works. I agree that all Inventions that I make, create, conceive or first reduce to practice during the period of my employment, whether or not in the course of my employment, and whether or not patentable, copyrightable or protectable as trade secrets, and that (i) are developed using equipment, supplies, facilities or trade secrets of the Company; (ii) result from work performed by me for the Company; or (iii) relate to the Company's business or actual or demonstrably anticipated research or development (the "**Assigned Inventions**"), will be the sole and exclusive property of the Company.

4. **Excluded Inventions and Other Inventions.** Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a list describing all existing Inventions, if any, that may relate to the Company's business or actual or demonstrably anticipated research or development and that were made by me or acquired by me prior to the Effective Date (as defined in Section 25, below), and which are not to be assigned to the Company ("**Excluded Inventions**"). If no such list is attached, I represent and agree that it is because I have no rights in any existing Inventions that may relate to the Company's business or actual or demonstrably anticipated research or development. For purposes of this Agreement, "**Other Inventions**" means Inventions in which I have or may have an interest, as of the Effective Date or thereafter, other than Assigned Inventions and Excluded Inventions. I acknowledge and agree that if, in the scope of my employment, I use any Excluded Inventions or any Other Inventions, or if I include any Excluded Inventions or Other Inventions in any product or service of the Company or if my rights in any Excluded Inventions or Other Inventions may block or interfere with, or may otherwise be required for, the exercise

by the Company of any rights assigned to the Company under this Agreement, I will immediately so notify the Company in writing. Unless the Company and I agree otherwise in writing as to particular Excluded Inventions or Other Inventions, I hereby grant to the Company, in such circumstances (whether or not I give the Company notice as required above), a perpetual, irrevocable, nonexclusive, transferable, world-wide, royalty-free license to use, disclose, make, sell, offer for sale, import, copy, distribute, modify and create works based on, perform, and display such Excluded Inventions and Other Inventions, and to sublicense third parties in one or more tiers of sublicensees with the same rights.

5. **Exception to Assignment.** I understand that the Assigned Inventions will not include, and the provisions of this Agreement requiring assignment of inventions to the Company do not apply to an invention for which no equipment, supplies, facility or trade secret information of the Company was used and which was developed entirely on my own time, except for those inventions that either: (1) relate at the time of conception or reduction to practice of the invention to the Company's business or to actual or demonstrably anticipated research or development of the Company; or (2) result from any work performed by me for the Company.

6. **Assignment of Rights.** I agree to assign, and do hereby irrevocably transfer and assign, to the Company: (i) all of my rights, title and interests in and with respect to any Assigned Inventions; (ii) all patents, patent applications, copyrights, mask works, rights in databases, trade secrets, and other intellectual property rights, worldwide, in any Assigned Inventions, along with any registrations of or applications to register such rights; and (iii) to the extent assignable, any and all Moral Rights (as defined below) that I may have in or with respect to any Assigned Inventions. I also hereby forever waive and agree never to assert any Moral Rights I may have in or with respect to any Assigned Inventions and any Excluded Inventions or Other Inventions licensed to the Company under Section 4, even after termination of my employment with the Company. "**Moral Rights**" means any rights to claim authorship of a work, to object to or prevent the modification or destruction of a work, to withdraw from circulation or control the publication or distribution of a work, and any similar right, regardless of whether or not such right is denominated or generally referred to as a "moral right."

7. **Assistance.** I will assist the Company in every proper way to obtain and enforce for the Company all patents, copyrights, mask work rights, trade secret rights and other legal protections for the Assigned Inventions, worldwide. I will execute and deliver any documents that the Company may reasonably request from me in connection with providing such assistance. My obligations under this section will continue beyond the termination of my employment with the Company; provided that the Company agrees to compensate me at a reasonable rate after such termination for time and expenses actually spent by me at the Company's request in providing such assistance. I hereby appoint the Secretary of the Company as my attorney-in-fact to execute documents on my behalf for this purpose. I agree that this appointment is coupled with an interest and will not be revocable.

8. **Proprietary Information.** I understand that my employment by the Company creates a relationship of confidence and trust with respect to any information or materials of a confidential or secret nature that may be made, created or discovered by me or that may be disclosed to me by the Company or a third party in relation to the business of the Company or to the business of any parent, subsidiary, affiliate, customer or supplier of the Company, or any other party with whom the Company agrees to hold such information or materials in confidence (the "**Proprietary Information**"). Without limitation as to the forms that Proprietary Information may take, I acknowledge that Proprietary Information may be contained in tangible material

such as writings, drawings, samples, electronic media, or computer programs, or may be in the nature of unwritten knowledge or know-how. Proprietary Information includes, but is not limited to, Assigned Inventions, proprietary information, trade secrets, know-how, data (including technical, scientific and clinical data), original works of authorship, records, laboratory notebooks, inventions, improvements, discoveries, designs, technology, computer software programs, databases, mask works, formulas, processes, methods, techniques, protocols, assays, compositions of matter, specimens, compounds, samples, materials, marketing plans, product plans, products, product candidates, regulatory, marketing, financial or other business information, personnel information, contract information, customer and supplier lists, and research and development, including research relating to, but not limited to, in vitro systems, DNA and amino acid sequences, cell strains, biological or chemical substances and compounds.

9. **Confidentiality.** At all times, both during my employment and after its termination, I will keep and hold all Proprietary Information in strict confidence and trust. I will not use or disclose any Proprietary Information without the prior written consent of the Company in each instance, except as may be necessary to perform my duties as an employee of the Company for the benefit of the Company. Upon termination of my employment with the Company, I will promptly deliver to the Company all documents and materials of any nature pertaining to my work with the Company, and I will not take with me or retain in any form any documents or materials or copies containing any Proprietary Information.

10. **Physical Property.** All documents, supplies, equipment and other physical property furnished to me by the Company or produced by me or others in connection with my employment will be and remain the sole property of the Company. I will return to the Company all such items when requested by the Company, excepting only my personal copies of records relating to my employment or compensation and any personal property I bring with me to the Company and designate as such. Even if the Company does not so request, I will upon termination of my employment return to the Company all Company property, and I will not take with me or retain any such items.

11. **No Breach of Prior Agreements.** I represent that my performance of all the terms of this Agreement and my duties as an employee of the Company will not breach any invention assignment, proprietary information, confidentiality, non-competition, or other agreement with any former employer or other party. I represent that I will not bring with me to the Company or use in the performance of my duties for the Company any documents or materials or intangibles of my own or of a former employer or third party that are not generally available for use by the public or have not been legally transferred to the Company.

12. **"At Will" Employment.** I understand that this Agreement does not constitute a contract of employment or obligate the Company to employ me for any stated period of time. I understand that I am an "at will" employee of the Company and that my employment can be terminated at any time, with or without notice and with or without cause, for any reason or for no reason, by either the Company or by me. I acknowledge that any statements or representations to the contrary are ineffective, unless put into a writing signed by the Company. I further acknowledge that my participation in any stock option or benefit program is not to be construed as any assurance of continuing employment for any particular period of time.

13. **Company Opportunities; No Conflicting Activities.** During the period of my employment, I will at all times devote my best efforts to the interests of the Company, and I will not, without the prior written consent of the Company, engage in, or encourage or assist others to engage in, any other employment or activity that: (i) would divert from the Company any business opportunity in which the Company can reasonably be expected to have an interest; (ii) would directly compete with, or involve preparation to compete with, the current or future business of the Company; or (iii) would otherwise conflict with the Company's interests or could cause a disruption of its operations or prospects.

14. **Non-Competition; Non-Solicitation.**

(a) **Non-Competition.** I understand that the Company's interests in protecting its investments, goodwill, and technologies make it reasonable for the Company to ask me to agree that I will not compete with the Company for a reasonable period after the termination of my employment for any reason, whether voluntary or involuntary. I further understand that I will be provided with Proprietary Information during the scope of my employment with the Company. Accordingly, and understanding that the Company's business is potentially global in scope, I further agree that I will not, during the twelve month period following the termination of my employment (the "***Post-Employment Period***"), directly or indirectly, work for or provide service of any kind, as an employee, consultant, director, owner or in any other capacity, to any person or entity (including any business in planning or formation) that is or intends to be competitive with, or is engaged in the design, development, manufacture, production, marketing, sale or servicing of any product or the provision of any service that relates in any way to the business then being conducted or planned by the Company and any of its subsidiary or affiliated entities. It will not be deemed to be a violation of this Section 14(a) for me to make or hold either of the following investments: (a) ownership, as a passive investor, of up to two percent (2%) of any publicly traded company; or (b) an equity interest of up to two percent (2%) in any venture capital fund or other investment vehicle that makes investments in early stage companies so long as I do not participate in or influence the investment decision process of such fund or vehicle.

(b) **Non-Solicitation of Employees/Consultants.** During my employment with the Company and the Post-Employment Period, I will not directly or indirectly solicit away employees or consultants of the Company for my own benefit or for the benefit of any other person or entity, nor will I encourage or assist others to do so. I acknowledge and agree that even after the expiration of the Post-Employment Period, I will not solicit (or encourage or assist others to solicit) away any employees or consultants of the Company if, in so doing, I use or disclose any trade secrets or other Proprietary Information of the Company.

(c) **Non-Solicitation of Suppliers/Customers.** During my employment with the Company and the Post-Employment Period, I will not directly or indirectly solicit or otherwise take away customers or suppliers of the Company or otherwise divert or attempt to divert business away from the Company, nor will I encourage or assist others to do so. I acknowledge and agree that even after the expiration of the Post-Employment Period, I will not solicit (or encourage or assist others to solicit) any customers or suppliers of the Company if, in so doing, I use or disclose any trade secrets or other Proprietary Information of the Company.

(d) **Reasonableness.** I acknowledge that the post-employment restrictions on competition and solicitation in this Section 14 are reasonable and necessary in light of the Company's need to protect its trade secrets and other Proprietary Information and the goodwill of the Company's business.

15. **Use of Name & Likeness.** I hereby authorize the Company to use, reuse, and to grant others the right to use and reuse, my name, photograph, likeness (including caricature), voice, and biographical information, and any reproduction or simulation thereof, in any form of media or technology now known or hereafter developed, both during and after my employment, for any purposes related to the Company's business, such as marketing, advertising, credits, and presentations.

16. **Notification.** I hereby authorize the Company, during and after the termination of my employment with the Company, to notify third parties, including, but not limited to, actual or potential customers or employers, of the terms of this Agreement and my responsibilities hereunder.

17. **Injunctive Relief.** I understand that a breach or threatened breach of this Agreement by me may cause the Company to suffer irreparable harm and that the Company will therefore be entitled to injunctive relief to enforce this Agreement.

18. **Governing Law; Severability.** This Agreement is intended to supplement, and not to supersede, any rights the Company may have in law or equity with respect to the duties of its employees and the protection of its trade secrets. This Agreement will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas without giving effect to any principles of conflict of laws that would lead to the application of the laws of another jurisdiction. If any provision of this Agreement is invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any respect, such provision will be enforced to the maximum extent possible, given the fundamental intentions of the parties when entering into this Agreement. To the extent such provision cannot be so enforced, it will be stricken from this Agreement and the remainder of this Agreement will be enforced as if such invalid, illegal or unenforceable provision had never been contained in this Agreement.

19. **Counterparts.** This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which when so executed and delivered will be deemed an original, and all of which together will constitute one and the same agreement.

20. **Entire Agreement.** This Agreement and the documents referred to herein constitute the entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement, and supersede all prior understandings and agreements, whether oral or written, between the parties hereto with respect to such subject matter.

21. **Amendment and Waiver.** This Agreement may be amended only by a written agreement executed by each of the parties to this Agreement. No amendment or waiver of, or modification of any obligation under, this Agreement will be enforceable unless specifically set forth in a writing signed by the party against which enforcement is sought. A waiver by either party of any of the terms and conditions of this Agreement in any instance will not be deemed or construed to be a waiver of such term or condition with respect to any other instance, whether prior, concurrent or subsequent.

22. **Successors and Assigns; Assignment.** Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement, this Agreement, and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder, will bind and benefit the parties and their respective successors, assigns, heirs, executors, administrators, and legal representatives. The Company may assign any of its rights and obligations under this Agreement. I understand that I will not be entitled to assign or delegate this Agreement or any of my rights or obligations hereunder, whether voluntarily or by operation of law, except with the prior written consent of the Company.

23. **Further Assurances.** The parties will execute such further documents and instruments and take such further actions as may be reasonably necessary to carry out the purposes and intent of this Agreement. Upon termination of my employment with the Company, I will execute and deliver a document or documents in a form reasonably requested by the Company confirming my agreement to comply with the post-employment obligations contained in this Agreement.

24. **Acknowledgement.** I certify and acknowledge that I have carefully read all of the provisions of this Agreement and that I understand and will fully and faithfully comply with this Agreement.

[Section 25 and signatures follow on the next page.]

25. **Effective Date of Agreement**. This Agreement is and will be effective on and after the first day of my employment by the Company (the "***Effective Date***").

Company: Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.

Employee:

By: /s/ Tammy Deal

/s/ James E Wooldridge

Name: Tammy Deal

Signature

Title: VP, Human Resources

Name: **James E Wooldridge, M.D.**

Exhibit A

LIST OF EXCLUDED INVENTIONS UNDER SECTION 4

<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Identifying Number or Brief Description</u>
--------------	-------------	--

N/A

No inventions, improvements, or original works of authorship

Additional sheets attached

Signature of Employee: /s/ James E. Wooldridge

Print Name of Employee: James E. Wooldridge, M.D.

Date: June 21, 2017

EXHIBIT B

Severance Agreement

This Severance Agreement (the "**Agreement**") is entered into as of **July 10, 2017** (the "**Effective Date**") by and between **James E Wooldridge** (the "**Executive**") and Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "**Company**").

Term of Agreement.

Except to the extent renewed as set forth in this Section 1, this Agreement shall terminate the earlier of the third (3rd) anniversary of the Effective Date (the "**Expiration Date**") or the date the Executive's employment with the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, terminates for a reason other than a Qualifying Termination or CIC Qualifying Termination; *provided however*, if a definitive agreement relating to a Change in Control has been signed by the Company on or before the Expiration Date, then this Agreement shall remain in effect through the earlier of:

The date the Executive's employment with the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, terminates for a reason other than a Qualifying Termination or CIC Qualifying Termination, or

The date the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, has met all of its obligations under this Agreement following a termination of the Executive's employment with the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, due to a Qualifying Termination or CIC Qualifying Termination.

This Agreement shall renew automatically and continue in effect for three (3) year periods measured from the initial Expiration Date, unless the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, provides Executive notice of non-renewal at least three (3) months prior to the date on which this Agreement would otherwise renew. For the avoidance of doubt, and notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 2 or 3 below, the Company's nonrenewal of this Agreement shall not constitute a Qualifying Termination or CIC Qualifying Termination.

Qualifying Termination. If the Executive is subject to a Qualifying Termination, then, subject to Sections 4, 9, and 10 below, Executive will be entitled to the following benefits:

Severance Benefits. The Company or its subsidiaries shall provide the Executive with severance benefits in the form of continuation of his monthly base salary (at the rate in effect immediately prior to the actions that resulted in the Qualifying Termination) until the lesser of (i) nine (9) months following Executive's Separation, or (ii) the date that Executive obtains comparable employment with another employer. The severance benefits shall be paid through salary continuation in equal installments in accordance with the Company's or its subsidiary's, as applicable, standard payroll procedures, with the initial payment to occur on the first payroll date following the sixtieth (60th) day following the Separation, with the first installment to include a catchup payment for amounts covering the period from the date of Separation through the first payment date, *provided that* the Release Conditions have been satisfied. However, if the period comprising the sum of the sixty (60)-day period described in the preceding sentence and the ten (10)-day period described in Section 7(e)(3) below spans two calendar years, then the payments which constitute deferred compensation subject to Section 409A will not in any case commence in the first calendar year. The period between the date of Executive's Separation and final severance payment shall be referred to herein as the "**Severance Period.**"

Continued Employee Benefits. If Executive timely elects continued coverage under the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (“**COBRA**”), the Company or its subsidiary shall pay the full amount of Executive’s COBRA premiums on behalf of the Executive for the Executive’s continued coverage under the Company’s or its subsidiary’s, as applicable, health, dental and vision plans, including

coverage for the Executive’s eligible dependents, for the Severance Period. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Company, in its sole discretion, determines that it cannot provide the foregoing subsidy of COBRA coverage without potentially violating or causing the Company or its subsidiary to incur additional expense as a result of noncompliance with applicable law (including, without limitation, Section 2716 of the Public Health Service Act), the Company or its subsidiary instead shall provide to Executive a taxable monthly payment in an amount equal to the monthly COBRA premium that Executive would be required to pay to continue the group health coverage in effect on the date of the Separation (which amount shall be based on the premium for the first month of COBRA coverage), which payments shall be made regardless of whether Executive elects COBRA continuation coverage and shall commence on the later of (i) the first day of the month following the month in which Executive experiences a Separation and (ii) the effective date of the Company’s determination of violation of applicable law, and shall end on the earlier of (x) the effective date on which Executive becomes covered by a health, dental or vision insurance plan of a subsequent employer, and (y) the last day of the Severance Period, *provided that*, any taxable payments under this Section 2(b) will not be paid before the first business day occurring after the sixtieth (60th) day following the Separation and, once they commence, will include any unpaid amounts accrued from the date of Executive’s Separation (to the extent not otherwise satisfied with continuation coverage). However, if the period comprising the sum of the sixty (60)-day period described in the preceding sentence and the ten (10)-day period described in Section 7(e)(3) below spans two calendar years, then the payments which constitute deferred compensation subject to Section 409A will not in any case be paid in the first calendar year. Executive shall have no right to an additional gross-up payment to account for the fact that such COBRA premium amounts are paid on an after-tax basis.

CIC Qualifying Termination. If the Executive is subject to a CIC Qualifying Termination, then, subject to Sections 4, 9, and 10 below, Executive will be entitled to the following benefits:

Severance Benefits. The Company or its subsidiary shall pay the Executive the severance benefits set forth in Section 2(a) above.

Equity. Each of Executive’s then outstanding Equity Awards, including awards that would otherwise vest only upon satisfaction of performance criteria, shall accelerate and become vested and exercisable as to 100% of the total shares underlying the Equity Award. For awards that would otherwise vest only upon satisfaction of performance criteria, the foregoing acceleration shall be based on achievement of performance criteria at target, except to the extent otherwise provided in the award agreement evidencing such award. “**Equity Awards**” means all options to purchase shares of Company common stock as well as any and all other stock-based awards granted to the Executive, including but not limited to stock bonus awards, restricted stock, restricted stock units or stock appreciation rights. Subject to Section 4, the accelerated vesting described above shall be effective as of the Separation.

Pay in Lieu of Continued Employee Benefits. If Executive timely elects continued coverage under COBRA, the Company or its subsidiary shall pay the full amount of Executive’s COBRA premiums on behalf of the Executive for the Executive’s continued coverage under the Company’s or its subsidiary’s, as applicable, health, dental and vision

plans, including coverage for the Executive's eligible dependents, for the Severance Period. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if the Company, in its sole discretion, determines that it cannot provide the foregoing subsidy of COBRA coverage without potentially violating or causing the Company or its subsidiary to incur additional expense as a result of noncompliance with applicable law (including, without limitation, Section 2716 of the Public Health Service Act), the Company or its subsidiary instead shall provide to Executive a taxable monthly payment in an amount equal to the monthly COBRA premium that Executive would be required to pay to continue the group health coverage in effect on the date of the Separation (which amount shall be based on the premium for the first month of COBRA coverage), which payments shall be made regardless of whether Executive elects COBRA continuation coverage, shall commence on the later of (i) the first day of the month following the month in which Executive experiences a Separation and (ii) the effective date of the Company's determination of violation

of applicable law, and shall end on the earlier of (x) the effective date on which Executive becomes covered by a health, dental or vision insurance plan of a subsequent employer, and (y) the last day of the Severance Period, *provided that*, any taxable payments under this Section 3(c) will not be paid before the first business day occurring after the sixtieth (60th) day following the Separation and, once they commence, will include any unpaid amounts accrued from the date of Executive's Separation (to the extent not otherwise satisfied with continuation coverage). However, if the period comprising the sum of the sixty (60)-day period described in the preceding sentence and the ten (10)-day period described in Section 7(e)(3) below spans two calendar years, then the payments which constitute deferred compensation subject to Section 409A will not in any case be paid in the first calendar year. Executive shall have no right to an additional gross-up payment to account for the fact that such COBRA premium amounts are paid on an after-tax basis.

General Release. Any other provision of this Agreement notwithstanding, the benefits under Section 2 and 3 shall not apply unless the Executive (i) has executed a general release (in a form prescribed by the Company) of all known and unknown claims that he or she may then have against the Company or entities or persons affiliated with the Company and such release has become effective and (ii) has agreed not to prosecute any legal action or other proceeding based upon any of such claims. The release must be in the form prescribed by the Company, without alterations (this document effecting the foregoing, the "**Release**"). The Company or its subsidiary will deliver the form of Release to the Executive within thirty (30) days after the Executive's Separation. The Executive must execute and return the Release within the time period specified in the form.

Accrued Compensation and Benefits. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in Section 2 and 3 above, in connection with any termination of employment upon or following a Change in Control (whether or not a Qualifying Termination or CIC Qualifying Termination), the Company or its subsidiary shall pay Executive's earned but unpaid base salary and other vested but unpaid cash entitlements for the period through and including the termination of employment, including unused earned vacation pay and unreimbursed documented business expenses incurred by Executive prior to the date of termination (collectively "**Accrued Compensation and Expenses**"), as required by law and the applicable Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, plan or policy. In addition, Executive shall be entitled to any other vested benefits earned by Executive for the period through and including the termination date of Executive's employment under any other employee benefit plans and arrangements maintained by the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, in accordance with the terms of such plans and arrangements, except as modified herein (collectively "**Accrued Benefits**"). Any Accrued Compensation and Expenses to which the Executive is entitled shall be paid to the Executive in cash as soon as administratively practicable after the termination, and, in any event, no later than two and one-half (2-1/2) months after the end of the taxable year of the Executive in which the termination occurs or at such earlier

time as may be required by applicable law or Section 10 below, and to such lesser extent as may be mandated by Section 9 below. Any Accrued Benefits to which the Executive is entitled shall be paid to the Executive as provided in the relevant plans and arrangements.

Covenants.

Non-Competition. The Executive agrees that the benefits provided in this Agreement are granted in consideration for the ongoing promises and obligations of Executive under his employment agreement and any amendments thereto, including but not limited to Executive's obligations concerning non-competition and non-solicitation.

Cooperation and Non-Disparagement. The Executive agrees that, during the Severance Period, he or she shall cooperate with the Company or its subsidiary in every reasonable respect and shall use his or her best efforts to assist the Company or its subsidiary with the transition of Executive's duties to his or her successor. The Executive further agrees that following the date of Separation, he or she shall not in

any way or by any means disparage the Company, its subsidiaries, or the members of their Board of Directors or their officers and employees.

Definitions.

"Cause" means (i) an unauthorized use or disclosure by Executive of the Company's or its subsidiaries' confidential information or trade secrets, which use or disclosure causes or is reasonably likely to cause material harm to the Company or its subsidiaries, (ii) a material breach of any agreement between Executive and the Company or its subsidiaries, (iii) a material failure to comply with the Company's or its subsidiaries' written policies or rules that has caused or is reasonably likely to cause material injury to the Company, its successor, or its affiliates, or any of their business, (iv) conviction of, or plea of "guilty" or "no contest" to, a felony under the laws of the United States or any state thereof, (v) willful misconduct that has caused or is reasonably likely to cause material injury to the Company, its successor, or its affiliates, or any of their business, (vi) embezzlement, (vii) failure to cooperate with the Company or its subsidiaries in any investigation or formal proceeding if the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, has requested Executive's reasonable cooperation, (viii) violation of any applicable federal, state or foreign statutes or laws that govern or regulate employment, pharmaceutical drugs or securities, including but not limited to the laws enforced by the federal Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Department of Labor, Food and Drug Administration, Securities and Exchange Commission and Department of Justice or (ix) a continued failure to perform assigned duties after receiving written notification of such failure from the Company's or its subsidiaries', as applicable, Chief Executive Officer; *provided that* Executive must be provided with written notice of Executive's termination for "Cause" and Executive must be provided with a thirty (30) day period following Executive's receipt of such notice to cure the event(s) that trigger "Cause," with the Company's or its subsidiaries', as applicable, Board of Directors making the final determination whether Executive has cured any Cause.

"Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.

"Change in Control." For all purposes under this Agreement, a Change in Control shall mean a "Corporate Transaction," as such term is defined in the Company's 2015 Equity Incentive Plan, as may be amended from time to time, *provided that* the transaction (including any series of transactions) also qualifies as a change in control under U.S. Treasury Regulation 1.409A-3(i)(5)(v) or 1.409A-3(i)(5)(vii).

“**CIC Qualifying Termination**” means a Separation (A) within twelve (12) months following a Change in Control or (B) within three (3) months preceding a Change in Control (but as to part (B), only if the Separation occurs after a Potential Change in Control) resulting, in either case (A) or (B), from (i) the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, terminating the Executive’s employment for any reason other than Cause or (ii) the Executive voluntarily resigning his or her employment for Good Reason. A termination or resignation due to the Executive’s death or disability shall not constitute a CIC Qualifying Termination. A “**Potential Change in Control**” means the date of execution of a legally binding and definitive agreement for a corporate transaction which, if consummated, would constitute the applicable Change in Control (which for the avoidance of doubt, would include a merger agreement, but not a term sheet for a merger agreement). In the case of a termination following a Potential Change in Control and before a Change in Control, solely for purposes of benefits under this Agreement, the date of Separation will be deemed the date the Change in Control is consummated.

“**Good Reason**” means, without the Executive’s consent, (i) a material reduction in the Executive’s level of responsibility and/or scope of authority, (ii) a reduction by more than 10% in Executive’s base salary (other than a reduction generally applicable to executive officers of the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, and in generally the same proportion as for the Executive), or (iii) relocation of the Executive’s principal workplace by more than thirty-five (35) miles from Executive’s then current place of employment.

For the purpose of clause (i), a change in responsibility shall not be deemed to occur (A) solely because Executive is part of a larger organization or (B) solely because of a change in title. For the Executive to receive the benefits under this Agreement as a result of a voluntary resignation under this subsection (e), all of the following requirements must be satisfied: (1) the Executive must provide notice to the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, of his or her intent to assert Good Reason within sixty (60) days of the initial existence of one or more of the conditions set forth in subclauses (i) through (iii); (2) the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, will have thirty (30) days (the “**Company Cure Period**”) from the date of such notice to remedy the condition and, if it does so, the Executive may withdraw his or her resignation or may resign with no benefits; and (3) any termination of employment under this provision must occur within ten (10) days of the earlier of expiration of the Company Cure Period or written notice from the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, that it will not undertake to cure the condition set forth in subclauses (i) through (iii). Should the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, remedy the condition as set forth above and then one or more of the conditions arises again within twelve months following the occurrence of a Change in Control, the Executive may assert Good Reason again subject to all of the conditions set forth herein.

“**Release Conditions**” mean the following conditions: (i) Company has received the Executive’s executed Release and (ii) any rescission period applicable to the Executive’s executed Release has expired.

“**Qualifying Termination**” means a Separation that is not a CIC Qualifying Termination, but which results from (i) the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, terminating the Executive’s employment for any reason other than Cause or (ii) the Executive voluntarily resigning his or her employment for Good Reason. A termination or resignation due to the Executive’s death or disability shall not constitute a Qualifying Termination.

“**Separation**” means a “separation from service,” as defined in the regulations under Section 409A of the Code.

Successors.

Company's Successors. The Company shall require any successor (whether direct or indirect and whether by purchase, lease, merger, consolidation, liquidation or otherwise) to all or substantially all of the Company's business and/or assets, by an agreement in substance and form satisfactory to the Executive, to assume this Agreement and to agree expressly to perform this Agreement in the same manner and to the same extent as the Company would be required to perform it in the absence of a succession. For all purposes under this Agreement, the term "Company" shall include any successor to the Company's business and/or assets or which becomes bound by this Agreement by operation of law.

Executive's Successors. This Agreement and all rights of the Executive hereunder shall inure to the benefit of, and be enforceable by, the Executive's personal or legal representatives, executors, administrators, successors, heirs, distributees, devisees and legatees.

Golden Parachute Taxes.

Best After-Tax Result. In the event that any payment or benefit received or to be received by Executive pursuant to this Agreement or otherwise ("**Payments**") would (i) constitute a "parachute payment" within the meaning of Section 280G of the Code and (ii) but for this subsection (a), be subject to the excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code, any successor provisions, or any comparable federal, state, local or foreign excise tax ("**Excise Tax**"), then, subject to the provisions of Section 10, such Payments shall be either (A) provided in full pursuant to the terms of this Agreement or any other applicable agreement, or (B) provided as to such lesser extent which would result in no portion of such Payments being subject to the

Excise Tax ("**Reduced Amount**"), whichever of the foregoing amounts, taking into account the applicable federal, state, local and foreign income, employment and other taxes and the Excise Tax (including, without limitation, any interest or penalties on such taxes), results in the receipt by Executive, on an after-tax basis, of the greatest amount of payments and benefits provided for hereunder or otherwise, notwithstanding that all or some portion of such Payments may be subject to the Excise Tax. Unless the Company and Executive otherwise agree in writing, any determination required under this Section shall be made by independent tax counsel designated by the Company and reasonably acceptable to Executive ("**Independent Tax Counsel**"), whose determination shall be conclusive and binding upon Executive and the Company for all purposes. For purposes of making the calculations required under this Section, Independent Tax Counsel may make reasonable assumptions and approximations concerning applicable taxes and may rely on reasonable, good faith interpretations concerning the application of Sections 280G and 4999 of the Code; *provided that* Independent Tax Counsel shall assume that Executive pays all taxes at the highest marginal rate. The Company and Executive shall furnish to Independent Tax Counsel such information and documents as Independent Tax Counsel may reasonably request in order to make a determination under this Section. The Company shall bear all costs that Independent Tax Counsel may reasonably incur in connection with any calculations contemplated by this Section. In the event that Section 9(a)(ii)(B) above applies, then based on the information provided to Executive and the Company by Independent Tax Counsel, the cutback described hereunder will apply as to compensation not subject to Section 409A of the Code prior to compensation subject to Section 409A of the Code and will otherwise apply on a reverse chronological basis from payments latest in time. If the Internal Revenue Service (the "**IRS**") determines that any Payment is subject to the Excise Tax, then Section 9(b) hereof shall apply, and the enforcement of Section 9(b) shall be the exclusive remedy to the Company.

Adjustments. If, notwithstanding any reduction described in Section 9(a) hereof (or in the absence of any such reduction), the IRS determines that Executive is liable for the Excise Tax as a result of the receipt of one or more Payments, then Executive shall be obligated to surrender or pay back to the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, within one-hundred twenty (120) days after a final IRS determination, an amount of such payments or benefits equal to the “**Repayment Amount.**” The Repayment Amount with respect to such Payments shall be the smallest such amount, if any, as shall be required to be surrendered or paid to the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, so that Executive’s net proceeds with respect to such Payments (after taking into account the payment of the Excise Tax imposed on such Payments) shall be maximized. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Repayment Amount with respect to such Payments shall be zero (0) if a Repayment Amount of more than zero (0) would not eliminate the Excise Tax imposed on such Payments or if a Repayment Amount of more than zero would not maximize the net amount received by Executive from the Payments. If the Excise Tax is not eliminated pursuant to this Section 9(b), Executive shall pay the Excise Tax.

Miscellaneous Provisions.

Section 409A. To the extent (i) any payments to which Executive becomes entitled under this Agreement, or any agreement or plan referenced herein, in connection with Executive’s termination of employment with the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, constitute deferred compensation subject to Section 409A of the Code and (ii) Executive is deemed at the time of such termination of employment to be a “specified” employee under Section 409A of the Code, then such payment or payments shall not be made or commence until the earlier of (i) the expiration of the six (6)-month period measured from the Executive’s Separation; or (ii) the date of Executive’s death following such Separation; *provided, however*, that such deferral shall only be effected to the extent required to avoid adverse tax treatment to Executive, including (without limitation) the additional twenty percent (20%) tax for which Executive would otherwise be liable under Section 409A(a)(1)(B) of the Code in the absence of such deferral. Upon the expiration of the applicable deferral period, any payments which would have otherwise been made during that period (whether in a single sum or in installments) in the absence of this paragraph shall be paid to Executive or Executive’s beneficiary in one lump sum (without interest). Except as otherwise expressly provided herein,

to the extent any expense reimbursement or the provision of any in-kind benefit under this Agreement (or otherwise referenced herein) is determined to be subject to (and not exempt from) Section 409A of the Code, the amount of any such expenses eligible for reimbursement, or the provision of any in-kind benefit, in one calendar year shall not affect the expenses eligible for reimbursement or in kind benefits to be provided in any other calendar year, in no event shall any expenses be reimbursed after the last day of the calendar year following the calendar year in which Executive incurred such expenses, and in no event shall any right to reimbursement or the provision of any in-kind benefit be subject to liquidation or exchange for another benefit. To the extent that any provision of this Agreement is ambiguous as to its exemption or compliance with Section 409A, the provision will be read in such a manner so that all payments hereunder are exempt from Section 409A to the maximum permissible extent, and for any payments where such construction is not tenable, that those payments comply with Section 409A to the maximum permissible extent. To the extent any payment under this Agreement may be classified as a “short-term deferral” within the meaning of Section 409A, such payment shall be deemed a short-term deferral, even if it may also qualify for an exemption from Section 409A under another provision of Section 409A. Payments pursuant to this Agreement (or referenced in this Agreement) are intended to constitute separate payments for purposes of Section 1.409A-2(b)(2) of the regulations under Section 409A.

Other Arrangements. This Agreement supersedes any and all cash severance arrangements and vesting acceleration arrangements on change in control under any prior option agreement, restricted stock unit agreement, severance and salary continuation arrangements, programs and plans which were previously offered by the Company or its subsidiary, as applicable, to the Executive, including change in control severance arrangements and vesting acceleration arrangements pursuant to an employment agreement or offer letter, and Executive hereby waives Executive's rights to such other benefits. In no event shall any individual receive cash severance benefits under both this Agreement and any other severance pay or salary continuation program, plan or other arrangement with the Company or its subsidiaries. For the avoidance of doubt, in no event shall Executive receive payment under both Section 2 and Section 3 with respect to Executive's Separation.

Dispute Resolution. To ensure rapid and economical resolution of any and all disputes that might arise in connection with this Agreement, Executive and the Company agree that any and all disputes, claims, and causes of action, in law or equity, arising from or relating to this Agreement or its enforcement, performance, breach, or interpretation, will be resolved solely and exclusively by final, binding, and confidential arbitration, by a single arbitrator, in San Francisco County, and conducted by Judicial Arbitration & Mediation Services, Inc. ("**JAMS**") under its then-existing employment rules and procedures. Nothing in this section, however, is intended to prevent either party from obtaining injunctive relief in court to prevent irreparable harm pending the conclusion of any such arbitration. Each party to an arbitration or litigation hereunder shall be responsible for the payment of its own attorneys' fees.

Notice. Notices and all other communications contemplated by this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given when personally delivered or when mailed by U.S. registered or certified mail, return receipt requested and postage prepaid or deposited with Federal Express Corporation, with shipping charges prepaid. In the case of the Executive, mailed notices shall be addressed to him or her at the home address which he or she most recently communicated to the Company in writing. In the case of the Company, mailed notices shall be addressed to its corporate headquarters, and all notices shall be directed to the attention of its Secretary.

Waiver. No provision of this Agreement shall be modified, waived or discharged unless the modification, waiver or discharge is agreed to in writing and signed by the Executive and by an authorized officer of the Company (other than the Executive). No waiver by either party of any breach of, or of compliance with, any condition or provision of this Agreement by the other party shall be considered a waiver of any other condition or provision or of the same condition or provision at another time.

Withholding Taxes. All payments made under this Agreement shall be subject to reduction to reflect taxes or other charges required to be withheld by law.

Severability. The invalidity or unenforceability of any provision or provisions of this Agreement shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision hereof, which shall remain in full force and effect.

No Retention Rights. Nothing in this Agreement shall confer upon the Executive any right to continue in service for any period of specific duration or interfere with or otherwise restrict in any way the rights of the Company or any subsidiary of the Company or of the Executive, which rights are hereby expressly reserved by each, to terminate his or her service at any time and for any reason, with or without Cause.

Choice of Law. The validity, interpretation, construction and performance of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Texas (other than its choice-of-law provisions).

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the parties has executed this Agreement, in the case of the Company by its duly authorized officer, as of the day and year first above written.

AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC.

/s/ David G. Lowe

By: David G. Lowe, Ph.D.

Title: Chief Executive Officer

/s/ James E. Wooldridge June 21, 2017

James E. Wooldridge, M.D.

Date

[Signature Page to Severance Agreement]

EXHIBIT C

INDEMNITY AGREEMENT

This Indemnity Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2017 is made by and between Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), and James Wooldridge, a director, officer or key employee of the Company or one of the Company's subsidiaries or other service provider who satisfies the definition of Indemnifiable Person set forth below ("Indemnitee").

RECITALS

A. The Company is aware that competent and experienced persons are increasingly reluctant to serve as representatives of corporations unless they are protected by comprehensive liability insurance and indemnification, due to increased exposure to litigation costs and risks resulting from their service to such corporations, and due to the fact that the exposure frequently bears no relationship to the compensation of such representatives;

B. The members of the Board of Directors of the Company (the "Board") have concluded that to retain and attract talented and experienced individuals to serve as representatives of the Company and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates and to encourage such individuals to take the business risks necessary for the success of the Company and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates, it is necessary for the Company to contractually indemnify certain of its representatives and the representatives of its Subsidiaries and Affiliates, and to assume for itself maximum liability for Expenses and Other Liabilities in connection with claims against such representatives in connection with their service to the Company and its Subsidiaries and Affiliates;

C. Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law ("Section 145"), empowers the Company to indemnify by agreement its officers, directors, employees and agents, and persons who serve, at the request of the Company, as directors, officers, employees or agents of other corporations, partnerships, joint ventures, trusts or other enterprises, and expressly provides that the indemnification provided thereby is not exclusive; and

D. The Company desires and has requested Indemnitee to serve or continue to serve as a representative of the Company and/or the Subsidiaries or Affiliates of the Company free from undue concern about inappropriate claims for damages arising out of or related to such services to the Company and/or the Subsidiaries or Affiliates of the Company.

AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereto, intending to be legally bound, hereby agree as follows:

1. Definitions.

(a) Affiliate. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Affiliate*” of the Company means any corporation, partnership, limited liability company, joint venture, trust or other enterprise in respect of which Indemnitee is or was or will be serving as a director, officer, trustee, manager, member, partner, employee, agent, attorney, consultant, member of the entity’s governing body (whether constituted as a board of directors, board of managers, general partner or otherwise), fiduciary, or in any other similar capacity at the request, election or direction of the Company, and including, but not limited to, any employee benefit plan of the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate of the Company.

(b) Change in Control. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Change in Control*” means (i) any “*person*” (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), other than a Subsidiary or a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of the Company or Subsidiary, is or becomes the “*Beneficial Owner*” (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under said Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing 50% or more of the total voting power represented by the Company’s then outstanding capital stock or (ii) during any period of two consecutive years, individuals who at the beginning of such period constitute the Board and any new director whose election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company’s stockholders was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors at the beginning of the period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, cease for any reason to constitute a majority thereof, or (iii) the stockholders of the Company approve a merger or consolidation of the Company with any other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation that would result in the outstanding capital stock of the Company outstanding immediately prior thereto continuing to represent (either by remaining outstanding or by being converted into capital stock of the surviving entity) at least 80% of the total voting power represented by the capital stock of the Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation, or the stockholders of the Company approve a plan of complete liquidation of the Company or an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company (in one transaction or a series of transactions) of all or substantially all of the Company’s assets.

(c) Expenses. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Expenses*” means all direct and indirect costs of any type or nature whatsoever (including, without limitation, all attorneys’ fees and related disbursements, and other out-of-pocket costs), paid or incurred by Indemnitee in connection with either the investigation, defense or appeal of, or being a witness in, a Proceeding (as defined below), or establishing or enforcing a right to indemnification under this Agreement, Section 145 or otherwise; provided, however, that Expenses shall not include any judgments, fines, ERISA excise taxes or penalties or amounts paid in settlement of a Proceeding.

(d) Indemnifiable Event. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Indemnifiable Event*” means any event or occurrence related to Indemnitee’s service for the Company or any Subsidiary or Affiliate as an Indemnifiable Person (as defined below), or by reason of anything done or not done, or any act or omission, by Indemnitee in any such capacity.

(e) Indemnifiable Person. For the purposes of this Agreement, “*Indemnifiable Person*” means any person who is or was a director, officer, trustee, manager, member, partner, employee, attorney, consultant, member of an entity’s governing body (whether constituted as a board of directors, board of managers, general partner or otherwise) or other agent or fiduciary of the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate of the Company.

(f) Independent Counsel. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Independent Counsel*” means legal counsel that has not performed services for the Company or Indemnitee in the five years preceding the time in question and that would not, under applicable standards of professional conduct, have a conflict of interest in representing either the Company or Indemnitee.

(g) Independent Director. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Independent Director*” means a member of the Board who is not a party to the Proceeding for which a claim is made under this Agreement.

(h) Other Liabilities. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Other Liabilities*” means any and all liabilities of any type whatsoever (including, but not limited to, judgments, fines, penalties, ERISA (or other benefit plan related) excise taxes or penalties, and amounts paid in settlement and all interest, taxes, assessments and other charges paid or payable in connection with or in respect of any such judgments, fines, ERISA (or other benefit plan related) excise taxes or penalties, or amounts paid in settlement).

(i) Proceeding. For the purposes of this Agreement, “*Proceeding*” means any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit or other proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, investigative, legislative or any other type whatsoever, preliminary, informal or formal, including any arbitration or other alternative dispute resolution and including any appeal of any of the foregoing.

(j) Subsidiary. For purposes of this Agreement, “*Subsidiary*” means any entity of which more than 50% of the outstanding voting securities is owned directly or indirectly by the Company.

2. Agreement to Serve. The Indemnitee agrees to serve and/or continue to serve as an Indemnifiable Person in the capacity or capacities in which Indemnitee currently serves the Company as an Indemnifiable Person, and any additional capacity in which Indemnitee may agree to serve, until such time as Indemnitee’s service in a particular capacity shall end according to the terms of an agreement, the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws, governing law, or otherwise. Nothing contained in this Agreement is intended to create any right to continued employment or other form of service for the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate of the Company by Indemnitee.

3. Mandatory Indemnification.

(a) Agreement to Indemnify. In the event Indemnitee is a person who was or is a party to or witness in or is threatened to be made a party to or witness in any Proceeding by reason of an Indemnifiable Event, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee from and against any and all Expenses and Other Liabilities incurred by Indemnitee in connection with (including in preparation for) such Proceeding to the fullest extent not prohibited by the provisions of the Company’s Bylaws and the Delaware General Corporation Law (“*DGCL*”), as the same may be amended from time to time (but only to the extent that such amendment permits the Company to provide broader indemnification rights than the Bylaws or the DGCL permitted prior to the adoption of such amendment).

(b) Exception for Amounts Covered by Insurance and Other Sources. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company shall not be obligated to indemnify Indemnitee for Expenses or Other Liabilities of any type whatsoever (including, but not limited to judgments, fines, penalties, ERISA excise taxes or penalties and amounts paid in settlement) to the extent such have been paid directly to Indemnitee (or paid directly to a third party on Indemnitee's behalf) by any directors and officers, or other type, of insurance maintained by the Company or pursuant to other indemnity arrangements with third parties.

4. Partial Indemnification. If Indemnitee is entitled under any provision of this Agreement to indemnification by the Company for some or a portion of any Expenses or Other Liabilities but not entitled, however, to indemnification for the total amount of such Expenses or Other Liabilities, the Company shall nevertheless indemnify Indemnitee for such total amount except as to the portion thereof for which indemnification is prohibited by the provisions of the Company's Bylaws or the DGCL. In any review or Proceeding to determine the extent of indemnification, the Company shall bear the burden to establish, by clear and convincing evidence, the lack of a successful resolution of a particular claim, issue or matter and which amounts sought in indemnity are allocable to claims, issues or matters which were not successfully resolved.

5. Liability Insurance. So long as Indemnitee shall continue to serve the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate of the Company as an Indemnifiable Person and thereafter so long as Indemnitee shall be subject to any possible claim or threatened, pending or completed Proceeding as a result of an Indemnifiable Event, the Company shall use reasonable efforts to maintain in full force and effect for the benefit of Indemnitee as an insured (i) liability insurance issued by one or more reputable insurers and having the policy amount and deductible deemed appropriate by the Board and providing in all respects coverage at least comparable to and in the same amount as that provided to the Chairman of the Board or the Chief Executive Officer of the Company and (ii) any replacement or substitute policies issued by one or more reputable insurers providing in all respects coverage at least comparable to and in the same amount as that being provided to the Chairman of the Board or the Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The purchase, establishment and maintenance of any such insurance or other arrangements shall not in any way limit or affect the rights and obligations of the Company or of Indemnitee under this Agreement except as expressly provided herein, and the execution and delivery of this Agreement by the Company and Indemnitee shall not in any way limit or affect the rights and obligations of the Company or the other party or parties thereto under any such insurance or other arrangement. In the event of a Change in Control subsequent to the date of this Agreement, or the Company's becoming insolvent, including being placed into receivership or entering the federal bankruptcy process, the Company shall maintain in force any directors' and officers' liability insurance policies then maintained by the Company in providing insurance in respect of Indemnitee, for a period of six years thereafter.

6. Mandatory Advancement of Expenses. If requested by Indemnitee, the Company shall advance prior to the final disposition of the Proceeding all Expenses reasonably incurred by Indemnitee in connection with (including in preparation for) a Proceeding related to an Indemnifiable Event. Indemnitee hereby undertakes to repay such amounts advanced if, and only if and to the extent that, it shall ultimately be determined that Indemnitee is not entitled to be indemnified by the Company under the provisions of this Agreement, the Company's Bylaws or the DGCL, and no additional form of undertaking with respect to such obligation to repay shall be required. The advances to be made hereunder shall be paid by the Company to Indemnitee or directly to a third party designated by Indemnitee within thirty (30) days following delivery of a written request therefor by Indemnitee to the Company. Indemnitee's undertaking to repay any Expenses advanced to Indemnitee hereunder shall be unsecured and shall not be subject to the accrual or payment of any interest thereon. In the event that Indemnitee's request for the advancement of

expenses shall be accompanied by an affidavit of counsel to Indemnitee to the effect that such counsel has reviewed such Expenses and that such Expenses are reasonable in such counsel's view, then such expenses shall be deemed reasonable in the absence of clear and convincing evidence to the contrary.

7. Notice and Other Indemnification Procedures.

(a) Notification. Promptly after receipt by Indemnitee of notice of the commencement of or the threat of commencement of any Proceeding, Indemnitee shall, if Indemnitee believes that indemnification or advancement of Expenses with respect thereto may be sought from the Company under this Agreement, notify the Company of the commencement or threat of commencement thereof. However, a failure so to notify the Company promptly following Indemnitee's receipt of such notice shall not relieve the Company from any liability that it may have to Indemnitee except to the extent that the Company is materially prejudiced in its defense of such Proceeding as a result of such failure.

(b) Insurance and Other Matters. If, at the time of the receipt of a notice of the commencement of a Proceeding pursuant to Section 7(a) above, the Company has director and officer liability insurance in effect, the Company shall give prompt notice of the commencement of such Proceeding to the issuers in accordance with the procedures set forth in the respective policies. The Company shall thereafter take all reasonable action to cause such insurers to pay, on behalf of Indemnitee, all amounts payable as a result of such Proceeding in accordance with the terms of such insurance policies.

(c) Assumption of Defense. In the event the Company shall be obligated to advance the Expenses for any Proceeding against Indemnitee, the Company, if deemed appropriate by the Company, shall be entitled to assume the defense of such Proceeding as provided herein. Such defense by the Company may include the representation of two or more parties by one attorney or law firm as permitted under the ethical rules and legal requirements related to joint representations. Following delivery of written notice to Indemnitee of the Company's election to assume the defense of such Proceeding, the approval by Indemnitee (which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld) of counsel designated by the Company and the retention of such counsel by the Company, the Company will not be liable to Indemnitee under this Agreement for any fees and expenses of counsel subsequently incurred by Indemnitee with respect to the same Proceeding. If (A) the employment of counsel by Indemnitee has been previously authorized by the Company, (B) Indemnitee shall have notified the Board in writing that Indemnitee has reasonably concluded that there is likely to be a conflict of interest between the Company and Indemnitee in the conduct of any such defense, or (C) the Company fails to employ counsel to assume the defense of such Proceeding, the fees and expenses of Indemnitee's counsel shall be subject to indemnification and/or advancement pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. Nothing herein shall prevent Indemnitee from employing counsel for any such Proceeding at Indemnitee's expense.

(d) Settlement. The Company shall not be liable to indemnify Indemnitee under this Agreement or otherwise for any amounts paid in settlement of any Proceeding effected without the Company's written consent; provided, however, that if a Change in Control has occurred subsequent to the date of this Agreement, the Company shall be liable for indemnification of Indemnitee for amounts paid in settlement if the Independent Counsel has approved the settlement. Neither the Company nor any Subsidiary or Affiliate shall enter into a settlement of any Proceeding that might result in the imposition of any Expense, Other Liability, penalty, limitation or detriment on Indemnitee, whether indemnifiable under this Agreement or otherwise, without Indemnitee's written consent. Neither the Company nor Indemnitee shall unreasonably withhold consent from any settlement of any Proceeding.

The Company shall promptly notify Indemnitee upon the Company's receipt of an offer to settle, or if the Company makes an offer to settle, any Proceeding, and provide Indemnitee with a reasonable amount of time to consider such settlement, in the case of any such settlement for which the consent of Indemnitee would be required hereunder. The Company shall not, on its own behalf, settle any part of any Proceeding to which Indemnitee is a party with respect to other parties (including the Company) without the written consent of Indemnitee if any portion of the settlement is to be funded from insurance proceeds unless approved by a majority of the Independent Directors, provided that this sentence shall cease to be of any force and effect if it has been determined in accordance with this Agreement that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification hereunder with respect to such Proceeding or if the Company's obligations hereunder to Indemnitee with respect to such Proceeding have been fully discharged.

8. Determination of Right to Indemnification.

(a) Success on the Merits or Otherwise. To the extent that Indemnitee has been successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any Proceeding referred to in Section 3(a) above or in the defense of any claim, issue or matter described therein, the Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against Expenses actually and reasonably incurred in connection therewith.

(b) Indemnification in Other Situations. In the event that Section 8(a) is inapplicable, the Company shall also indemnify Indemnitee if Indemnitee has not failed to meet the applicable standard of conduct for indemnification.

(c) Forum. Indemnitee shall be entitled to select the forum in which determination of whether or not Indemnitee has met the applicable standard of conduct shall be decided, and such election will be made from among the following:

(1) Those members of the Board who are Independent Directors even though less than a quorum;

(2) A committee of Independent Directors designated by a majority vote of Independent Directors, even though less than a quorum; or

(3) Independent Counsel selected by Indemnitee and approved by the Board, which approval may not be unreasonably withheld, which counsel shall make such determination in a written opinion.

If Indemnitee is an officer or a director of the Company at the time that Indemnitee is selecting the forum, then Indemnitee shall not select Independent Counsel as such forum unless there are no Independent Directors or unless the Independent Directors agree to the selection of Independent Counsel as the forum. The selected forum shall be referred to herein as the "*Reviewing Party*". Notwithstanding the foregoing, following any Change in Control subsequent to the date of this Agreement, the Reviewing Party shall be Independent Counsel selected in the manner provided in c. above.

(d) Decision Timing and Expenses. As soon as practicable, and in no event later than thirty (30) days after receipt by the Company of written notice of Indemnitee's choice of forum pursuant to Section 8(c) above, the Company and Indemnitee shall each submit to the Reviewing Party such information as they believe is appropriate for the Reviewing Party to consider. The Reviewing Party shall arrive at its decision within a reasonable period of time following the receipt of all such information from the Company and Indemnitee, but in no event later than thirty (30) days following the receipt of all such

information, provided that the time by which the Reviewing Party must reach a decision may be extended by mutual agreement of the Company and Indemnitee. All Expenses associated with the process set forth in this Section 8(d), including but not limited to the Expenses of the Reviewing Party, shall be paid by the Company.

(e) Delaware Court of Chancery. Notwithstanding a final determination by any Reviewing Party that Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification with respect to a specific Proceeding, Indemnitee shall have the right to apply to the Court of Chancery, for the purpose of enforcing Indemnitee's right to indemnification pursuant to this Agreement.

(f) Expenses. The Company shall indemnify Indemnitee against all Expenses incurred by Indemnitee in connection with any hearing or Proceeding under this Section 8 involving Indemnitee and against all Expenses and Other Liabilities incurred by Indemnitee in connection with any other Proceeding between the Company and Indemnitee involving the interpretation or enforcement of the rights of Indemnitee under this Agreement unless a court of competent jurisdiction finds that each of the material claims of Indemnitee in any such Proceeding was frivolous or made in bad faith.

(g) Determination of "Good Faith". For purposes of any determination of whether Indemnitee acted in "good faith", Indemnitee shall be deemed to have acted in good faith if in taking or failing to take the action in question Indemnitee relied on the records or books of account of the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate, including financial statements, or on information, opinions, reports or statements provided to Indemnitee by the officers or other employees of the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate in the course of their duties, or on the advice of legal counsel for the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate, or on information or records given or reports made to the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate by an independent certified public accountant or by an appraiser or other expert selected by the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate, or by any other person (including legal counsel, accountants and financial advisors) as to matters Indemnitee reasonably believes are within such other person's professional or expert competence and who has been selected with reasonable care by or on behalf of the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate. In connection with any determination as to whether Indemnitee is entitled to be indemnified hereunder, or to advancement of expenses, the Reviewing Party or court shall presume that Indemnitee has satisfied the applicable standard of conduct and is entitled to indemnification or advancement of Expenses, as the case may be, and the burden of proof shall be on the Company to establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that Indemnitee is not so entitled. The provisions of this Section 8(g) shall not be deemed to be exclusive or to limit in any way the other circumstances in which Indemnitee may be deemed to have met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in this Agreement. In addition, the knowledge and/or actions, or failures to act, of any other person serving the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate as an Indemnifiable Person shall not be imputed to Indemnitee for purposes of determining the right to indemnification hereunder.

9. Exceptions. Any other provision herein to the contrary notwithstanding,

(a) Claims Initiated by Indemnitee. The Company shall not be obligated pursuant to the terms of this Agreement to indemnify or advance Expenses to Indemnitee with respect to Proceedings or claims initiated or brought voluntarily by Indemnitee and not by way of defense, except (1) with respect to Proceedings brought to establish or enforce a right to indemnification under this Agreement, any other statute or law, as permitted under Section 145, or otherwise, (2) where the Board has consented to the initiation of such Proceeding, or (3) with respect to Proceedings brought to discharge Indemnitee's fiduciary responsibilities, whether under ERISA or otherwise, but such indemnification or advancement of Expenses may be provided by the Company in specific cases if the Board finds it to be appropriate; or

(b) Actions Based on Federal Statutes Regarding Profit Recovery and Return of Bonus Payments. The Company shall not be obligated pursuant to the terms of this Agreement to indemnify Indemnitee on account of (i) any suit in which judgment is rendered against Indemnitee for an accounting of profits made from the purchase or sale by Indemnitee of securities of the Company pursuant to the provisions of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and amendments thereto or similar provisions of any federal, state or local statutory law, or (ii) any reimbursement of the Company by the Indemnitee of any bonus or other incentive-based or equity-based compensation or of any profits realized by the Indemnitee from the sale of securities of the Company, as required in each case under the Exchange Act (including any such reimbursements that arise from an accounting restatement of the Company pursuant to Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the "*Sarbanes-Oxley Act*"), or the payment to the Company of profits arising from the purchase and sale by Indemnitee of securities in violation of Section 306 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act); or

(c) Unlawful Indemnification. The Company shall not be obligated pursuant to the terms of this Agreement to indemnify Indemnitee for Other Liabilities if such indemnification is prohibited by law as determined by a court of competent jurisdiction in a final adjudication not subject to further appeal.

10. Non-exclusivity. The provisions for indemnification and advancement of Expenses set forth in this Agreement shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights which Indemnitee may have under any provision of law, the Company's Certificate of Incorporation or Bylaws, the vote of the Company's stockholders or disinterested directors, other agreements, or otherwise, both as to acts or omissions in his or her official capacity and to acts or omissions in another capacity while serving the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate as an Indemnifiable Person and Indemnitee's rights hereunder shall continue after Indemnitee has ceased serving the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate as an Indemnifiable Person and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of Indemnitee.

11. Severability. If any provision or provisions of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable for any reason whatsoever, (i) the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions of the Agreement (including, without limitation, all portions of any paragraphs of this Agreement containing any such provision held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that are not themselves invalid, illegal or unenforceable) shall not in any way be affected or impaired thereby, and (ii) to the fullest extent possible, the provisions of this Agreement (including, without limitation, all portions of any paragraphs of this Agreement containing any such provision held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, that are not themselves invalid, illegal or unenforceable) shall be construed so as to give effect to the intent manifested by the provision held invalid, illegal or unenforceable.

12. Supersession, Modification and Waiver. This Agreement supersedes any prior indemnification agreement between the Indemnitee and the Company, its Subsidiaries or its Affiliates. If the Company and Indemnitee have previously entered into an indemnification agreement providing for the indemnification of Indemnitee by the Company, parties entry into this Agreement shall be deemed to amend and restate such prior agreement to read in its entirety as, and be superseded by, this Agreement. No supplement, modification or amendment of this Agreement shall be binding unless executed in writing by both of the parties hereto. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Agreement shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any other provision hereof (whether or not similar) and except as expressly provided herein, no such waiver shall constitute a continuing waiver.

13. Successors and Assigns. The terms of this Agreement shall bind, and shall inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

14. Notice. All notices, requests, demands and other communications under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed duly given (i) if delivered by hand and a receipt is provided by the party to whom such communication is delivered, (ii) if mailed by certified or registered mail with postage prepaid, return receipt requested, on the signing by the recipient of an acknowledgement of receipt form accompanying delivery through the U.S. mail, (iii) personal service by a process server, or (iv) delivery to the recipient's address by overnight delivery (e.g., FedEx, UPS or DHL) or other commercial delivery service. Addresses for notice to either party are as shown on the signature page of this Agreement, or as subsequently modified by written notice complying with the provisions of this Section 14. Delivery of communications to the Company with respect to this Agreement shall be sent to the attention of the Company's Vice President of Finance.

15. No Presumptions. For purposes of this Agreement, the termination of any Proceeding, by judgment, order, settlement (whether with or without court approval) or conviction, or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent, shall not, of itself, create a presumption that Indemnitee did not meet any particular standard of conduct or have any particular belief or that a court has determined that indemnification is not permitted by applicable law or otherwise. In addition, neither the failure of the Company or a Reviewing Party to have made a determination as to whether Indemnitee has met any particular standard of conduct or had any particular belief, nor an actual determination by the Company, or a Reviewing Party that Indemnitee has not met such standard of conduct or did not have such belief, prior to the commencement of Proceedings by Indemnitee to secure a judicial determination by exercising Indemnitee's rights under Section 8(e) of this Agreement shall be a defense to Indemnitee's claim or create a presumption that Indemnitee has failed to meet any particular standard of conduct or did not have any particular belief or is not entitled to indemnification under applicable law or otherwise.

16. Survival of Rights. The rights conferred on Indemnitee by this Agreement shall continue after Indemnitee has ceased to serve the Company or a Subsidiary or Affiliate of the Company as an Indemnifiable Person and shall inure to the benefit of Indemnitee's heirs, executors and administrators.

17. Subrogation and Contribution.

(a) [Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, i]¹/[I]n the event of payment under this Agreement, the Company shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of Indemnitee, who shall execute all documents required and shall do all acts that may be necessary to secure such rights and to enable the Company effectively to bring suit to enforce such rights.

(b) To the fullest extent permissible under applicable law, if the indemnification provided for in this Agreement is unavailable to Indemnitee for any reason whatsoever, the Company, in lieu of indemnifying Indemnitee, shall contribute to the amount incurred by or on behalf of Indemnitee, whether for judgments, fines, penalties, excise taxes, amounts paid or to be paid in settlement and/or for Expenses, in connection with any claim relating to an indemnifiable event under this Agreement, in such proportion as is deemed fair and reasonable in light of all of the circumstances of such Proceeding in order to reflect (i) the relative benefits received by the Company and Indemnitee as a result of the event(s) and/or transaction(s) giving cause to such Proceeding; and/or (ii) the relative fault of the Company (and its directors, officers, employees and agents) and Indemnitee in connection with such event(s) and/or transaction(s).

¹ *NTD*: Include if Section 3(c) is included above.

18. Specific Performance, Etc. The parties recognize that if any provision of this Agreement is violated by the Company, Indemnitee may be without an adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, in the event of any such violation, Indemnitee shall be entitled, if Indemnitee so elects, to institute Proceedings, either in law or at equity, to obtain damages, to enforce specific performance, to enjoin such violation, or to obtain any relief or any combination of the foregoing as Indemnitee may elect to pursue.

19. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall for all purposes be deemed to be an original but all of which together shall constitute one and the same agreement. Only one such counterpart signed by the party against whom enforceability is sought needs to be produced to evidence the existence of this Agreement.

20. Headings. The headings of the sections and paragraphs of this Agreement are inserted for convenience only and shall not be deemed to constitute part of this Agreement or to affect the construction or interpretation thereof.

21. Governing Law. This Agreement shall be governed exclusively by and construed according to the laws of the State of Delaware, as applied to contracts between Delaware residents entered into and to be performed entirely with Delaware.

22. Consent to Jurisdiction. The Company and Indemnitee each hereby irrevocably consent to the jurisdiction of the courts of the State of Delaware for all purposes in connection with any Proceeding which arises out of or relates to this Agreement.

The parties hereto have entered into this Indemnity Agreement effective as of the date first above written.

AEGLEA BIOTHERAPEUTICS, INC.

By: /s/ David Lowe
Name: David Lowe
Title: Chief Executive Officer

INDEMNITEE:

JAMES WOOLDRIDGE

By: /s/ James Wooldridge

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statements on Form S-3 (No. 333-217573) and Form S-8 (No. 333-216903 and No. 333-210633) of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. of our report dated March 13, 2018 relating to the financial statements, which appears in this Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Austin, Texas
March 13, 2018

Certification of Periodic Report under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B. Ph.D., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures, and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 13, 2018

/s/ Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B, Ph.D.
Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B, Ph.D.
Interim Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Certification of Periodic Report under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Charles N. York II, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures, and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 13, 2018

/s/ Charles N. York II

Charles N. York II
Chief Financial Officer and Vice President (Principal
Accounting Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

**Certification Of
Principal Executive Officer
Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
As Adopted Pursuant To
Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B. Ph.D., Interim Chief Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company at the end of the period covered by the Report and results of operations of the Company for the period covered by the Report.

Date: March 13, 2018

/s/ Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B, Ph.D.

Anthony G. Quinn, M.B. Ch.B, Ph.D.
Interim Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

**Certification Of
Principal Financial Officer
Pursuant To 18 U.S.C. Section 1350,
As Adopted Pursuant To
Section 906 Of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act Of 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Aeglea BioTherapeutics, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Charles N. York II, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company at the end of the period covered by the Report and results of operations of the Company for the period covered by the Report.

Dated: March 13, 2018

/s/ Charles N. York II

Charles N. York II
*Chief Financial Officer and Vice President
(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting
Officer)*